JAG NO: 2015-0665A					
DI	EPOSITION OF: KEVIN KOLASA - October 14, 2015				
II	N RE THE ARBITRATION OF:				
M.	MICHAEL and DESIREE DAVIS,				
C.	Claimants,				
and					
LITTLETON PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT,					
Respondent.					
PURSUANT TO NOTICE, the deposition of KEVIN KOLASA was taken on behalf of the Claimants at					
950 17th Street, Suite 2400, Denver, Colorado 80202, on October 14, 2015, at 9:06 a.m., before					
Ashley D. Mahe, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public within Colorado.					
	H+C				
	H+G				
	Hunter + Geist, Inc.				
;	303.832.5966 1900 Grant Street, Suite 1025 ■ www.huntergeist.com Scheduling@huntergeist.com scheduling@huntergeist.com				

Your Partner in Making the Record

1 3 JAG NO: 2015-0665A INDEX EXAMINATION OF KEVIN KOLASA: PAGE October 14, 2015 DEPOSITION OF: KEVIN KOLASA - October 14, 2015 By Mr. Roche TNTTTAL. IN RE THE ARBITRATION OF: DEPOSITION EXHIBITS: (Previously marked) REFERENCE MICHAEL and DESIREE DAVIS, Claimants, Threat Assessment Best Practices and Procedures, Littleton Public Schools, LITTLETON PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT, Spring, 2011 Respondent. Exhibit 5 Essentials of School Threat 2.4 Assessment: Preventing Targeted School Violence Exhibit 11 Littleton Public School District's PURSUANT TO NOTICE, the deposition of Answers and Responses to Claimants' KEVIN KOLASA was taken on behalf of the Claimants at First Set of Interrogatories and 950 17th Street, Suite 2400, Denver, Colorado 80202, Requests for Production of Documents on October 14, 2015, at 9:06 a.m., before Exhibit 12 2013-2014 Arapahoe High School 89 Administrative Team Meeting, Ashley D. Mahe, Registered Professional Reporter and August 30, 2013 Notary Public within Colorado. Exhibit 14 Letter to our Arapahoe County Citizens from Walcher, with attachment Exhibit 19 Contact Log 32 Exhibit 24 Behavior Detail Report, Name: Karl 37 Halverson Pierson Grad: 12 Exhibit 32 Suspension Form, Arapahoe High School, 37 Exhibit 35 Threat Assessment & Action Plan 117

2

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

APPEARANCES

For the Claimants:

MICHAEL J. ROCHE, ESQ.
Lathrop & Gage, LLP
950 17th Street
Suite 2400
Denver, Colorado 80202

For the Respondent:

STEVE EVERALL, ESQ.
Semple, Farrington & Everall, P.C.
1120 Lincoln Street
Suite 1308
Denver, Colorado 80203

Also Present:

Michael Davis
Desiree Davis
Carol Lembke
Lois McClure
Sarah Goodrum
William Woodward
Brian Ewert
Michael Jones
Linda Kanan

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were taken pursuant to the Colorado Rules of Civil

Procedure.

KEVIN KOLASA.

having been first duly sworn to state the whole truth, testified as follows:

EXAMINATION

BY MR. ROCHE:

Q. Good morning, Mr. Kolasa. Thank you for coming in. You and I have met before, but just for the record, my name is Mike Roche. And I am representing Mike and Desiree Davis, Claire Davis' parents, in connection with the arbitration that we're all here to participate in today.

A. Okav.

Q. As I'm sure you know, the arbitration is not about collecting damages or anything like that. I do want to talk to you about what the arbitration is about, and just as importantly, what it's not about. What this arbitration is about -- it's got two components, essentially, a backward looking piece, and a forward looking piece.

The backward looking piece is trying to figure out what circumstances and events led up to the

1 (Pages 1 to 4)

2

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

8

5

shooting on December 13, 2013, at Arapahoe High School. The forward looking piece is about figuring out what lessons can be learned from that tragedy to help prevent it from happening anywhere else in the future.

A. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- Q. What the arbitration is not about is, as I said, collecting damages or recovering any money. That's not what we're here to do today. It's not about saying, This person was negligent, this shooting is this person's fault. That's not what we're here to do. It's going to be a difficult day, I'm sure you know that. But I want you to understand that the questions that I'm asking you are done with those two purposes in mind and no other.
 - A. Okay. I understand.
- Q. A few rules of the road for a deposition, because I'm going to guess that you have not had the experience of being deposed before, correct?
 - A. I haven't.
- Q. All right. First, this is not an endurance test. So if you want to take a break, just tell me and we will. Okay?
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. Second, Ashley, who you just met, is our

at. And then I went to Goddard and taught there for three years as a special ed teacher. So altogether, I have six years as a special ed, regular ed teacher. And then after that, I was a dean at Arapahoe for a

- year, and then I went to D'Evelyn Junior/Senior High 6 School in Jeffco. I was assistant principal there for 7 three years. And then --8
 - Q. Slow down for just a second.
 - A. Sorry.
 - Q. D'Evelyn was in Jeffco?
 - A. Yes.
 - O. That was in middle school?
 - A. It was a junior/senior high school, so 7th through 12th grade.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. And then I went back to Arapahoe for three years, and now for the last two years, I've been at Euclid Middle School.
 - Q. So give me, if you would, the years that you were at Arapahoe this last time.
 - A. Sure. So it was -- I started at 2011 through 2013.
 - Q. Did you finish out the '13-'14 school year at Arapahoe?
 - A. The '13-'14?

6

- court reporter. She is going to take down everything that I say and everything that you say, everything that Mr. Everall says or anybody else in the room, frankly. With that in mind, it's important not to talk over one another. All right?
 - A. Sure.
- Q. And because Ashley is taking everything down, it's important for your responses to be verbal, not head shakes or uh-huhs or huh-uhs. Those can be confusing down the road when this transcript is prepared. Do you understand that?
 - A. I do understand that.
- Q. All right. Why don't we -- oh, before we do that. Do you have any questions of me about the process before we get going?
 - A. No, I feel like I understand.
- Q. Okay. Very good. Why don't you tell me a little bit about your background in education.
- A. Sure. I got a master's degree in administration from UNC. I also have a bachelor's in elementary education, as well as special education, and that was from St. Joe's University in Philadelphia. I moved out here and taught three years in different settings, one was a mental health

setting, and the other two was a private school I was

- 1 O. Yes.
 - A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. Now, you mentioned that you did some time prior to your time at Goddard Middle School in a mental health setting?
 - A. Uh-huh.
 - Q. Tell me about what you were doing and where that was.
 - A. Sure, so that was a --MR. EVERALL: Kevin, you have to say yes.
 - Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) Right. Rather than uh-huh.
 - A. Okay. Yes, I did. It was in Centennial Peaks Hospital. It was a school within the hospital setting itself that students were mostly behavioral students that had issues with -- you know, there was bipolar, autistic students. There was a lot that we saw there that we had. But it was a school within that, and at the end of the day, the kids could go home. But I worked in a special ed classroom. I had approximately, like, I don't know, it was -- there was a lot of fluctuation with those students, but typically about nine students, different age ranges.
 - Q. And those students were not institutionalized? I mean, they went home at night?

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

A. Correct. Yeah.

Q. Do you recall, were any of the students at Centennial Peaks, while you were there, referred to that educational setting or facility as the product of a threat assessment by their prior school?

A. I didn't have a lot of connections to the hospital itself with their program, so I didn't see students go from a regular public school setting to being in the residential treatment center. We would have some kids that would come to us from that, but I don't know about those students that went to the residential.

Q. Okay. And I guess what I'm trying to get at is, did the kids who wound up in the Centennial Peaks facility that you were teaching at, did they get there as a result of a threat assessment or how did they get there?

A. There could have been a couple students that did that from the -- the older students. Younger students that I had were -- it was primarily that the public schools could not provide the support needed for those students. So they needed a different environment, a smaller environment, that we could work with them on their special education needs.

Q. Okay. So how would a public school refer

Centennial, I would hear of those programs.

Q. Can you tell me in broad strokes what the criteria were for the referral of a student from, for instance, Goddard Middle School or Arapahoe High School to a program like the Tennyson Center or Centennial Peaks or Shiloh House?

A. I don't know. I never went through that process with a student.

Q. But that was -- those were facilities or programs that were available to public school districts where they could refer students who had become -- who posed behavioral challenges that were beyond the capacity of the public school districts to manage; is that right?

A. Correct. And there was also the piece of -- all of those students that I worked with in my program had IEP's that supported them.

Q. All right. Do you know during your, I guess, total of six years at Arapahoe, three as a dean --

A. Just one as a dean.

Q. Oh, just one as a dean?

A. Yeah. Three as assistant principal.

Q. So during your four years at Arapahoe, are you aware of any students that Arapahoe sent to a

a student to the Centennial Peaks facility?

A. You know, I'm not -- I can't -- I don't feel like I could speak confidently about how that process went from the public school to Centennial.

Q. And when did you leave the Centennial Peaks program?

A. That was 2002.

Q. And is that program still in operation, if you know?

A. I don't know, because that was in Louisville.

Q. That was up in Louisville?

A. Uh-huh. Yeah.

Q. Is there a similar program in south metro Denver?

A. South metro Denver?

Q. Arapahoe County, LPS, or Cherry Creek School District?

A. I'm trying to think of -- I guess, Shiloh House. I could be wrong, though.

Q. All right.

A. But there's a similar program that I know students would go to. The Tennyson Center also is, I know, a similar program. I can't speak to each of them and draw comparisons, but when I was working at

program like Centennial Peaks?

A. No, I don't recall that.

Q. You mentioned you were a dean at Arapahoe, what school year was that?

A. I'm sorry, I'm terrible with years. I'm not a hundred percent sure. I think it was 2007.

Q. All right. And what -- when you said you were a dean, a dean of what?

A. It didn't have an additional title to it, but I could speak to some of my responsibilities as a dean.

Q. That would be great, thanks.

A. As a dean, I split the discipline with Darrell Meredith for the freshman class. I was also the activities director for the building, so I worked with clubs like student council, different groups like that. And then I also did, you know, evaluation of teachers. I supervised games and activities as well.

Q. All right.

A. So I was pretty much the same role as assistant principal, I was just classified as a dean and got paid as a teacher.

Q. All right. Were you teaching any classes in your capacity as dean?

A. No, I wasn't.

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

13 15 1 1 Q. All right. And you mentioned that you A. It doesn't. 2 2 also spent some time as an assistant principal at Q. Can you explain to me why your name 3 3 D'Evelyn, that's a middle school? doesn't appear on either the typewritten list of 4 A. It's 7th through 12th grade. attendees for that training program or the handwritten 5 5 Q. I'm sorry, 7th through 12th, you're sign-in sheets for that program? 6 right. 6 A. Yes. I went into that training, and they 7 7 just had a piece of paper out on the table. I didn't A. Yes. 8 8 sign in, thinking that it wasn't mandatory training Q. And that was in Jeffco system? 9 9 A. Uh-huh. Yes. that I had to go to. It was also that I felt I didn't 10 10 Q. And after Jeffco, you went to Arapahoe need for credits or anything at that point, so I 11 11 and you were there for three years? didn't sign in to a lot of the trainings that first 12 12 year that I attended. A. Correct. 13 Q. And after the 2013-2014 school year, you 13 Q. All right. Had you received any training 14 14 moved to Euclid Middle School; is that right? on how to perform a threat assessment prior to the 15 15 training session that you're just describing? A. Yes. 16 16 Q. During your time at -- well, at any time A. I believe I was trained in Jefferson 17 prior to September of 2013, had you received any 17 County to do the threat assessment because I had a 18 formal training on how to perform a threat assessment? 18 threat assessment that I had to do on a student at 19 19 A. Yes, I did. On 2000 -- school year D'Evelyn. 20 20 2011-2012, when I first came to Arapahoe as an Q. All right. And who provided that 21 21 assistant principal. training to you? 22 Q. And who provided that training to you? 22 A. I don't recall. 23 23 A. That was Nate Thompson. Q. And was that training at Jeffco provided 24 Q. Where did that training occur? 24 to you in the ordinary course of being a -- in your 25 A. It was at the Ames building. 25 role at Jeffco, or was that provided to you 14 16 1 1 Q. All right. Who else was at that specifically because you were being asked to perform a 2 training? 2 threat assessment on a student at D'Evelyn? 3 3 A. I'm not -- I don't remember who else was A. It was specific to that situation. 4 4 in -- with me for the training. Q. Tell me what that training involved. 5 5 Q. All right. How long of a training A. So I don't recall much of the training, 6 6 program was it? but it was -- and I even forget who I spoke to about 7 A. I believe it was like two hours. 7 it, but they went over what it looks like in Jeffco, 8 Q. All right. And do you recall what time 8 what forms need to be filled out, who should 9 9 of year that training occurred? participate in the meeting, and just kind of gave me 10 10 A. I think it was around April in the an overall this is the -- and what the process is. 11 11 Q. All right. So you mentioned that you had spring. 12 12 Q. All right. And you're aware, I'm sure, performed a threat assessment on a student at 13 that as part of this arbitration, I have received a 13 D'Evelyn, right? 14 14 list of people who participated in the Littleton A. Correct. 15 Public School danger assessment training, right? 15 Q. And my understanding is that you also 16 16 A. Correct. participated in one other threat assessment at 17 17 Q. And your name is not on that list for Arapahoe High School prior to the threat assessment 18 either the 2011 or 2012 training sessions, is it? 18 that you participated in with respect to Karl Pierson, 19 A. That's correct. 19 correct? 20 Q. And you're also aware that I have seen 20 A. That's correct. 21

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

sessions, right?

A. Correct. Yeah.

sign-in sheets either, does it?

2.2

23

24

25

the handwritten sign-in sheets for those training

Q. And your name doesn't appear on those

21

22

23

2.4

25

on Karl Pierson?

A. Yes.

Q. Are those the only two threat assessments

you had done in your career prior to the one you did

Q. You will see there's a couple of books in

2.4

front of you. In this one is -- if you'll look at tab 4, Exhibit 4, you'll see this is a spring 2011 training presentation --

A. Okay.

- Q. -- on Threat Assessment Best Practices and Procedures, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. To the best of your recollection, is this the presentation that you attended with Nate Thompson?
 - A. No, this is not.
- Q. Okay. Well, let me back up then a little bit. You said you attended the danger assessment training in what year?
- A. It was -- for Littleton, it was a 2011-2012 school year.
- Q. So the training would have been in the spring of 2012?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. We'll come back to that. Well, tell me, if you would, how you go about performing a threat assessment.
 - A. Sure.
- Q. What steps do you take or did you take -- and, again, I'm talking about -- right now I'm talking about the time period prior to December of 2013.

such a general sense what you would do with the student, because if the student was in school, there's a lot of different steps that you would take if this happened, a threat directly to a teacher at the time during that school day. But you would communicate with parents. You would organize a threat assessment meeting. In a threat assessment, you would make sure that there was time enough that you could have collected other data of the evidence, other than the investigation of the threat itself, but rather the discipline records, attendance, grades, to see if there's any other things that need to be looked at and considered for the threat assessment itself.

And then you would work in conjunction with any people necessary. Like I always, of course, include the principal, so that that person knows what's going on. You always have a school psychologist that you would contact as well, because they're the person that is going to help you put together the threat assessment and to see other people -- who else is needed for part of the threat assessment team.

- Q. Okay. Any other steps you take? I've got four listed so far.
 - A. No, I believe that's it going into the

- A. Okay. So would you like me to be specific to the -- to doing the threat assessment on Karl Pierson, or do you want a general, how I would do a threat assessment?
- Q. Well, for right now, what I'd like to know is obviously there have been questions raised about the adequacy of the threat assessment that was performed on Karl Pierson, you know that, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. What I want to know is what steps you took -- well, strike that.

What I want to know is what you knew and how you went about that process in broad strokes, and then we'll follow up with what you did in Karl Pierson's case.

A. Sure. So the first thing I always want to do with a threat assessment is to collect as much data and information as possible to the specifics of whatever the threat was. Who heard it, you know, witness statements, what was shared, what was seen, what was, you know, observed at that time. And then to talk to whoever it is, if it was the student or the parent, depends on the situation, if it happens right at school and you have the student there, you know, to talk about the next steps. So it's hard to say in

threat assessment itself.

- Q. Okay. My understanding -- I mean, just walking through the list that you just walked through, it seems consistent with that. But my understanding is that it was not a standard practice of yours to go around and talk to the teachers of the student who was the subject of the threat assessment as part of that process?
 - A. Depends on what the threat was.
- Q. All right. Well, under what circumstances would you take it upon yourself to speak to all of the teachers of a student who was the subject of a threat assessment?
- A. So if the threat was general in the sense that it was more encompassing of the school and the building itself -- for example, if a student said that he was going to blow up the building, that would be something I would like to talk to the teachers to see if there was anything else going on with the student in their classes.
 - Q. All right. Did you -- strike that.

In either of the two threat assessments that you had performed prior to Karl Pierson's, had you gone and spoken to the teachers of the students who were the subject of those assessments?

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

2.2

2.5

A. To the teachers that were the subjects of those assessments?

Q. To the teachers of the students who were --

A. Oh, sorry.

2.3

Q. -- the subject of the assessment.

A. I don't recall.

Q. Can you think of a threat assessment that you have been involved in at any time in your career where you took it upon yourself to speak to all of the teachers of the student who was being assessed?

A. Yes. Yes, I have.

Q. And was that after the shooting on December 13?

A. Yes.

Q. Was -- how many of the threat assessments that you have done since that time involved or included your talking to all of the teachers of the student who was the subject of the assessment?

A. One of the two threat assessments I've done.

Q. So you've done two since the shooting, correct?

A. Correct.

Q. Two before, then Karl, and two since?

threat assessment that I did in Jeffco, I can't speak to -- I don't recall the action plans that came after that.

Q. All right.

A. The one that I did prior to Karl, that student was no longer at Arapahoe after that threat assessment. And then the other two, the one that we did after Karl's, there were follow-ups from the counselors, not from myself directly. And then the last one that I did last year at Euclid, that was done, and I did meet up with the student regularly.

Q. And when you say "regularly," what do you mean? Weekly, monthly?

A. Probably biweekly for official come and sit down, but it was more informal, you know. But I also had informal meetings, I'm sorry, is what I'm saying, in addition to that with talking to the student at recess and things like that.

Q. Okay. And did you find that process helpful?

A. I did.

Q. Is that kid doing okay?

A. Very well.

Q. Good.

(At this time Mr. Davis left the room.)

A. Yes.

Q. And in one of the two since you actually spoke to all of the teachers?

A. Correct.

Q. And did you find that helpful?

A. In that case, no, it wasn't helpful. It was helpful talking to that teacher that had had initial concerns, but no other teacher brought up concerns regarding that student.

Q. Okay. In the five threat assessments that you have performed in your career -- strike that.

I want to -- I will tell you, nothing I'm doing here today is meant to trick you or trap you into anything. It's not in the paper or anything like that, but I do want to probe what you do and what you don't do as part of a threat assessment.

A. Sure.

Q. So I'm asking this question because I truly do not know the answer to it. In any of the five threat assessments that you've performed in your career, have you ever built a follow-up plan that involved regular meetings between yourself and the student of concern or the parents of the student of concern?

A. I can't for the first assess -- the first

Q. Will you take a look at Exhibit 5, and tell me if that's a training document that you're familiar with.

A. Am I on the right one?

Q. That's the right one, yes. It's from the School Safety Resource Center in Colorado.

A. Yes, I've seen it before.

Q. And you can see this is dated July of 2013?

A. Uh-huh.

Q. Can you tell me, were you trained using this document in the summer or fall of 2013?

A. I don't fully recall.

Q. Do you recall whether or not you had seen this document prior to the shooting at Arapahoe High School?

A. If I -- I don't recall when was the first time I saw this document.

Q. If you'll see down in the lower right corner, there are some numbers that start with LPS?

A. Okay

Q. Will you go to page 3429. Have you got that there?

A. Yes.

Q. All right. I want to direct your

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

2.4

attention to the middle of the page that discusses, "The Six Principles of Threat Assessment." Do you see that?

A. I do.

2.0

- Q. Do you recall, in the training that you received in the 2011-2012 school year, were you trained on those six principles?
- A. I'm not 100 percent sure if that was part of the training then. I would assume so.
- Q. And I will tell you the reason I ask that is in the slide decks that I have seen from LPS that were produced in this arbitration, none of the danger assessment training programs included a slide on those six core principles of threat assessment. So my question is, do you have an independent recollection of being trained on those six principles?
 - A. I do not.
- Q. And do you agree -- in particular, I want to direct your attention to the third principle, that it is important to keep an investigative, skeptical, inquisitive mindset in performing threat assessments. Do you see that?
 - A. I do.
 - Q. Do you agree with that?
 - A. I do.

Q. Why was that important in your mind or was it?

- A. Well, I think it's always important to document, especially regarding a threat assessment, because then you can use that information and work with the students on -- you know, first of all, to follow the action plan that was stated, but then it's good to have that in your records in case you see -- you know, hopefully you see progress in the student improving. Let's say the student moves on to another grade the next year to have that documented to help support the student that following year, if you're seeing issues come up again.
- Q. And, conversely, it's equally important to see if a student's performance or behavior is declining, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. That would be another reason it's important to document the threat assessment and keep the records of that assessment in an information vortex?
 - A. Right.
- Q. What was the information vortex at Arapahoe High School in December of 2013 or the fall of 2013?

- Q. And were you trained on that principle prior to the shooting in December of 2013?
 - A. I believe so, yes.
- Q. Okay. On this same page, there's a description of the basic threat assessment inquiry steps that are part of an effective threat assessment, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And the school safety resource center lists eight different steps, does it not?
 - A. It does.
- Q. Was it your practice to use all eight of these steps in performing the threat assessments that you performed? And, again, we're talking about prior to December of 2013.
- A. I can't recall all of the steps I took in the first threat assessment I did, but overall, these are the threat assessment steps that I do follow, and they are very similar to the form that the district has for the threat assessment form itself.
- Q. Okay. And one of these steps, I'm looking at No. 7, emphasizes the importance of documenting the threat assessment and keeping records for the information vortex, right?
 - A. Uh-huh. Yes.

A. I don't know what -- I'm sorry, I'm not familiar with the term "vortex."

- Q. Okay. Well, who was the clearinghouse of information on students who were the subject of a threat assessment in the fall of 2013?
- A. That would be Esther Song. In terms of who -- I'm sorry. Could I --
 - Q. Yes, go ahead.
- A. Do you mean who formally housed it, because it would always be the assistant principal too, whoever participated -- the administrator, whoever participated in the threat assessment, would also have that threat assessment form in their records.
- Q. All right. Who were students and staff members instructed to go to if they had concerns about a student who had been a subject of a threat assessment?
- A. They would go to the counselor. They would go to a school psychologist. They would come to an administrator.
- Q. You mentioned just a minute ago that an important part of the follow-up to a threat assessment was to essentially track either the progress or decline of the student who had been the subject of the

7 (Pages 25 to 28)

2.0

2.4

assessment, right?

2.2

- A. Correct.
- Q. And one way to do that would be to make sure that everybody who has contact with the student who had been the subject of the assessment knew to come to one person so all of that information could be gathered and known by one of the members of the threat assessment team, right?
- A. Well, it could be one person, but I believe it could be three people as well if they could come to and share that information and those three would talk together.
- Q. Right. But it was important for at least one and preferably all members of the threat assessment team to know everything there was to know about the student who had been assessed, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And my question was, how did the people at Arapahoe High School know in the fall of 2013 to bring that information or any concerns they had about the progress or decline of a student to you or to Esther Song or to anybody in particular?
- A. Because those teachers would consistently bring issues with students or incidents that they had with students to us. So they might not know that

questions that you helped answer, right?

- A. Correct.
- Q. All right. And the very first incident that is described is a November 2011 incident that occurred in Jackie Price's class, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Or after class in Jackie Price's classroom, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And if I remember correctly, that was an incident where he told a student to go cut herself or gut herself?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And that was an incident that Ms. Price did bring to the counseling office, right, that was Kelly Talen?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Can you tell me, have you had any discussions with Ms. Talen about that incident?
- A. Yes. I spoke with her when I suspended Karl for No. 2 on your list. I spoke with her regarding that and talked to her about the situation. I can't -- I don't recall exactly when it was, but it was, I believe, shortly after March 15.
 - Q. All right. Do you recall what Ms. Talen

- there was a threat assessment attached to that student, but they would let us know if there were
- things going on with that student and they had concerns about.
 - Q. All right. Well, let's take a look at Exhibit 11. That's in that same book. Now, Exhibit 11 is some written answers to questions that I've sent over to Littleton Public Schools. Do you see that?
 - A. I do.
 - Q. And my understanding from these is that you were one of the people who helped answer these questions, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And do you recall that one of the questions that I asked in these written questions was for LPS to identify each LPS or Arapahoe administrator, faculty member, or employee who expressed a concern about Karl Pierson's behavioral emotional state, psychological well-being, mental health or personality prior to December 13, 2013, and to describe the nature of the concern, when and how it was expressed, and what the response was?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. And that is, in fact, one of the

told you about that incident?

- A. I do not.
- Q. Did you go back and speak to Ms. Talen about that incident as part of your investigation in the fall of 2013 --
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. -- during that threat assessment process?
- A. No, I'm sorry. I went back and talked to her on March -- around March 15, because I didn't know about that situation, and I saw it in the contact log. So I met with her to discuss that situation and what happened.
- Q. Understood. And my question is, did you meet with her again in the fall of 2013 about that incident during the threat assessment process?
 - A. No. She was on maternity leave.
- Q. All right. And you can look as well at Exhibit 19, which is in this book. You can leave that one open, because we're going to probably bounce back and forth between them.
 - A. I'm sorry, what section did you say?
- Q. Exhibit 19 in the second book. And if you look on the page that's got the No. ACSO 198, it's just the next page. First, do you recognize Exhibit 19 as an Arapahoe High School or Littleton

8 (Pages 29 to 32)

2.2

2.2

Public Schools contact log?

A. Yes.

- Q. And what is a contact log?
- A. A contact log is an area in Infinite Campus in which counselors, school psychologists, or administrators could put in notes regarding conversations with students or phone calls with parents.
- Q. And what types of contacts go into this contact log?
- A. It could be a multitude of things.

 Administrators use it differently than counselors.

 Counselors sometimes put in when they meet with students about changing classes. Sometimes it's, you know, more serious stuff that they're talking with a student regarding a threat assessment. So there's a large scope spectrum to it.
- Q. All right. You will see in the discussion or description of the incident in Jackie Price's classroom that there is a reference that Karl shared that he has always been someone's bitch and that other kids were and are mean to him, right?
 - A. I see that.
- Q. And he goes on to say, Why wouldn't I make him my bitch, after what has been done to me,

trap you.

- A. Right.
- Q. You know that one of the questions on the threat assessment that you conducted on Karl Pierson asks the question, Does the student feel persecuted, bullied, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you know that box was not checked, right?
 - A. I don't off the top of my head, no.
- Q. Well, you can look at it, but I will tell you that that box was not checked.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. And one of the questions that naturally arises because that box wasn't checked is why wasn't it checked in light of these statements by Karl Pierson in 2011?
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. Can you answer that?
- A. Well, I could answer it based on my conversation that I had with Karl in March the 15th when he said similar verbiage about him feeling like he's been bullied, and I asked him questions on, Okay, well, how does that look? What has happened? Give me information. When did it start, things like that, and

right?

A. Yes.

- Q. And did that lead you to conclude that Karl was a student who perceived that he had been bullied and persecuted?
- A. No, that didn't make me believe that he was bullied and persecuted. It made --
- Q. But that wasn't my question. Sorry to interrupt. My question was, did that make you -- did that lead you to conclude that he perceived that he had been bullied and persecuted?
- A. After reading that, that didn't make me
 -- I mean, it -- yes, it could be perceived as that,
 but in more from my discussion with him, because I -you know, I didn't have any dialogue with Karl
 regarding that. So it's hard to tell.
- Q. So if I understand correctly, reading Kelly Talen's entry that Karl shared that he had always been someone's bitch and that the other kids were and are mean to him, did not lead you to conclude that Karl, at least, perceived that he had been persecuted and bullied; is that right?
- A. If I were to judge just on reading that, then, yes, you could say it's true.
 - Q. And, again, I'm genuinely not trying to

he wasn't able to answer any of my questions.

- Q. So he couldn't give you any examples of having been bullied, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Well, I do want to get to that, and we will. Let's go back to the 2011 incident. Did you ask Kelly Talen what follow-up she did with Karl and/or his parents following the November 2011 incident?
- A. I don't recall my -- exactly what I said in that conversation with Kelly Talen.
- Q. Do you recall anything about what she told you?
 - A. No, I don't.
- Q. All right. And the next incident that you're referring to is described in Exhibit 11 here. It's the second numbered item in the written discovery responses, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And that was an incident that led to Karl being suspended for a day?
- A. Yes, it was.
 - Q. All right. That incident isn't described in the contact log that you see in Exhibit 19, is it?
 - A. No, it's not.

9 (Pages 33 to 36)

2.2

2.0

Q. Do you know why not?

A. Because it should have been entered in the behavior log.

- Q. And we have that as well, and that's Exhibit 24, so just a few down in that book. And you'll see that Karl Pierson's suspension in March of 2013 is not listed in his behavioral detail report, is it?
 - A. It's not.

2.2

- Q. Whose job was it to input the information about that suspension into Karl Pierson's behavioral detail log?
 - A. That was my responsibility.
 - Q. And why didn't that happen?
- A. I just forgot. I didn't do it. The suspension paperwork did go home to the parents.
- Q. Right. And that we also have. So let's look at that. That's Exhibit 32. Is that the suspension paperwork that you're referring to?
 - A. It's a portion of it, yes.
- Q. Okay. Well, flip through. There's multiple pages there.
 - A. Okay. Yes. That's it, yes.
- Q. Okay. Who made the decision to suspend Karl for the incident in Dan Swomley's class?

A. Correct. That decision wasn't done in isolation, though.

- Q. Who did you consult with whether or not to suspend Karl Pierson for his threat to kill Tracy Murphy?
 - A. Natalie Pramenko.
 - Q. And did she agree with that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. When did you have that conversation with Natalie?
- A. I don't recall if it was following that conversation -- I believe it was following the conversation that I had with Mrs. Pierson on the 4th, September 4th, when she verified that Karl did, in fact, say that.
 - Q. All right.
 - A. But that -- can I add to that, please?
- Q. Sure.
 - A. That decision wasn't made right then that it would be a suspension or not that I -- that we both agreed that we would -- that I would hold the threat assessment and see if anything else came out of it to see if there needed to be a suspension.
 - Q. All right. Now, with respect to the incident on March 15 of 2013, in Mr. Swomley's class,

A. I did.

Q. And as I understand that incident, what happened is Mr. Swomley asked the students if they wanted their grades read out aloud on a test that he had been given, right?

A. Or do they want to have them told to them in private, yes.

- Q. And Karl Pierson opted to have it announced publicly, and he wasn't happy with his grade, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And some students either snickered or laughed or commented on it and he yelled fuck, and told them to fuck off, right?
- A. No. What happened was he wasn't happy with his grade, so he said fuck. And then the students giggled, and he said fuck off or something along those lines.
- Q. All right. And for that kind of outburst, you made the decision that Karl should be suspended, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And you were also the one that made the decision to not suspend Karl for threatening to kill Tracy Murphy; is that right?

Karl filled out a student statement, correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And it's a couple pages back in Exhibit
- 24. And did you receive a copy of this?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. All right. And in this statement that Karl Pierson wrote out, he mentioned that "My peers have often pushed me, and while I have put it away, one outburst for a decade of hell is unfair."
- (At this time Mr. Davis entered the room.)
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Did that concern you that you had a student at Arapahoe High School who had the perception that he experienced a decade of hell of his peers pushing him?
 - A. It did concern me, yes.
- Q. And what did you do to follow up on that comment by Karl Pierson?
- A. Well, I spoke with Karl for a long time regarding it, you know, asking him a lot of questions to see if he could provide any more insight to it, which he was pretty much closed off, didn't share a lot. And then I spoke to his parents without Karl in the room regarding that statement that he made.

10 (Pages 37 to 40)

Q. All right. And what did you learn from -- it sounds like you didn't learn much from Karl about that statement, right?

A. Correct.

2.0

Q. And then you spoke with his parents without Karl in the room, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. And what did you learn from them about the fact that their son had just expressed that his peers had put him through a decade of hell?

A. I don't recall what they shared with me from, you know, their feedback, but then I did talk to them about perhaps Karl needs to see an outside counselor or get outside services.

Q. All right. Did you document or take notes of the conversations you had with either Kelly Talen about this incident or with Karl Pierson or with his parents?

A. I don't believe I did, no. I did take some notes on the threat assessment itself -- not the threat assessment, sorry. The student statement itself, but I don't recall if I took notes on a separate pad or anything regarding that meeting.

Q. Help me understand which of the notes in Exhibit 32 -- or, oh, I'm sorry, exhibit -- yeah,

that all the teachers were out to fucking get him?

A. Well, I spoke to a counselor regarding the situation. Again, I don't recall if I talked to anyone else. I know I talked with Darrell Meredith as well regarding this.

Q. What did Darrell tell you?

A. I don't recall everything that he told me when we spoke about the suspension. I do remember talking about The Ides of March with him.

Q. Right. Karl signed this student statement, The Ides of March?

A. Yes.

Q. And that struck you as odd?

A. It did.

Q. And you mentioned that to Darrell Meredith. Did you do any questioning of Karl about why he signed this document The Ides of March?

A. I did.

Q. And what was his explanation?

A. His explanation was it was -- it took more of a, I can't believe you don't know. You don't know? It's March 15, The Ides of March. And I'm like, I know the date and I know -- but why would you put that on a student statement form, Karl, that's not appropriate. He said, I'm just putting the date, it's

Exhibit 32 are yours.

(At this time Mr. Davis and Ms. Davis left the room.)

A. In dark, "All of the teachers are out to fucking get me, they are idiots, deserve" -- I think I had, They deserve it.

Q. All right. That's your handwriting?

A. Yes.

Q. All right. I take it, then, that was another statement that you heard Karl Pierson express?

A. That is correct.

(At this time Ms. Davis entered the

13 room.)

Q. Would that qualify in your mind, statements like enduring a decade of hell and all of the teachers being out to fucking get him, as feelings of being persecuted or bullied by Karl Pierson?

A. I don't know about bullied, because when I asked him about that, he wasn't able to share much. But he did feel that he was persecuted by some of the teachers and that they would grade him unfairly on his papers, that there was one or two teachers that he was talking about regarding that.

Q. Okay. Did you -- what did you do to follow up on or investigate Karl Pierson's concern

The Ides of March.

Q. So kind of an arrogant attitude?

A. Correct.

Q. Did that concern you?

A. Yes, it did.

Q. Did you discuss that with Karl Pierson's parents?

A. I did.

Q. What was their response?

A. I don't recall exactly what they said regarding that.

Q. Okay. We started down that line asking what follow-up you did with respect to Karl's statement and perception that all of the teachers are out to fucking get me, right?

A. Uh-huh.

Q. Did you talk to any of his teachers about that statement?

A. Yeah, I don't recall -- because it broke -- I think it broke down to one or two teachers that he was concerned about, and I don't remember if it was one from the previous year or one from this year or that current year. I don't remember if I did talk to that teacher if it was from that year.

Q. All right. Now, in Exhibit 11 down

11 (Pages 41 to 44)

2.2

towards the bottom -- and you've mentioned this in your testimony. You say that you suggested to Karl's parents that he undergo some anger management therapy, right?

A. Yeah. Yes.

2.2

- Q. I don't see that suggestion anywhere in the suspension form concerning that, do you?
 - A. No, it's not in the suspension form.
- Q. And you don't see that suggestion anywhere in the behavioral detail report concerning Karl Pierson either, do you?
 - A. No.
- Q. And I don't see anything about that suggestion to Karl Pierson's parents about getting him anger management therapy anywhere in his counseling contact log, Exhibit 19, either, do you?
 - A. No.
- Q. And I haven't seen any letters or e-mails or notes that memorialize or document that suggestion that you made to Mr. and Mrs. Pierson, do you?
 - A. No.
- Q. Did you, following this March 2013 incident, ever reach back out to Mr. and Mrs. Pierson or to Karl to ask whether he was, in fact, following your suggestion to get anger management therapy?

you, the grade level assistant principal, and the school psychologist, right?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Do you have any understanding of why it is -- and there's a number of these incidents involving Karl that were not brought to your attention in the fall of 2013, right?
 - A. I don't -- I don't believe so, no.
- Q. Well, we know, for instance, that the item listed here in the third bullet point in Mr. Corson's class wasn't brought to your attention, right?
 - A. No. 3 was not.
 - Q. And it wasn't documented, right?
- A. Correct.
- Q. And the incident described in the fourth bullet in Ms. Crookham's class, that was brought to your attention but not documented, right?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. So my question is, given that there were certain incidents that were not brought to your attention as the grade level assistant principal, do you have any understanding of why they were not brought to your attention?
 - A. I'm sorry, I don't understand the

- A. No, I did not.
- Q. Why not?
- A. I don't know.
- Q. Wouldn't that have been helpful to know?
- A. Yes, it could have been.
- Q. The next incident on Exhibit 11 is on the next page, and it refers to an incident that occurred in Jeff Corson's class. Do you see that?
 - A. I do.
- Q. And you're now familiar with that incident, are you not?
 - A. I am.
- Q. But you were not aware of that incident at the time that you were performing your threat assessment on Karl Pierson in the fall of 2013, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And it shows in the written discovery responses that we're looking at that you were not advised of that incident and it was not documented, right?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And we started down this long discussion of these various incidents because you had told me that the faculty and staff at Arapahoe High School knew to bring behavioral concerns about students to

question.

- Q. I apologize. That was actually one of the instructions that I meant to give you. There will absolutely be instances where I ask a question that doesn't make sense to you, so if that happens, as it just did, please tell me, and I'll fix the question.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. The Jeff Corson incident where Karl told one of his fellow students that she was stupid for something she said in class, you weren't told about that in the fall of 2013, right?
 - A. I was not.
- Q. And does the fact that there were certain incidents like that that were not brought to your attention as the grade level assistant principal responsible for Karl give you any concern about the lines of communication within the school and how behavioral issues are reported?
- A. No, I don't feel that way. I think -because when you look at No. 3 with a student calling
 another student stupid, that's a minor situation that
 happens in schools a lot. So that is not something
 that typically a teacher would bring forward to an
 administrator or even a counselor. They would
 typically handle it themselves in a classroom.

12 (Pages 45 to 48)

2.2

- Q. Okay. But in the context of performing a threat assessment, isn't it important for you, as the grade level assistant principal, to know about what could be a collection of seemingly minor isolated incidents that if one person knows about all of them, might take on more significant incidents?
 - A. Yes, it could.

2.0

- Q. And so I'll ask again, does it concern you that you as the grade level assistant principal for Karl Pierson weren't aware of all of the, even the minor incidents, that had occurred with him during the course of his high school career?
- A. But I can't say that there was more than one incident similar to this one that I wasn't made aware of.
- Q. Okay. Let's talk about the next incident, the Michelle Crookham incident. That one was brought to your attention in the fall of 2013?
 - A. It was.
 - Q. When was that brought to your attention?
- A. I don't recall a specific date, but it was after the threat assessment.
- Q. Okay. And the reason I ask that is that incident occurred on the same day that Karl threatened to kill Tracy Murphy, right?

Q. When did you have that conversation with Karl?

- A. I don't recall. It was either the day of -- that I was told or the following day.
- Q. But you do know that that conversation with Karl was after you performed the threat assessment?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Was it before the follow-up meeting about whether or not he would be allowed to stay?
 - A. I'm not sure.
- Q. Okay. Did Ms. Crookham express any concerns to you once she learned what KMFDM stood for?
- A. I don't -- I don't recall if it was what it stood for or what he wrote. It was more along the lines of, Kevin, that -- I don't know, it's kind of weird, and it made me feel a little uncomfortable that he wrote that.
- Q. And was Ms. Crookham aware of the threat that Karl Pierson had made against Tracy Murphy at the time she brought this to you?
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. Did you talk to Karl's parents about this incident?
 - A. This, no.

- A. September 3, yes.
- Q. Were you made aware of that incident --well, strike that.
- I think you just said you didn't know about that incident until after the threat assessment was performed; is that right?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Were you made aware of that incident before the shooting?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And that incident involved Karl writing some initials on one of his test papers, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Tell me about your discussion with Ms. Crookham about Karl's statement or Karl's writing on his test paper, No pity for the majority in German, or the initials for those words.
- A. So she told me that he had written KMFDM on one of his tests. She asked him, What was that? And he said, It's a name of a band. And something along the lines of he was trying to, you know, recommend a band to her. So Michelle Crookham brought that up to me, and she told me that she Googled it and did see that it was a German band. But I told her I would talk to Karl regarding it.

Q. Did you do any investigation of this hand?

A. I did.

- Q. What kind of music -- and what did you learn?
- A. I don't remember exactly what I learned, but I remember pulling up a band Web site and looking and seeing that it was a band. I mean, they had concert dates and things like that.
- Q. Do you recall whether or not the band had violent themes to its music?
 - A. No, I don't recall that.
- Q. I apologize, I want to go back to
 Exhibit 32. There is one thing I forgot to ask you
 about. That's the suspension form. On the suspension
 form, it says down on -- just above your signature,
 "During the period of out-of-school suspension, the
 above named student is not to be on the school
 grounds, in any building, or in attendance at any
 school activity of Littleton Public Schools," right?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. How is that communicated to the security team at the school so they know what to do if a suspended student shows up?
 - A. It was either a conversation or an e-mail

13 (Pages 49 to 52)

1.0

that we sent to them and shared that information.

Q. Okay. And the reason I ask is when I

look at the cc list on the suspension form, none of the student's teachers are copied and the security team is also not copied, correct?

A. Correct.

2.0

2.4

- Q. And the counseling office is also not copied, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. So whose job was it to form the security team at Arapahoe High School that Karl was not permitted to be on school grounds or school activities during the period of the suspension reflected in Exhibit 32?
 - A. It was my responsibility.
- Q. And do you recall, did you do that verbally or via an e-mail?
- A. I don't remember. I remember talking to Darrell about the suspension, and he oversaw the security team, so I don't recall if I told him in that conversation, Please tell them, or if I sent an e-mail, because we would always send an e-mail to the counselor as well as attendance clerk so they knew the student would be out for suspension.
 - Q. All right. We've been going for a little

A. It was short.

- O. A minute or less?
- A. Possibly, yeah.
- Q. All right. And after the conversation that included Natalie Pramenko ended, did your conversation with Tracy Murphy and Mark Loptien continue?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And how long was that conversation?
- A. I'd say a few minutes, a couple minutes. Maybe like five minutes.
- Q. All right. What, as best as you can recall, did Mr. Loptien tell you about what he had observed the previous day?
- A. That Karl had come out of the east entrance of the building, and he said, I'm going to kill that guy. Really loud. Mark, Mr. Loptien, heard that, and then quickly after, Karl and his mom headed to the cars and drove away.
- Q. All right. Did he describe Karl Pierson's demeanor or what he sounded like when he made the threat?
 - A. Yeah, he sounded really upset and angry.
- Q. And what about his physical demeanor? Was he doing anything from what Mr. Loptien told you?

under an hour and a half. Why don't we take five minutes.

(Recess taken, 10:22 a.m. to 10:38 a.m.)

- Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) I'll keep plowing along. The next significant behavioral incident that you became aware of involving Karl Pierson was the threat that he made to kill Tracy Murphy, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And that threat occurred on September 3, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And when did you become aware of it and how?
- A. September 4 in the afternoon following a staff meeting, and Natalie Pramenko and Tracy Murphy, as well as Mark Loptien, were all talking and Natalie called me over and said I need to talk to Mark and hear the conversation because I deal with the discipline for the seniors. And then I talked to Mark and Tracy and heard everything that was going on.
- Q. All right. How long was the conversation that you had with Natalie Pramenko on the afternoon of September 4?
 - A. At that point with them?
 - Q. Yes.

A. No, I don't recall what he said about his physical demeanor.

- Q. All right. And by this point, you were aware of at least two other incidents involving Karl Pierson, right? The Jackie Price incident and the Dan Swomley incident, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Were you aware that Karl Pierson was not at school that day, September 4?
- A. I was not. I'm sorry, can I rephrase the answer?
 - Q. Yeah.
- A. I wasn't until I spoke with Mrs. Pierson that afternoon.
- Q. Right. But neither the school nor the security team or Littleton Public Schools had done anything to prevent Karl Pierson from coming back to school on September 4, right?
 - A. As far as I know, yes.
- Q. How was Tracy Murphy's demeanor when he was telling you, along with Mr. Loptien, about this threat that Karl Pierson had made against his life?
- A. It wasn't anything I noted too much from his demeanor at that time, because he had just heard it too from Mr. Loptien.

14 (Pages 53 to 56)

57 59 1 1 A. Correct. Q. Was Mr. Murphy upset? 2 2 A. I think so. I mean, I don't recall, but O. The first one was the one-minute or so 3 3 I believe he was upset about that, yes. conversation involving you, Ms. Pramenko, Mr. Murphy, 4 Q. Did he tell you that he was scared? 4 and Mr. Loptien, right? 5 5 A. Uh-huh. Yes. A. Not that I recall. 6 6 Q. How soon after Mark Loptien and Tracy O. And then there was a second conversation 7 7 Murphy told you about this threat did you call Barbara that involved you, Mr. Murphy, and Mr. Loptien? 8 8 Pierson? 9 9 A. It was right after I heard about it that O. And then there was another conversation 10 10 I went down and called Mrs. Pierson. So in the span between vou and Ms. Pramenko --11 11 of ten minutes. A. Correct. 12 12 Q. And how long were you on the phone with Q. -- prior to your call with Barbara 13 13 **Barbara Pierson?** Pierson? 14 14 A. I don't recall. Probably around 10, 15 A. Correct. 15 15 O. Tell me about that conversation. minutes that we spoke. 16 16 Q. And as best as you can recall, what did A. I believe in that conversation Natalie 17 17 you discuss? What did you say and what did she say? and I talked about next steps, what does this look 18 A. So I remember speaking to her about the 18 like. And that's when we decided that we would do a 19 19 incident itself and asking her, you know, what threat assessment. 20 20 happened, to which she shared without me saying Q. All right. Was that her idea, yours, 21 21 joint? exactly what Loptien witnessed and shared with me, she 22 22 shared the same thing, Karl was upset, and said that A. I don't recall. 23 23 he was going to kill that guy in reference to Q. At this point, had you had any 24 Mr. Murphy. She did talk to me for a while about what 24 conversations with Esther Song about this incident? 25 25 happened that led up to it. You know, having that --A. No, I didn't. 58 60 1 1 what that meeting was about with Karl and Mr. Murphy O. And then the next step in these different 2 and Mrs. Pierson and what was shared in that meeting. 2 communications was your call with Barbara Pierson, 3 3 And I told her that at this point, I don't know if right? 4 4 Karl is going to be suspended and that we would have A. Correct. 5 5 to have him come in for a threat assessment to make Q. And you confirmed that Karl had made the 6 6 sure that he was safe to himself, as well as to statements that he made, right? 7 7 others, and then I set the date with her regarding A. Yes. 8 8 Q. You got some background on the meeting that meeting. 9 9 with Tracy Murphy? Q. So the date for the threat assessment was 10 10 set on -- during that first call with Barbara Pierson? A. Right. 11 11 Q. And you scheduled the threat assessment A. I believe so, yes. 12 12 itself? Q. And the decision to conduct a threat 13 13 assessment was one you made, either prior to or during A. Uh-huh. 14 14 Q. All right. And that's also when you your telephone conversation with Barbara Pierson; is 15 learned that Karl Pierson hadn't been to school that 15 that right? 16 16 A. That's correct. I know I spoke with day? 17 17 A. Yes. Natalie regarding doing a threat assessment. I'm not 1.8 18 exactly sure if that was -- I probably spoke to her Q. During that conversation with Barbara 19 Pierson, did you ask any questions about Karl's anger 19 prior to the -- I think I did, yes. I believe I spoke 20 20 levels on that day? with her prior to calling Mrs. Pierson. And then as 21 A. On how he was doing on the following day? 21 well as after to let her know how that conversation 2.2 22 Q. Yes. went 23 23 A. Yes, I did. Q. All right. So if I understand correctly 24 Q. And what did she tell you? 24

25

then, you've just described three different

conversations with Natalie Pramenko, right?

2.5

A. She said that he was still upset about

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

63

61

1	what happened about him being demoted as a captain.
2	And then we talked about that she would be keeping him
3	out of school for the next two days and then seeking
4	outside assistance through a psychologist or I
5	don't know if she actually said psychologist, but that
6	they were seeking outside counseling.

- Q. All right. Did you -- and I keep hearing you use the word "upset." One of the words that has been used regularly is angry. Did you discuss whether or not Karl was still angry?
 - A. I believe so, yes.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- Q. Tell me what she said about Karl's anger levels.
- A. I feel like she said that he was still angry and upset about that situation with Mr. Pierson -- with Mr. Murphy.
- Q. All right. And you said she mentioned that she was going to be taking him to get some kind of mental health evaluation, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Did you ask her whether or not Karl had been receiving any mental health treatment prior to this?
 - A. I don't recall if I did or not.
 - Q. And the reason I ask that is, just a few

could have the benefit of what you knew was going on with this kid?

- A. Because I shared it with them.
- Q. Verbally?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you also talk to Karl's father on the afternoon of September 4?
 - A. I did not.
- Q. And we know that Mr. Pierson attended the reentry meeting on September 9, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you talk to him at any point in time prior to that meeting?
- A. Other than with the incident that happened on March 15, no.
- Q. So I take it you left it to Mrs. Pierson to let her -- let Karl's father know about the meeting on the 9th?
 - A. Yes. She said she would invite him.
- Q. Okay. After your call with Barbara Pierson, you said you reported back to Natalie Pramenko, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And what did you and Mrs. Pramenko discuss after that call?

62

months earlier, you had, according to your testimony, suggested as a consequence of the Dan Swomley incident, that she put Karl into some type of anger management therapy, right?

- A. Right.
- Q. And you testified earlier that you did not follow up on that in the spring of 2013, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And now here we are with another major incident that demonstrated that Karl Pierson was still very angry. Why didn't you ask, Has he been in therapy like I suggested five months ago, six months ago?
- A. I didn't say I didn't ask. I don't remember if I asked or not.
- Q. Okay. And did you take any notes of this conversation?
 - A. No, I did not.
- Q. Well, one of the bedrock principles of performing a threat assessment is to document what you're doing so that it is available to the other members of the team, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Why didn't you write this stuff down so that the other members of the threat assessment team

A. I just shared with her the conversation that I had with Mrs. Pierson.

Q. All right. And did she have any instructions or advice for you about what you should do next?

- A. I don't remember what she shared in that meeting.
- Q. Okay. And you didn't write any of that down?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Do you know if she did?
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. During your conversations with Natalie Pramenko on September 4, did she ever express any interest or desire to be a part of the threat assessment on Karl Pierson?

(At this time Mr. Davis entered the room.)

- A. I don't recall if she said she wanted to or -- I mean, if she wanted to, I would have had her, but I don't recall a conversation that we had regarding her participation in the meeting.
 - Q. After you had talked with Barbara Pierson, did you report back to Tracy Murphy as well?
 - A. I did. I believe I spoke with him the

64

16 (Pages 61 to 64)

next day.

2.0

2.4

Q. Let's discuss that conversation. What did you tell him and what did he tell you that day?

A. He talked to me. I asked him a lot of questions about what happened in the meeting, like why he decided that Karl wasn't going to be allowed as the captain anymore of the speech and debate team. So Tracy shared about that. And then we also talked about what happened in the meeting itself.

Q. All right.

A. How he, you know, shared it, and I asked him questions regarding, Did you know it was also Karl's birthday that you had the meeting on and everything, and what was Karl's reactions in the meeting, what did it look like. And then --

Q. And what did he say?

A. He said he was -- he could tell that Karl was extremely upset. But he -- he didn't say anything. He was just quiet.

Q. I interrupted you. Go on and tell me the rest of that conversation you had with Tracy Murphy.

A. So I also shared with Tracy the next steps that we would be doing with Karl, that we would be holding a threat assessment meeting on Monday, and that Esther and I would be doing a threat assessment.

asking you about that, and he told you this, was because he was concerned for his safety, Tracy was?

- A. I don't recall everything that Tracy told me in that meeting.
- Q. Well, do you recall Tracy Murphy telling you he was afraid for his life at any point during the September 2013 time period?
- A. I remember him saying that he was scared, yeah.
- Q. And, in fact, it was that fear that you understood prompted his request to explore moving Karl back to his home school in Douglas County, right?
 - A. Correct.

Q. And you made the decision that that was something you would not entertain?

A. I don't -- I don't believe that's an option for a student who has gone through a threat assessment to send them -- because that would be an expulsion that you would have to do. So I did not think that that was an option for Karl.

Q. Well, is threatening to kill a teacher an expellable offense?

- A. Possibly. Depends on the situation.
- Q. Did you consult with anybody about whether or not Karl Pierson should be expelled for

And I described the process a little bit to him.

- Q. Did Tracy Murphy, in these conversations or in this conversation, tell you that he was concerned about Karl Pierson snapping?
 - A. I don't recall if he said snapping.
- Q. Wouldn't that have stuck in your memory if a teacher said, I'm worried about a kid snapping and acting violently?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. I can tell you that Tracy Murphy, when I took his deposition, told me that he did inform you that he was concerned about Karl snapping, and by "snapping" he meant commit an act of school -- of violence at school. Do you remember him telling you that?
 - A. I don't.
- Q. Do you recall Tracy Murphy having a conversation with you in which he asked whether it was an option to move Karl Pierson out of the Littleton Public School District and back to Douglas County?
- A. I'm not sure if it was that meeting that he shared that, but, yes, in one of our meetings he did ask me whether or not we could send Karl back to his home school.
 - Q. And the reason that Tracy Murphy was

threatening to kill Tracy Murphy?

A. Natalie Pramenko and I talked about, if there should be consequences that are tied to what he said

Q. And in this case, the two of you made the decision not to either suspend or expel him; is that right?

- A. Correct.
- Q. Why?

A. For a suspension, it was looked at and as an impulsive reaction to something that, you know, Karl loved. He held it together in that meeting without saying anything inappropriate to Mr. Murphy, and he said it, you know, in the school parking lot. If it was said in the car, we would have never heard anything about it. It was looked at as this is a thing that, you know, Karl struggled with his anger before and this is an outburst. And then when you go through the threat assessment and he's apologetic -- Karl was apologetic for what he said, saying that it was inappropriate and he should not have said it. Those are some of the reasons why we decided not to suspend him.

Q. Well, you decided not to suspend him before you had that meeting, right?

17 (Pages 65 to 68)

A. That --

Q. So the fact he apologized on September 9 played no role whatsoever in the decision of whether to suspend or expel Karl Pierson --

A. No. it did.

Q. -- isn't that right?

A. No. Because when I heard about the situation after that staff meeting, we could have decided at that point what are we going to do. He's not going to be here for the next few days. Do we suspend or do we look more into the situation. At that time, I hadn't even spoke to the student. So I didn't feel like doing a -- kind of a preemptive suspension was appropriate.

Now, at the threat assessment, that was one thing that I shared with the Pierson family that as we go through this, and I shared with Mrs. Pierson on the phone that if information comes out, that we can, in fact, suspend Karl. And it was deemed at that point, primarily by myself, that he would not be suspended.

Q. Okay. One of the things that Tracy Murphy asked you to do was pull the security footage from the video camera in the parking lot on the east side of the school, right? A. I know now that he felt that way.

Q. Did he ever express that to you in the fall of 2013?

A. No, I don't believe so. Mr. Murphy actually thanked me for how I handled the situation following the meeting we had in September. I believe it was September 26.

Q. Okay. And that was specific to the decision to essentially take the heat for the decision to remove him from the debate team altogether and have Tracy override that decision to let him stay on the team, right?

A. I'm confused how you worded that question, sir.

Q. Well, strike it, and we'll come back to that because that's the September 26 meeting. After your conversations with Barbara Pierson, Natalie Pramenko, and Tracy Murphy about this threat and your plan to conduct a threat assessment meeting, what did you do next?

A. Can you repeat the question?

Q. Sure. Well, we know you heard about the threat from Tracy Murphy and Mark Loptien, right?

A. Right.

Q. Talked about it with Natalie?

A. Correct.

 $Q. \ \ \, And \ \, he \ \, felt \ \, that \ \, was \ \, important, \ \, didn't$

he?

A. He did.

Q. He asked you and others multiple times to review that footage, correct?

A. Correct.

Q. Did you ever review that footage?

A. I did not.

Q. Did you make any effort whatsoever to pull that security video?

A. I did not.

Q. Why not?

A. Because it was already -- when Tracy talked to me, it was a good two weeks after the incident. Typically with the security, they wipe those videos after a week or two. I felt too that there was no -- because of the -- there was no audio in the videos, that it was not helpful. And we had an eyewitness statement from Mark Loptien who witnessed the whole thing, I didn't feel like the video would give us any more information than we already had.

Q. And you know that Tracy Murphy was very concerned that you were not taking the threat made by Karl Pierson as seriously as it deserved to be taken?

A. Uh-huh.

Q. And then you called Mrs. Pierson, right?

A. Right.

Q. And then you reported back to Natalie,

right?

A. Yeah.

Q. What was the next step --

A. Sure.

Q. -- you took in this threat assessment process?

A. So the next step I took was that following day I spoke with Esther Song and talked to her about what happened with the situation with Karl, and I gave her all of the information that -- of my conversation with Mrs. Pierson, my conversation with Tracy, and we talked about next steps for the threat assessment and what that would look like on Monday.

Q. All right. So this is all on November 5, right?

A. September 5.

Q. Okay. During your discussion with Esther Song about what the next steps would look like, what were those next steps?

A. So the next steps was we would look at pulling all of -- well, I shared with Esther a lot of

18 (Pages 69 to 72)

73 75 1 1 there? the discipline, you know, especially the last 2 2 situation of what happened in Mr. Swomley's class. So A. Yes. 3 I discussed that with her. And then I forget if we 3 Q. And I take it you didn't do anything to 4 talked more about the contact log or what she was correct that oversight on your part? 5 5 going to do, but I know she provided for me the threat A. Correct. Actually, can I go back? 6 assessment paperwork. 6 O. Sure. 7 Q. So the blank form? A. I don't remember -- I don't remember 8 8 A. Correct. seeing it and thinking, Wow, I didn't put that in. I 9 Q. Okay. 9 know that happened -- I know that definitely happened 10 10 A. And then after that, you know, I went and after, but I don't recall exactly when that was. 11 did some research on the intranet about threat 11 Q. Okay. When you reviewed Karl Pierson's assessments that Nate's offices provided. 12 12 behavior detail report as part of your preparation for 13 Q. All right. When you say you went to the 13 the threat assessment process, do you recall taking 14 intranet to do some research on threat assessments, 14 note of some incidents that happened in elementary what were you looking at? 15 15 school with Karl? 16 A. So I was looking at what documentation is 16 A. I remember that there was something that 17 needed for it, any questions that they have that they 17 happened in elementary, correct. 18 recommend. I was just trying to get a better 18 Q. What do you recall about those incidents 19 understanding or a better grasp of what does a threat 19 in elementary school and how they affected your 20 assessment look like. 20 thinking about how big of a threat Karl Pierson posed? 21 Q. And that's because you only had done two 21 A. They seemed like minor incidents to me 22 previously, right? 2.2 when I read them. 23 A. Correct. 23 Q. And the minor incidents -- and you can 24 Q. Now, from your answer, it wasn't clear to 24 look along on Exhibit 24, it's the second page. The 25 me who was responsible for pulling and reviewing 25 minor incidents were Karl hitting two students with 74 76 1 1 Karl's behavioral detail report? Can you tell me who his lunch box --2 did that? MR. EVERALL: Wait a second. 3 3 A. I don't remember if that's -- I mean, I O. (BY MR. ROCHE) Sure. It's the second 4 4 know I talked about -- for the behavior report, I know page of Exhibit 24. So the two minor incidents that 5 5 I talked about his behavior that was in campus. you just mentioned were an incident in November 2003 6 6 Q. And I understand that, but my question where Karl hit two students with his lunch box because 7 is, as part of the threat assessment process, who was 7 they weren't moving fast enough in the lunch line, 8 responsible for pulling Karl Pierson's behavioral 8 right? 9 detail report and reviewing it? Was that you or 9 10 10 Esther? Q. And the second incident was Karl, a month 11 A. I don't know who was responsible for 11 later, kicking a student in the stomach and hitting 12 12 another student in the head while they were sitting on 13 13 Q. Well, did you pull Karl Pierson's a wall, right? 14 behavioral detail report as part of preparing for this 14 A. Correct. 15 threat assessment and reentry meeting? 15 Q. Did you discuss those two incidents with 16 A. I looked at it, yes. I wouldn't say I 16 Esther Song as part of your preparation for the threat 17 17 pulled it. assessment? 18 Q. Okay. But you looked at it online? 18 A. I don't remember. 19 A. Correct. 19 Q. Do you recall discussing those incidents 20 Q. Okay. Do you know whether or not Esther 20 with either Karl or his parents on September 9? 21 did that? 21 A. No, I did not. 22 A. I don't know. I can't speak to that. 22 Q. And I take it from your testimony a

Q. When you looked at Karl's behavior detail

report in the fall of 2013, did you notice that the

Dan Swomley incident from six months prior wasn't

23

24

25

23

2.4

25

minute ago that you considered these minor incidents

that this history of violence didn't influence your

thinking on what level threat to assign to Karl

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

79

80

77

Pierson in the fall of 2013; is that right?

A. Correct. I feel like those incidents, looking at how they happened ten years prior, and when you look at what the resolution was for the student, writing an apology letter, sitting against the wall, student conference, that this happens a lot in elementary school and that you see things like this. And when there is not a suspension attached to it, then it does take on a different light, if you may.

Q. All right. And let's keep walking through the steps you took after your conversations on September 4. You said you looked at the behavior detail reports, right?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q. Did you also look at the counseling contact log?

A. I did.

Q. And was there anything in the counseling contact log that raised concerns in your mind about how serious a threat Karl Pierson posed in the fall of 2013?

A. Any new?

O. Yes.

A. No, there was nothing new that I saw.

Q. And that's because you already knew about

A. So there were C's peppered in his classes throughout his stay at Arapahoe.

Q. Sure. But in the fall semester of 2013, his grades went off a cliff, right?

A. They declined.

Q. Several F's, several D's?

A. I don't remember them being several F's.

Q. Okay.

A. I remember him having a 59 percent in world lit, a D in AP economics, a C in AP U.S. history. I believe he was -- he had an A in international relations, and I think he had a B in weight training.

Q. Do you recall if he had any F's his fall semester?

A. His F was in -- well, that 59 percent, so that was an F, was world lit, and then he also had an F in physics.

Q. So he had two F's, and at least one or two D's, right?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And you know that now. My question though is this -- given that it was so early in the year that this threat assessment was being done, you're right looking at his grades on

78

1 September 4 wasn't going to tell you much, right?

2 A. Correct. 3 Q. Did you look at his grades again at any 4 point prior to the shooting?

A. Yes, I did.

Q. When did you do that?

A. We would typically pull D and F reports every week. So, yes, I don't recall which week it stood out that -- or that Karl was on that report when that started.

Q. All right. But that -- as I understand it, the D and F reports are an automatically generated report that is provided to each grade level assistant principal, right?

A. And counselor, yeah.

Q. And the counseling department?

A. Yeah.

Q. All right. And at some point in the fall of 2013, you noticed that Karl was on that report?

A. Yes.

Q. Aside from seeing that automatically generated report, did you ever actually do anything to pull up his transcript or grades in the fall of 2013 after the threat assessment meeting?

A. I think I did it for the September 26

the Jackie Price incident in the fall of 2011?

A. Correct.

Q. What other records did you look at as part of your preparation for the threat assessment and reentry meeting on September 9?

A. So I looked at attendance as well as grades.

Q. And what did you note, if anything, about Karl's attendance and grades?

A. His attendance was good. Also, it was so early in the school year, it's hard to be bad at that point. And I don't recall anything really standing out with his grades, because, again, it was early in the school year.

Q. And we now know, with the benefit of hindsight, that Karl's grades dropped precipitously in the fall semester of 2013, right?

A. Correct.

Q. He had been basically a B student for the first three years of his high school career, right?

A. Not exactly. I mean, overall, yes. His freshman year, I mean, I remember him having a D, a C, and did it -- got an NC on one of this classes as well.

Q. Right.

20 (Pages 77 to 80)

	81		83
1	meeting.	1	Q. All right. Did you ever ask Kelly Talen
2	Q. Okay.	2	if she followed up with Karl Pierson on the fact that
3	A. But I don't recall after that if I pulled	3	his name appeared on the list of students with D's and
4	them up prior to getting a D and F report.	4	F's?
5	Q. All right. And when you noticed that	5	A. I don't remember.
6	Karl Pierson was on the D and F report well, help	6	Q. Do you know whether or not she followed
7	me understand, does the D and F report just have a	7	up with Karl Pierson on the fact that he was on this D
8	list of names of kids who have either D's or F's or	8	and F list?
9	does it also show what classes those are in?	9	A. Kelly was really good at her job, so I
10	A. It has which classes as well as the	10	would like to think that she did. But I don't know.
11	letter grade.	11	I have no support that she did speak with him.
12	Q. Okay. And when you saw that Karl	12	Q. Okay. Well, after the September 9 and
13	Pierson's name appeared apparently repeatedly on the D	13	September 26 meeting with Karl Pierson's parents, did
14	and F list	14	you ever have discussion with them about the fact that
15	A. I don't know if it was repeatedly. I	15	their son was on the list of students who were failing
16	don't know when it first showed up.	16	some classes or getting D's in some classes?
17	Q. Well, because there were multiple classes	17	A. Other than December 11, I did not have
18	is what I mean.	18	that conversation.
19	A. But I don't	19	Q. Well, on December 11, that's when you
20	Q. Sorry, to talk over you. Let's start	20	talked to Mrs. Pierson about the Vicki Lombardi
21	over. When you saw that Karl had D or F grades in	21	incident, right?
22	several classes in the fall of 2013, what did you do?	22	A. As well as Karl's grades.
23	A. I don't I don't remember it being	23	Q. Okay. Do you recall Tracy Murphy
24	several, but I remember him being on the D and F list,	24	bringing Karl's grades to your attention in the fall
25	and I don't recall because what Kelly Talen and I	25	of 2013?
	82		84
1	would typically do is sit together, the counselor, and	1	A. I do.
2	we would go through and say, Okay, you talk to these	2	Q. And when was that, as best you can
3	kids, I talk to these kids, because we were working	3	recall?
4	hard to get those kids to make sure that, you know,	4	A. I don't remember when that was.
5	they could graduate on time. So I don't recall whose	5	Q. You know that Mr. Murphy as the faculty
6	responsibility it was to talk to Karl.	6	sponsor of the speech and debate team would receive
7	Q. And as a senior, Karl was at risk of not	7	eligibility reports on all of his team members, right?
8	graduating if he failed all of the classes that	8	A. Yes.
9	appeared on that list?	9	Q. That's what prompted him to bring the
10	A. He needed, after junior year, three more	10	fact that Karl was failing classes to your attention,
11	credits for language arts to meet Arapahoe	11	right?
12	requirements, but that was it.	12	A. Correct.
13	Q. And did you you don't recall who was	13	Q. When Karl well, strike that.
14	assigned the responsibility of following up with Karl	14	When Tracy Murphy brought the problems
15	on the fact that he was on the D and F list?	15	with Karl's grades to your attention, what did you do?
16	A. No, I would like to think that, you know,	16	A. I don't remember if I talked to Kelly
17	if it was myself, I would have met with him, so	17	Talen about it or I don't remember how what
18	Q. Do you recall meeting with Karl to	18	happened next. I don't remember the
19	discuss the fact that he was on the list of students	19	Q. Well, Tracy Murphy didn't bring Karl's
20	who had D and F grades?	20	grades to you on December 11, did he?
21	A. No. I talked to him about his grades on	21	A. No.
22	December 11.	22	Q. It was sometime prior to that, right?
23	Q. All right. And that was after the Vicki	23	A. Right.
24	Lombardi incident?	24	Q. So you're not sure what you did. Let's
25	A. Correct.	25	talk about what you know you didn't do. You didn't

talk to Karl about the grades, did you, at the time that Tracy Murphy brought those to your attention?

A. I did not.

2.2

- Q. And you didn't call his parents to raise any concerns that you or Tracy Murphy had about the fact that Karl's grades were declining, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And what Tracy Murphy said was that when he told you about the grades and that he considered that a big red flag, you shrugged your shoulders and kind of brushed it off saying, Let him hang himself, that kind of thing?
- A. No. That is absolutely incorrect. I would never say that.
- Q. Well, can you point me to any action you took to investigate or ameliorate the situation that existed when Tracy Murphy came to you and said, I'm concerned about Karl's grades?
- A. I really don't remember what I did after that. I do know when Tracy said that, you know, Look at his grades. And I forget exactly what he had at that time, but the classes that Karl was struggling in, I understood why he was struggling in those classes. And Karl also, in working with him the year previous, did have grades that would fluctuate a lot.

the September 26 meeting, ever look at Karl's attendance records again?

- A. I don't remember. He wasn't ever on the attendance list for concerns our attendance secretary would draw those up.
- Q. And, again, there would be a weekly report that said, Here's the kids who have missed these days and --
 - A. Or have tardies, yes.
- Q. So there was nothing in his attendance records that gave you concern in the fall of 2013, right?
 - A. That I remember, no.
- Q. Other than pulling or looking at the behavior detail report, the contact logs, the grades, the attendance, and counseling records, did you do anything else to prepare for the threat assessment team meeting that you led in September?
- A. So I also looked on the intranet at that site to see what other documentation or what support -- you know, or information I could glean from that. But I also spoke with Natalie as well as the administrative team.
- Q. Tell me about the discussion you had with Natalie and the administrative team in the days

Q. Well, Tracy Murphy went on to say that he got the impression that you were just fed up with Karl, that you were tired of him and tired of dealing with him. Okay. He's not saying you said that, but my question is, in the late fall of 2013, were you just fed up with Karl and tired of dealing with him?

- A. No. I wanted Karl to be successful, and it's frustrating when you hear that a student is struggling with their grades. But I wasn't fed up with him.
- Q. Well, if you wanted him to be successful and you just heard from Tracy Murphy that he wasn't being successful, what did you do to act on your desire to see Karl Pierson succeed?
- A. So I could tell you typically what I would --
- Q. No, I want to know what you did, not what you typically do.
 - A. I don't remember what I did.
- Q. Okay. Let's go back to the preparation for the threat assessment, because that's how we went down this road of talking about Karl's grades. You mentioned you looked at the attendance records, right?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Did you, after the threat assessment and

leading up to the threat assessment meeting that you conducted with Esther Song on Karl Pierson.

A. So part of -- I don't recall all of my conversations. I recall conversations I had with Steve Sisler, being the athletic director and talking to him about a situation -- about the situation with Karl and talking to him, Okay, so here's an issue with a coach, speech and debate coach, and a student. How would you handle that if it was, you know, on the football team or volleyball or whatever? So Steve and I spoke individually about that.

Q. And what did he tell you?

A. He told me it is really up to the coach, because the question I was asking is, you know, I feel like I should just kick Karl off the team. And Tracy still wanted him to participate and to be a part of the team and Steve's recommendation to me was it's really up to the coach and how they want to handle it and that I should really talk to Tracy and get as much information I can about how he feels and what he wants to do with the situation.

- Q. Okay. What about discussions with Natalie Pramenko or the other assistant principals, the other members of the administrative team?
 - A. I don't recall exactly what I shared in

22 (Pages 85 to 88)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

91

89

those meetings, but I know leading up to it was, this is what we're going through, and then it was the follow-up of we had the threat assessment, this is what was said, this is what I'm doing, you know, with the meeting in two weeks. So it was conversations like that.

- Q. And you're talking about the administrative team meetings that happened once a week?
- A. Yeah. And I also had individual meetings with Natalie once a week.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall discussing Karl Pierson at these administrative team meetings prior to conducting the threat assessment meeting?
- A. Yes, I believe I might have brought him up with -- in Swomley's class.
- Q. Well, take a look at Exhibit 12, because we have those administrative team meeting agenda items, and you'll see that on September 9, which was the day that you had the threat assessment meeting with Karl, 2052 --
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Have you got that there?
- A. I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q. There's a safety and security agenda item

then everyone made copies of their agendas. So at times it would be for me I would think in that meeting, Okay, I need to talk about this student, bring this up, and sometimes I would put it in, and sometimes, you know, I would just talk about the student.

- Q. Do you still have your agendas, your agendas, for the administrative team meetings for the fall of 2013?
- A. I believe I do. I'm not a hundred percent sure.
 - Q. Where would those be?
 - A. Just in my Google documents.

MR. ROCHE: I'd like to get a copy of all of the administrative members' team meeting agendas for this time period.

MR. EVERALL: All right.

MR. ROCHE: Let's just say the fall -let's just say the 2013-2014 school year.

MR. EVERALL: Okay.

Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) Going back to this time period prior to after the threat was made, but before you conducted a threat assessment meeting with Karl Pierson and his parents, do you recall Tracy Murphy coming to you and telling you that he was so afraid

90

and a discipline item, right? And there's no reference or mention of Karl Pierson there, is there?

A. No. But I didn't put down in this every student that was brought up. It wasn't like a student had to be put down in the -- down in the agenda for that student to be spoken about.

- Q. Well, that's what I'm trying to get at, and you'll see, and we'll get there, that in the next administrative team meeting there is a reference to Karl.
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. My question, though, is what you were doing leading up to the threat assessment meeting that you conducted. And my question is, did you discuss it with the entire administrative team prior to --
 - A. In that meeting?
 - Q. -- having your meeting?
 - A. I believe I did, yes.
- Q. So if it's not on the September 9 administrative team meeting agenda that's -- it just isn't there, it doesn't mean it wasn't discussed?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. All right.
- A. Everyone had their own separate agendas too, but there was a shared one that Natalie had, and

that Karl would act on that threat that he was seriously considering resigning his job and leaving **Arapahoe High School?**

- A. I remember Tracy saying that he did think about resigning. That was not the first time that Tracy ever brought that up with me, that he brought it up in the year previously to Bryan Jesse, and then me in my first year when I was activities director.
- Q. All right. Well, let's talk about those two previous incidents where Tracy Murphy had mentioned to you that he was considering resigning, and it sounds like one in 2011 and one in 2012?
- A. One was mentioned to Bryan Jesse, which I heard about, not directly to me, but the one, my first year as an AP at Arapahoe, he brought it up directly to me.
- Q. And what did he tell you that first year as an AP about retiring or resigning?
- A. He felt that -- because I talked to him about being the speech and debate coach. At that point we didn't have one.
 - Q. All right.
- A. And he said it was a struggle and it took him awhile to accept that he wanted to be the speech and debate coach. He was the only teacher that we had

92

23 (Pages 89 to 92)

93

- at that point that had any type of, at all, you know, previous experience with speech and debate. So that year he talked to me about it. I don't recall exactly when it was, but he was frustrated with being a speech and debate coach. He felt like it was too hard, too much, so he wanted to resign that position. And he also shared that it was kind of a consistent theme that he felt that he wasn't valued as a librarian and that maybe it would be better for our FTE if we just had a part-time person to take care of the library and that we could give it to another area of the school.
- Q. All right. So the prior discussions you either had with Tracy Murphy or heard about concerning Tracy Murphy resigning had nothing to do with fear for his life, did they?
 - A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. That first came up after Karl Pierson threatened to kill him, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And when Tracy Murphy told you that he was thinking of quitting his job and leaving Arapahoe High School in the fall of 2013, he made clear he was considering doing that because he was afraid Karl Pierson was going to act on the threat to kill him, didn't he?

it.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And what did Natalie say?

- A. I believe her and I talked about the process and how that -- you know, it was early on, Tracy is an emotional guy, and that we would go through the threat assessment process and see how Tracy feels after that.
- Q. Okay. Well, I appreciate that. My question was, when you told Natalie Pramenko that Tracy was so afraid of Karl that he was seriously considering resigning, what did she say as best as you can recall?
 - A. I thought I answered that question.
- Q. You described what you did. I'm asking what Natalie said.
- A. Oh, I can't say specifically what she said. I can't say that that was the conversation that
- Q. Did the fact that Tracy Murphy was so concerned about this threat affect your thinking about how seriously you should take the threat?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And was part of your thinking in that regard that Tracy Murphy was one of the faculty members, if not the faculty member, who knew Karl

94

A. Yes.

Q. When you heard that Tracy Murphy was so afraid of Karl acting on his threat to kill him, that he was seriously considering leaving the school, what was your reaction?

A. I was surprised by his reaction. I knew he was upset by what Karl said, but I didn't think that, you know, he felt that strongly about it. And that's why I met with him several times after that to see how he felt. I also shared with Tracy that there is not a lot that we could do in the sense of, We need to go through a process to see if Karl is safe to come back to school, to see if he's a threat to others.

- Q. Did you tell Tracy Murphy that he was overreacting to the threat that Karl made?
 - A. I don't feel like I said that, no.
- Q. Did you discourage him from resigning from Arapahoe based on his fears about Karl Pierson?
- A. I feel like I did tell him that I didn't want him to resign, yes.
- Q. All right. Did you share with anybody else that Tracy Murphy was so afraid of Karl Pierson making good on his threat, that he was seriously considering leaving the school?
 - A. Yeah, I talked to Natalie Pramenko about

Pierson the best?

- A. I wouldn't say he knew him the best.
- Q. And I understand that, but my question is a little bit different than that. My question is, did the fact that -- you would agree that Tracy Murphy was one of the adults in the building at Arapahoe High School who knew Karl Pierson best, right?
 - A. That knew him well, yeah.
- Q. All right. And did the fact that Tracy Murphy, who knew Karl Pierson certainly better than you did, right?
 - A. Uh-huh.
- O. Was that a concern about the threat -how did that shape your thinking in deciding what level of threat Karl posed?
 - A. It did.
- O. How?
 - A. Well, I don't know -- you know, if I had a coach that went through this situation and said, It's not a big deal, the student said it, I understand he's upset, then I don't know if I would have done half of what I did with Karl and the amount of time I spent with this, working with Karl and Tracy together.
 - Q. All right. You mentioned you're not sure whether or not Tracy was the faculty member who knew

96

24 (Pages 93 to 96)

Davis v. Littleton Public School District KEVIN KOLASA 97 99 1 1 responsible adult? Karl best, right? 2 2 A. Correct. A. Yes. 3 3 Q. Do you know who was the faculty member Q. Okay. How did you come to learn that? 4 who knew Karl best? 4 A. I believe that he had trusting 5 5 A. No. relationships with a lot of his teachers, that he 6 6 Q. As part of your performance of this didn't typically have issues in class. 7 7 threat assessment, did you make any effort to find out Q. What led you to conclude that Karl had a 8 8 which faculty member knew Karl Pierson the best? trusting relationship with those responsible adults? 9 9 A. No. I didn't. A. It was just my perception. I didn't --10 10 Q. Did you ask those teachers? Q. As part of the performance of this threat 11 11 A. -- ask who has a trusting relationship assessment, did you do anything to investigate whether 12 12 or not Karl had a good relationship with any faculty with Karl. 13 13 member, a trusting relationship? Q. Did you ask Karl if he had a trusting 14 14 relationship with any of those teachers, or did you A. Did I investigate that? 15 just assume it because he hadn't been in trouble in 15 Q. Yes. 16 16 those classes? A. No. 17 17 A. Uh-huh. I don't know. I know that Dan O. Why not? 18 18 A. I don't know. I know that with his Swomley felt like he had a trusting relationship with 19 19 Karl. I don't know if Karl felt the same way. teachers, that he did have a good relationship with 20 Q. Let me ask it this way. These 11 20 some of them. 21 21 questions that are listed on page 3435 that were Q. Did you talk to any of those teachers as 22 22 created by the Secret Service, they don't appear on part of your performance with this threat assessment? 23 23 the LPS threat assessment form, do they? A. No, I didn't. 24 24 A. No. Q. Why not? 25 25 A. Because I felt that the threat itself was Q. And I have not seen anything that 98 100 1 1 impulsive, that there wasn't a lot leading into it for indicates that you and Esther Song made any effort to 2 his feelings toward Tracy Murphy, other than the 2 answer these 11 questions as part of the threat 3 3 meeting they just had a couple minutes prior. assessment that you did on Karl Pierson. So my 4 4 Q. And the reason I'm asking some of these question is, did you make an effort to answer these 11 5 questions -- and you can look at Exhibit 5 if you 5 questions when you performed your threat assessment on 6 6 would in this book, that's the Colorado School Safety Karl? 7 Resource Center guidance on the essentials of school 7 A. Could I have a moment to review them? 8 8 threat assessments and how to prevent targeted school Q. Of course. 9 9 violence, right? A. How would you like me to go through 10 10 A. Yes. these? 11 11

Q. And one of the things -- and I'm going to direct your attention to page 3435, and you'll see in the middle of the page on 3435 is a list of 11 key questions that the Secret Service has identified as being important to a threat assessment inquiry, right?

A. Yes.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q. One of those questions, No. 7, is, "Does the student have a trusting relationship with at least one responsible adult," right?

A. Yes.

Q. That's why I'm asking about what you did to find out whether or not Karl had a good or trusting relationship with any faculty members. And do you know or did you know in the fall of 2013 whether Karl had a trusting relationship with at least one

Q. Well, let's start as broadly as possible. Had you ever seen this list of 11 questions prior to September of 2013?

A. No, I don't believe so.

Q. So you would agree with me that you didn't answer these 11 questions in the context of these are the 11 questions I need to answer in performing a threat assessment, correct?

A. No, because I think some of -- when I --I didn't get a chance to read all of them, but when I read No. 1, and I look at what you have for No. 2 and I stop there, that a lot of these questions in some form are in the threat assessment themselves.

Q. Absolutely, and I don't mean to suggest that they aren't.

25 (Pages 97 to 100)

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

101 103 1 1 A. Okay. Q. Didn't search his car? 2 2 Q. But my question is, did you make an A. No. 3 3 effort to follow this list of 11 key questions in Q. Didn't ask for permission to have 4 4 evaluating what level threat Karl Pierson posed? somebody search his room or his home? 5 5 A. To that I would say yes, but some of 6 6 these questions are in the threat assessment. So, O. Didn't talk to any of his friends? 7 7 thereafter, we did go through them to evaluate whether A. Did not. 8 8 he was a low, medium, or high concern. Q. Didn't ask to look at his phone? 9 Q. All right. Let's go through those. What 9 A. No. 10 10 did you do -- what did you and Esther Song do to Q. You just took his word for it that he 11 11 investigate what Karl's motives and goals were? wasn't going to act on his threat to kill Mr. Murphy? 12 12 A. So that was a conversation with Karl A. I took his word for it, as well as his 13 13 during the threat assessment, you know, when you're parents' word for it, yes. 14 14 asking questions like, Why did you say what you said? Q. Let's talk about the third question, "Has 15 15 What's your intent? Is there going to be -- how do the subject shown an appropriate interest in school 16 16 attacks or attackers, weapons, incidents of mass you feel towards Tracy Murphy now? And Karl shared 17 17 violence?" Do you see that? that he was angry, that he shouldn't have said what he 18 said, but he was really upset. 18 A. I do. 19 19 Q. All right. What about question 2? "Have Q. Now, I understand that on the threat 20 20 there been any communications suggesting ideas or assessment form that you and Esther Song completed, 21 21 intent to attack?" there was a question about whether Karl had access to 22 22 A. To that, Karl said, I'm not going to -- I weapons, right? 23 23 said it because I was upset. It was an outburst. A. Correct. 24 Because I asked him directly, Are you going to kill 24 Q. And I think there's a question as well 25 Mr. Murphy, because that's what you said. Is that 25 about inappropriate interest in violence, correct? 102 104 1 1 something you are going to act on? And he said, No, I A. I think so. 2 Q. And fascinations with weapons, bomb 3 3 Q. Did you do anything beyond asking Karl to makings, or other acts of violence or destruction, 4 4 investigate whether he had any intent to attack? correct? 5 5 A. Well, in having his parents participate A. Correct. 6 6 in the threat assessment, they too hear those Q. What did you do to answer that question 7 7 questions, and I don't know if we asked them directly, as part of the threat assessment process in September 8 8 you know, if Karl has any plans to attack Mr. Murphy. of 2013? 9 9 Q. Well, let's look at some of the things A. We spoke with Karl regarding those. 10 10 Q. So tell me how that conversation occurs. that you could have done and ask whether you did them. 11 You didn't talk to his teachers, right? 11 You ask Karl, Karl, do you have an inappropriate 12 12 interest in weapons or violence? A. Correct. 13 13 Q. You didn't look at any of his writing A. No. For the question regarding the guns, 14 14 I know we asked, Do you have access to guns? And, you assignments, drawings, anything like that that might 15 15 know, turning to the parents as well and asking them have revealed an intent to attack, right? 16 the same question, Do you have interest in guns? Can 16 A. I did not. 17 17 you obtain a gun? Those are all questions that we Q. You didn't look at his Facebook page or 18 18 any social media accounts to see if they would reveal asked. Now, how it was phrased for, Do you have 19 appropriate -- I forget exactly how it was worded --19 any intent to attack, right? 20 interest in mass violence or anything? It wouldn't be 2.0 A. No, I did not. 21 worded that way, but it would be worded in another 21 O. Didn't search his locker? 22 22 way. I don't recall exactly how --A. No. 23 Q. Okay. 23 Q. Didn't search his backpack to see if 24 A. -- it was stated in that meeting. 24 there were signs or evidence of an intent to attack? 25 A. No, I did not. Q. So other than asking Karl and his parents 25

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

105

107

108

about this, did you do anything else to investigate whether Karl had shown inappropriate interest in school attacks or attackers or weapons and incidents of mass violence?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- Q. Did you or Esther Song or anybody at Arapahoe High School engage in any follow-up or monitoring of Karl Pierson on those subjects, his interest in weapons, incidents of mass violence, school shootings, those kinds of things?
 - A. I don't know how you would do that.
- Q. All right. I take it that the answer is you didn't do any follow-up or monitoring on Karl's interest in those things because you didn't know how to do it?
- A. We had follow-up and monitoring of Karl, and, therefore, if he was drawing pictures of guns, it would have been brought to our attention, but there wasn't, I am going to seek out to make sure that he is not looking up guns. Yeah. No, I don't know how that aspect of it would be done.
- Q. Now, you mentioned if Karl was making drawings of guns, that would have been brought to your attention, right?
 - A. Correct.

attention?

106

Q. Who would have brought that to your

A. It would depend. You know, if it happened in class, typically teachers would bring that forward. We had that happen quite a bit.

- Q. Did you tell Mr. and Mrs. Pierson to be on the lookout for any evidence that Karl was displaying an interest in school attacks, weapons, or incidents of mass violence?
 - A. I don't remember.
- Q. And certainly they never came back to you and told you that Karl was displaying any interest in guns, school shootings, incidents of mass violence, right?
- A. Right. Can I get some more water? Is that --
 - Q. Yes.
- A. We can continue. I don't need a break. I just need some water.
- Q. We can go ahead and take a quick break for the lunch hour if you want to do that or if you want to keep pushing through, that's fine too.
 - A. How much time do you need?
 - **Q.** Oh, we're going to be here all day. MR. ROCHE: We can go off the record.

(Recess taken, 11:55 a.m. to 11:56 a.m.)

Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) Well, we now know that -- actually, strike that.

There were people at Arapahoe High School who knew a couple of months prior to the shooting that Karl was looking at guns on the Internet, correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. And that was something that a couple of the campus security people noticed --
 - A. Yes.
- Q. -- and brought to the attention of the administration, right?
 - A. To an administrator.
- Q. To Darrell Meredith?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And Darrell Meredith had been on these administrative team meetings where Karl Pierson and the threat that he had conveyed were discussed, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So Darrell knew that threatened -- that Karl had threatened to kill Tracy Murphy?
 - A. Yes
- Q. And, in fact, Darrell was the head of security for the building, wasn't he?
 - A. Yes.

Q. And as part of the follow-up or monitoring that you had recommended in the threat

assessment, campus security was asked to keep an eye out for Karl?

A. Uh-huh.

- Q. And sure enough, they did, and they noticed Karl looking at guns, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And according to them, scenes of other mass shootings like Newton and Sandy Hook, right?
 - A. I didn't hear that.
- Q. Okay. Did Darrell tell you that Karl had been seen looking at guns on the Internet?
 - A. He told me after the shooting.
- Q. Did you know about that prior to the shooting?
 - A. I did not.
- Q. Did you ask him why on earth he didn't tell you about it, given that his team was supposed to be keeping an eye on this kid who had threatened to kill a teacher?
- A. I did.
 - Q. And what did he say?
 - A. He said that, you know, What will we do in that situation? He's just looking up guns. That's

27 (Pages 105 to 108)

not against policy, or anything else, a student is allowed to do that. And I said, Well, I would have looked into the threat assessment more knowing that. And we left it at that.

- Q. That would have been an important fact for you to know as the administrator responsible for conducting and following up on the threat assessment that was done on Karl Pierson?
 - A. Yes.

Q. If you had been told that Karl was looking at guns on the Internet in the cafeteria at Arapahoe High School a few weeks after threatening to kill a teacher, what would you have done?

A. Number one, I would have investigated to see what exactly he was looking up, what was -- you know, were there other students with him, was he showing students, was it a picture of a gun that he owned, was it on a Web site looking to purchase a gun. So I would try to find out as much as I possibly could at that point.

And then for me, because I had a threat assessment on Karl, I would have talked to Natalie as well as Nate Thompson. I would have talked to Nate about, Okay, so now what? You know, because you have an issue that potentially is not a violation against a

1 "attack-related behaviors" to mean?

A. I'm not a hundred percent. I would -- I'm assuming that as -- you know, physical, physical violence --

Q. All right.

A. -- is attack-related behaviors.

Q. Anything else that comes to mind?

A. And it could also mean in the same sense planning of an attack.

- Q. Okay. And what did you do as the administrator involved in this threat assessment to determine whether or not Karl had engaged in any planning of any attack-related behaviors?
- A. Other than conversations with Karl at the threat assessment, didn't have any.
- Q. And what follow-up, if any, did you either do or instruct others to do to follow up on whether or not Karl was engaging in any attack-related behaviors?
 - A. We didn't do anything in terms of that.
- Q. We now know that he was engaging in a variety of different attack-related behaviors almost immediately after the threat assessment meeting that you conducted, correct?
 - A. Uh-huh.

student discipline-wise, but it's a big behavioral concern when you talk about the student has a threat assessment out on them as well.

- Q. That would have been a red flag for you, wouldn't it?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Let's go back to the Secret Service's 11 questions. Question 4 is, "Has the student engaged in attack-related behaviors?" Do you see that?
 - A. I do.
- Q. Did you try to answer that question as part of this threat assessment?
- A. I believe that there's a portion of the threat assessment that talks about violence, so yes.
- Q. Yes, there is. My question, though, is, what did you do to try to answer that question?
- A. I felt like I had already an answer to that question with talking to -- well, with, you know, having the experience working with him for those three years, knowing that there wasn't anything on the school's end with any attack-related behaviors. And then also in talking with Deputy Englert. You know, he didn't share anything that Karl was in a fight or did anything outside of school.
 - Q. What do you understand the term

Q. He was keeping a very detailed journal about his plans to shoot up the school, right?

A. Right.

Q. You guys didn't uncover that, right?

A. No, we did not.

Q. He was reading a book about the Columbine shooting in the fall of 2013 as well, wasn't he?

A. I don't know.

Q. Well, do you know that that book was found in his room at home?

A. Yes.

Q. Don't know whether or not if you had asked for permission to search his house from Mrs. Pierson, whether that would have been found or uncovered?

A. I don't feel that with the threat that Karl gave, that searching the house was within the rights of the school district or even an SRO or a sheriff's deputy would follow up and do that because there's no -- Karl did not say that he plans to shoot Tracy Murphy. It wasn't anything specific to his threat that I felt, as a school, that we have reasonable suspicion to search a locker, search his car, things like that that have a connection to something with that threat. And so, no, I -- no, we

28 (Pages 109 to 112)

2.4

did not follow up and, you know, say at this point -because there was nothing else after the threat that
we heard that would lead us to believe at this point,
Okay, well maybe we do have to conduct a search of his
locker or any of those things I just mentioned.

- Q. All right. And, again, one of the things that you didn't ask to be searched was his backpack or his day planner, right?
 - A. Right.

2.2

- Q. And we now know that he had a countdown that he was keeping in his student daily planner that would have told you the very date on which this attack was going to occur, right?
- A. I don't know the specifics of what he wrote in his planner, if it said that he was going to come with a gun on the 13th. I don't know if that was said anywhere.
- Q. Well, let me ask it this way. If you had seen Karl Pierson's daily planner with a countdown going from 47 down to zero on Friday, December 13, would that have raised questions in your mind?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And what would you have done?
 - A. I would have talked to Karl about it.
 - Q. Now, we talked earlier about the

when you have a concern that someone is lying to you is try to verify what you're hearing from that person from another source, right?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Did you do anything to try to verify what Karl was telling you?

A. I don't know how that would look, other than having a conversation with him and his parents.

MR. ROCHE: Why don't we go ahead and take our lunch break and make it a relatively short one

(Recess taken, 12:09 p.m. to 1:01 p.m.)

- Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) We took the break, and we were talking about the 11 questions that the Secret Service identified as being important to answer in performing a threat assessment. Do you recall that?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Let's go back to Exhibit 5 and walk through those, and that's page 3435. What did you do to answer question 5, Does the student have the capacity to carry out the act that he had threatened to commit?
- A. Uh-huh. Well, we looked at a couple factors with that. One being that, you know, he is 18 years old, able to drive, can he actually, you know,

principles that are laid out in Exhibit 5. One of them is to have an inquisitive and sceptical mindset, right?

- A Yes
- Q. Did you ever consider the possibility that Karl was lying to you about what his motives and intentions and plans were?
- A. Yeah. I didn't feel like he was, but I know that's -- I know that he could have been, yes.
- Q. And how did you account for that in evaluating the level of threat that Karl Pierson posed?
- A. Well, you account for that like you would do for any situation with that, that you have to go on what the information that you have, the conversations that you're having with the student and the family and you have to make a judgment whether or not that student is lying to you or whether or not you believe them. As a student who made that threat, was apologetic about that threat, met two weeks later, apologized to Tracy Murphy, I felt very confident that he was telling me the truth, that it was an impulsive thing that happened and that he was not going to act on it.
 - Q. Well, one of the things that you can do

doing something. Can he purchase a weapon. Can he come to school with one. So in our mind he did have the capacity to carry out a plan.

- Q. All right. And I take it that increased the level of concern that you had because he did have the capacity to act?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. What about No. 6? What did you do to answer No. 6, "Is the student experiencing hopelessness, desperation, or despair?"
- A. I don't remember how Karl responded to a question about isolation or friends. I know that it didn't seem like that was an issue at all with Karl.
- Q. Well, you knew, as part of the threat assessment, that he had just lost his captain's position on the speech and debate team, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you knew that that was very important to Karl?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. So let me ask this, when you were answering No. 6, what was your answer to that question as you performed this threat assessment?
 - A. That he wasn't feeling hopelessness.
 - Q. All right.

29 (Pages 113 to 116)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

119

120

117

- A. I forget exactly how it's worded in the threat assessment because I think it's slightly different.
- Q. All right. And item 7, the trusting relationship with at least one responsible adult. Did you -- and you're welcome to look at the threat assessment that you and Esther Song completed. It's Exhibit 35. So it's in this book. Have you got that there?
 - A. Yes. Thank you.
- Q. Sure. And this is, in fact, one of the questions that is asked on the threat assessment form that you and Esther Song completed, isn't it, down at the bottom of page 2?
- A. Yes. In terms of him feeling isolated or --
 - Q. Well, that's --

least one responsible adult, right?

A. Correct.

A. No, it's not.

Q. Why not?

A. I do not know.

Exhibit 35, is it?

least one adult?

we had with that.

in the fall of 2013?

in the fall of 2013?

A. I did not.

- A. Sorry.
- Q. Let me direct your attention to the bottom of the page marked ACSO 194, right down here where it says, "Supportive Relationships." You knew from your training that one of the key questions to answer was whether or not the student who was the subject of the threat assessment had a supportive relationship with -- or trusting relationship with at

Q. And that question isn't answered on

Q. What did you do to investigate whether

Karl had trusting or supportive relationships with at

A. I don't remember that conversation that

Q. Did you ask any of the adults in the

Arapahoe High School building whether they had

supportive or trusting relationships with Karl Pierson

Q. Wouldn't that have been an important

piece of information for you and Esther Song to know

A. It could have been. I don't know if he would have given us a name of a teacher that he didn't currently have.

- Q. Going back for just a second to your understanding of what was happening with Karl's grades in the fall of 2013. My understanding is that there's something called a student intervention team?
 - A. Okay. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And my understanding is that the student intervention team is a resource that is available to students who are having academic and, perhaps, emotional or behavioral problems; is that right?
 - A. That's true.
- Q. Did you refer Karl Pierson to a student intervention team in the fall of 2013?
 - A. I did not.
 - Q. Did it even cross your mind?

A. Yes. When Mrs. Pierson requested IEP testing or -- I'm not sure she really requested. She posed the question of what the IEP process is. And that's when I worked with Esther Song to e-mail Mom about the process. And the reason why I bring that up is because the process is through interventions. So a SIT team, if you may, would cover that.

118

1 2 3

4

6 7 8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15 16

18 19

20 21

22

23 24 25

O. And because Mrs. Pierson didn't get back to Esther Song on her e-mail about the IEP, that never went anywhere?

A. Correct.

- Q. Let's go back to Exhibit 5 and the 11 questions. We're up to No. 8. Question 8 is, "Does the student see violence as an acceptable or desirable way to solve problems?" Do you see that?
 - A. I do.
- Q. Did you answer that question as part of the threat assessment you did on Karl Pierson?
- A. I'm not sure. I don't know if I see that covered in this.
- Q. All right. What about question 9? "Is the student's conversation and 'story' consistent with their actions?" Did you try to answer that question as part of the threat assessment you did on Karl Pierson?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. What answer did you come to?

A. That Karl had an outburst, and while inappropriate, it was based on his frustration of what happened, that it didn't feel like that he said that he wasn't going to carry out such an action, that it was just an outburst.

5

17

A. Potentially, yes. Q. And wouldn't that supportive or trusted

adult have been a great candidate to help you with the follow-up and monitoring of how Karl was doing after this threat assessment?

30 (Pages 117 to 120)

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

123

124

121

Q. Okay. Well, that was his story. How did you evaluate whether or not that story was consistent with Karl's actions?

A. Because he was apologetic for what he said. And then when we went through for the second meeting, that story was what he carried out with apologizing to Tracy Murphy. So it seemed consistent that it was an isolated event.

Q. Okay. Now, that's, at least, the sixth time you've mentioned that Karl Pierson apologized or expressed remorse about his actions at this threat assessment review meeting or the action plan review meeting a couple of weeks later, right?

A. It was a couple weeks later.

Q. Right.

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And the fact that he expressed remorse was a big factor, apparently, in your assessment of what level of threat he posed; am I right?

A. No so much as a big factor in the level of threat, because that was determined two weeks prior.

Q. Okay.

A. It helped me to feel that Karl was moving in the right direction.

forth. I appreciated that Karl was seemingly honest with it, that he didn't come into the threat assessment that day and said, Oh, yeah, I'm going to apologize and everything is fine, when he actually did-- when he actually was still upset and angry about it. Because some students just come in and they tell you what you want to hear. So I did appreciate the honesty with it.

Q. All right. And that's a fair comment, but did the fact that he, Karl Pierson, did not care about Tracy Murphy's feelings about being threatened, did that raise any additional concerns in your mind about how serious a threat Karl Pierson posed?

A. Not much, no.

Q. Question 10 on the Secret Service list asks, "Are other people concerned about the student's potential for violence," right?

A. Uh-huh.

Q. And what did you do to answer that question? I know you talked to Karl, I know you talked to Karl's parents, and I know you talked to Tracy Murphy, right?

A. Yes.

Q. Did you ask anybody else whether they were concerned about Karl's potential for violence?

122

Q. All right. And the reason I ask that, and you can see on Exhibit 35, is that Karl understood his reaction was improper, but does not seem to be remorseful or understanding of Tracy Murphy's feelings of being threatened. Do you see that?

A. I do.

Q. And I understand that's Esther Song's handwriting?

A. Uh-huh.

Q. Did you share Esther Song's impression that Karl did not seem to be remorseful?

A. Yes.

Q. And did that escalate your level of concern about Karl Pierson when you conducted this threat assessment?

A. I would say, yes, but the -- I disagree with his understanding of Tracy Murphy's feelings. Karl was very clear that he didn't care that Tracy Murphy was upset about what he said.

Q. So it's not that he didn't understand, he understood and just didn't give a damn?

A. Right.

Q. And what did that do to your level of concern about how serious a threat Karl Pierson posed?

A. It -- you know, it was kind of back and

A. I did not.

Q. Did you specifically ask that question of his parents?

A. I don't recall.

Q. Did anybody, as part of this threat assessment process, express to you that they were not concerned about Karl's potential for violence?

A. Anyone -- I'm sorry.

Q. Sure. Let me -- I can tell from the way I phrased it you're having trouble with the question. We know that Tracy Murphy had expressed a serious concern for Karl's potential for violence, right?

A. Yes.

Q. And what I'm asking is had anybody voiced the opposite view about Karl's potential for violence?

A. I mean, Esther is one person. And then in our -- with the administrators, no one said that, Yeah, I'm really worried about him acting out. So I would feel that they would agree that they don't think that Karl's going to become violent.

Q. Okay. And tell me about question 11. Did you do -- what did you do to investigate what circumstances might affect the likelihood of violence?

A. I'm not sure if we addressed that.

Q. All right. One thing that you did in

31 (Pages 121 to 124)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

127

128

125

connection with this threat assessment is you talked to Deputy Englert, correct?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- Q. And told him about the threat that Karl had made, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you ask him to participate in the threat assessment process?
 - A. No.
- Q. You know that there is a place on the threat assessment form for the school resource officer to participate in and sign off on the threat assessment, right?
- A. I do know that. And there's also a portion of the front that says as needed.
- Q. Right. So how did you -- I take it that you came to the conclusion that Deputy Englert's participation in this threat assessment was not needed?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. What was the basis for your decision that you didn't need to or it wasn't advisable to include Deputy Englert in this threat assessment?
 - A. Because I had talked to Deputy Englert

about what had happened. I had spoken to him about

Q. And my question is, did you say that to him?

- A. I did not say that regarding the threat that was made. I told Deputy Englert about the situation and what happened, and I asked him to speak to Tracy Murphy. And he said, I cannot go right now, but I could go right after this, whatever it was. He was going to leave the building. And I said, Good, no big deal, do it then. It wasn't a no big deal to the threat itself. It was no big deal, it doesn't matter if you talk to him right now or if you talk to him 30 minutes from now.
- Q. Okay. Have we covered at this point everything that you did to prepare for the meeting on September 9?
 - A. I feel like -- yes.
- Q. Were there any other documents you looked at or any other people that you talked to that we haven't touched on?
- A. No, but I don't know if I articulated that I talked to Esther at least twice regarding it prior to doing it.
- Q. Did you tell Esther about the Dan Swomley incident and the resulting suspension?
 - A. I did.

O. Did vou tell Esther about the Jackie Price incident where Karl told another student to either go gut or cut himself?

A. I don't recall if I told her about that.

- Q. Did you tell Esther that in 2011, Karl Pierson had expressed that he was going to make other kids his bitch, since that had been what kids had done to him throughout his school years?
 - A. I don't recall.
- Q. And when you met with Karl Pierson and his parents on September 9, that was in your office?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. How did you open that meeting?
- A. I started the meeting and shared what the purpose of the meeting is. And I said, you know, we're -- to Karl, We're going to be doing a lot of talking, and a lot of this talking has to do with you. So I expect you to participate in this meeting. And then I started with, you know, Share with me -- I've already spoke to your mom about what happened with the situation, but I would like to hear it from you. So start with, you know, your meeting with Mr. Murphy and what took place after that.
 - Q. And what did he say in response to that?
 - A. I don't recall all the specifics of what

126

the threat assessment, that it was coming, and that he never said -- I don't recall specifically asking him to attend, but he never said, I need to go to that. So we talked about what could he have done with this, meaning that he shared with me that I should share with the parents that it could be disorderly conduct for what Karl said in the parking and that he could have potentially been ticketed for it. And then

Deputy Englert gave me a like a case number for it. Q. Okay. And you know now that Deputy Englert prepared a report on this incident, right?

A. Yes.

Q. And I take it you have at some point seen the statement that Deputy Englert gave as part of the investigation into the shooting, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. And in that statement -- and you've read the sheriff's report on the shooting as well?

A. I did.

Q. And you're aware, are you not, that Deputy Englert reported that you described the threat that Karl Pierson made against Tracy Murphy as not that big of a deal, right?

A. I'm aware that he said that, yes.

32 (Pages 125 to 128)

go.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

131

129

1 he said, but just -- and in terms of the meeting with 2 Tracy and how he felt during that meeting, I know some 3 of the things he brought up was he thought it was 4 unfair, was completely shocked by, you know, being 5 demoted as a captain and that he was really upset. 6 And then with the threat itself, that it was an 7 outburst, that he shouldn't have, that he was holding 8 it in so much, that he just felt like he had to let it 9

Q. All right. And did Mr. and Mrs. Pierson weigh in at that point?

A. I don't recall specifically. I know Mrs. Pierson did talk about how she too thought it was unfair that he was cut from the debate team. At some point during that conversation I said, That's going to be a separate issue, Karl's -- because it turned into whether or not he was going to be able to participate still in the speech and debate team. And I said, That's going to be a separate meeting. We can talk about that another time. Right now we have to focus on this. So that's what I said.

Q. All right. And did Karl's father participate in this meeting?

- A. I believe so, yes.
- Q. Do you remember anything that Karl's

Q. Right. And that might be a reason to have another person involved in the threat assessment meeting, correct?

- A. Possibly.
- Q. Well, let's walk through some of the things that are checked and some of the things that are not. In the threat factors section, one of the questions is, Has the student communicated ideas or intent to attack, right?
 - A. Uh-huh.
 - Q. And that is checked no, right?
- A. Yes.

Q. Given that a few days earlier Karl said, I'm going to kill Tracy Murphy or I'm going to kill that guy, why is the box, no, checked?

A. I'm sorry, I'm having a hard time following. Oh, right here. Okay. Sorry. Because he had no ideas regarding the attack. He just said, I did it, I said it. I don't -- there was no set plan. There was no plan even heard in the threat that, I'm going to go home, going to get my dad's gun, and I'm going to do this.

- O. Okav.
- A. So I would agree with putting no there.
- Q. All right. And then with respect to the

130

132

father said about this incident or during this meeting?

A. No, I can't recall specifically what he said.

- Q. And after this sort of introductory discussion of what prompted the meeting, did you or Esther or both of you essentially walk through the different threat assessment factors that are laid out on Exhibit 35?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And one of the things that this document instructs you to do is to provide notes explaining the evidence next to each of the statements checked, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And there's a number of boxes checked that have no such explanation, are there not?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Why is that?
- A. I don't know. I think the -- I think that's a challenge to do when you're having a conversation that's a back and forth between parents and the student to be able to keep notes based on everything you're asking and everything you're getting back.

- access to weapons question, the box none/known is checked, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And we now know, at least, that Karl had some training with guns from his days in the Boy Scouts, right?
 - A. Right.
- Q. Were you aware of that at the time that you were -- that training at the time that you were preparing this threat assessment document?
 - A. I was not.
- Q. During this threat assessment meeting, did you ask any questions about whether or not Karl had any training with guns?
 - A. I believe Esther asked it, yes.
- Q. And you don't recall whether or not the parents told you about his RAMS training, rifle, archery, muzzleloader --
 - A. I know they didn't bring that up.
- Q. Okay. Moving into the early warning sign factors, the next section of the threat assessment. Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Somebody has checked the box related to violent behavior towards others, significant history.

33 (Pages 129 to 132)

	133		135
1	Do you see that?	1	Swomley's class, and Mom also shared an incident that
2	A. I do.	2	Karl was upset and got into a car accident.
3	Q. What was the significant history of	3	Q. Okay. So at the time that you completed
4	violent behavior towards others that was discussed in	4	this threat assessment, you knew that Karl had wrecked
5	this threat assessment meeting?	5	his car leaving work when he was angry?
6	A. I don't that's one of the ones that	6	A. I didn't know if he wrecked his car. I
7	Esther checked that I wouldn't agree with. I cannot	7	knew that he had gotten into an accident. I don't
8	think of a significant history of violence toward	8	recall the severity of it.
9	others.	9	Q. All right.
10		10	A. I in my mind, the way I remember it is
11	Q. Well, have you asked Esther at any point	11	
12	in time why she checked that box?	12	that it was a fender-bender, but enough that Mom was
13	A. Afterwards, no.	13	you know, it stood out in Mom's mind as an issue
	Q. Well, that actually prompts another		with his anger that he was so upset that he crashed
14	question, which is after this document was prepared,	14	his car.
15	Esther did all of the writing and checking, right?	15	Q. So you knew regardless of the severity of
16	A. Correct.	16	the car accident, that Mom connected that accident to
17	Q. Did you review this document with her	17	Karl's anger?
18	after the meeting was over	18	A. I think so.
19	A. I did.	19	Q. All right.
20	Q to make sure you agreed with	20	A. I don't know if it was prior to when he
21	everything she said?	21	got angry and got into a car or if he got so angry
22	A. Yes.	22	because of the car accident.
23	Q. Then why isn't it noted that you	23	Q. All right. What else do you remember
24	disagreed with that	24	about the discussions concerning Karl's anger on
25	A. I don't know if I looked	25	September 9?
	134		136
1	Q mark	1	A. I don't recall.
2	A. Sorry, go ahead.	2	Q. Did Dad tell you anything about Karl's
3	Q. You didn't know if you looked closely	3	anger issues?
4	enough? What?	4	A. No, I don't remember.
5	A. Yeah, I don't know if I skipped that over	5	Q. Do you remember a discussion about
6	or what my thoughts were at that time.	6	whether the Pierson's divorce had increased,
7	Q. And because the two of you didn't follow	7	decreased, or affected in any way Karl's anger levels?
8	the instruction to provide notes explaining the	8	A. Yeah. I mean, I remember talking about
9	evidence next to each statement checked, none of us	9	the divorce. I don't remember I remember that
10	knows that, right?	10	coming from the parents, that it has been hard on
11	A. Correct.	11	Karl. But I don't remember Karl sharing his own
12	Q. What is noted in that portion of the	12	personal views on their divorce.
13	early warning sign factors is that both Mrs. Pierson	13	Q. And you personally had some knowledge and
14	and Karl reported that Karl had deep-seated anger	14	experience about Karl's anger, right?
15	issues and that he had them for a while, right? Do	15	A. Yes.
16	you see that handwritten in the margins?	16	Q. From the March 2013 incident, right?
17	A. Uh-huh, yes. I don't know if Karl agreed	17	A. Yes.
18	that he had deep-seated anger issues. I know that Mom	18	Q. And you were concerned enough about his
19	Mom said that he did. When I read that note, I	19	anger issues back then that you had recommended that
20	think of Karl I interpret that as Karl agreeing he	20	he go get anger management therapy, right?
21	has had anger management issues.	21	A. Correct.
22	Q. What do you remember about the	22	Q. Did you discuss with Karl or his parents
1	Z. Tribut do you remember about the	1	y. Dia jou abouss with Exall VI IIIs parcing

discussions with Barbara Pierson and Karl Pierson and

A. I remember talking about the issue in

Mark Pierson on September 9 about Karl's anger issues?

23

24

25

23

24

25

on September 9 whether they had followed your advice?

A. I believe I did, yes.

Q. And what did you learn?

2.2

A. I believe he was seeing someone at that time. I don't remember if it was a psychologist or a counselor or what.

- Q. And how long was it to your understanding that Karl had been seeing this psychologist or counselor?
 - A. I don't recall.

2.2

- Q. Is this a reference to the person that he went and saw on September 6 after the threat to Tracy Murphy?
- A. No. It sounded like there was two different people, that that was a -- I don't know why there was a separate person they saw for that Friday prior to the threat assessment, but it sounded like there was two separate people. One that he was seeing on a consistent basis and one that he just saw that time.
- Q. All right. And did it concern you, in terms of the level of threat that Karl posed, that even with anger management therapy he had had the outburst concerning Tracy Murphy in the fall of 2013?
 - A. Yes and no.
 - Q. Okay. Go ahead and explain that.
- A. Yes, being he said anything, and that's a concern. And what he said too, you know, obviously

A. Right. Yes.

- Q. And my question is real simple, did you consider those three things to be a cluster of incidents?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And did that increase the threat level that you believed Karl posed?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. As we continue down Exhibit 35 in the early warning sign factors, the very last item on the list is does the student have a history or perception of being bullied or victimized by others. Do you see that there? Right there.
 - A. Got it. Yes.
- Q. And we've looked at a number of documents and discussed a couple of incidents where Karl expressed to you that all the teachers were out to fucking get him, that he had endured a decade of hell at the hands of his peers, those kind of things. Given that you knew about those incidents, why isn't this box checked?
 - A. I don't know why it's not checked.
 - Q. Doesn't it seem like it should have been?
- A. If I was -- if I had the paper in front of me, yes, I would have checked it.

- was a concern. The fact that he waited and didn't say it to his face, that made me feel better that it wasn't, you know, directly at Mr. Murphy, that, you know, Karl felt that there was not a lot of people around, I'm going to say it here, which, you know, as we know, there were still people around and extremely inappropriate but -- so there was that kind of yes and no to that question.
- Q. Did you hear about any other incidents in which Karl's anger had manifested itself besides the car accident, the Tracy Murphy threat, and the Dan Swomley incident?
 - A. Not that I recall, no.
- Q. Did you consider those three incidents in the span of just a few months to be a cluster of warning signs?
- A. I don't know if it was a cluster of warning signs or a cluster of incidences but -- because I don't know, warning signs leading to what?
- Q. Well, do you understand in your role as, I guess, currently a principal of a middle school --
 - A. Assistant principal.
- Q. Assistant principal. That one of the things that you're supposed to watch for in a threat assessment is a cluster of incidents?

- Q. Well, in fact, the incident that led to the paperwork about all the teachers being out to fucking get Karl is described in that other relevant details section immediately below that unchecked box, isn't it?
 - A. It is.
- Q. So it seems to me that what happened here is people just plain weren't paying attention, and that's why that box isn't checked. Is that what happened here?
- A. No, I don't feel like I wasn't paying attention.
- Q. Okay. Well, let's go to the next part of this threat assessment document, and that's the at-risk factors, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you see that? The very first one is what is the history of school discipline, right?
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. And the box oppositional misconduct is checked, right?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. And the box for suspension is not, right?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And you personally had suspended this kid

	141		143
1	six months earlier, hadn't you?	1	Q. All right. And were any of these
2	A. Yeah.	2	incidents that you're referring to where Karl accepted
3	Q. And you didn't check this box either?	3	criticism appropriately dispositive or determinative
4	A. Correct.	4	in your decision not to check that box?
5	Q. You weren't paying attention, were you?	5	A. I don't understand the question, sorry.
6	A. I feel like I was, but I did miss those	6	Q. Okay. Let me come at it a different way.
7	two things.	7	We know about one specific incident where Karl was
8	Q. Moving down the at-risk factors, another	8	very sensitive to criticism, right? That's the
9	box asks whether or not the student externalized	9	incident where you suspended him, right?
10	blame, right?	10	A. Uh-huh.
11	A. Okay.	11	Q. Was there a specific incident in your
12	Q. Do you see that?	12	mind as you evaluated whether to check that box that
13	A. No.	13	caused you to conclude that Karl was not sensitive to
14	Q. It's right there.	14	feedback or criticism?
15	A. Okay.	15	A. I could say at times that, you know, like
16	Q. Got it?	16	I spoke with him, you know, the Swomley incident, that
17	A. Yep.	17	he was able to take that criticism of Karl. You can't
18	Q. Now, you just described to me that both	18	do that. It's not appropriate, and that he was able
19	Karl and his parents felt it was unfair that he was	19	to handle that feedback that I gave him.
20	being demoted from his captain's position on the	20	Q. All right. Can you explain to me why the
21	debate team, right?	21	box concerning whether the student tends to hold on to
22	A. Uh-huh.	22	resentments or harbors grudge was unchecked?
23	Q. Wouldn't that qualify as Karl	23	A. I don't know. I don't know of the
24	externalizing blame?	24	thinking on that one if it is looking specifically at
25	A. Yes.	25	this, that we don't know if he is going to continue to
	142		144
1	Q. So why isn't that box checked?	1	harbor a grudge or if it was looked at historically.
2	A. I don't know.	2	Q. Well, what information did you have in
3	Q. Let's keep moving down to you'll see	3	performing this threat assessment about Karl's
4	there's a section called drugs or alcohol concerns.	4	propensity to hold on to resentments or harbor a
5	Do you see that?	5	grudge?
6	A. Yes.	6	A. I don't know.
7	Q. And right below that it asks whether or	7	Q. Moving down the list of at-risk factors,
8	not the student is sensitive to feedback or criticism,	8	one of them is bizarre or concerning behaviors. Do
9	right?	9	you see that one?
10	A. Correct.	10	A. I do.
11	Q. That box also isn't checked, right?	11	Q. And it's empty, right?
12	A. That's correct.	12	A. Uh-huh.
13	Q. And isn't that exactly what you suspended	13	Q. Were you aware of any bizarre or
14	Karl for in March of 2013, an outburst because he was	14	concerning behaviors by Karl Pierson in the fall of
15	sensitive to criticism about having gotten a bad grade	15	2013?
16	in Mr. Swomley's class?	16	A. No.
17	A. Yeah.	17	Q. Were you aware that in a debate
18	Q. So that one should have been checked too,	18	tournament, Karl had stood up in front of a crowd of
19	right?	19	people and told them that his penis had fallen off
20	A. I don't know. I don't know if for some	20	when you did this threat assessment?
21	of these that you use one example to say that he has	21	A. Yes, Tracy shared with me that.
22	sensitivity to feedback and criticism. Yes, the	22	Q. And would that qualify as a bizarre or
23			
	example that you gave is an example that shows he is	23	concerning behavior in your mind?
24 25	example that you gave is an example that shows he is sensitive. But I think there have been and there was many other times that Karl accepted criticism.	23 24 25	concerning behavior in your mind? A. Yes, but I don't know all of the information surrounding that.

145 147 1 1 Q. Right. And that's exactly the point. A. Not that I can recall. 2 2 Why didn't you get the information surrounding that Q. And the next question asked in the 3 3 before you made a decision that this kid was a protective factors section is what is Karl's level of 4 4 low-level threat? self-control. Do you see that? 5 5 A. I don't recall what went into that. A. I do. 6 6 Q. Okay. Well, let's talk now about the Q. And you and Esther Song have marked okay 7 7 list of protective factors at the bottom of with support? 8 8 Exhibit 35, if we could. Do you see that? A. Uh-huh. 9 9 A. I do. Q. Is that a yes? 10 1.0 Q. What is your understanding of why this A. Sorry, yes. 11 11 threat assessment includes an evaluation of the Q. It's all right. I know you were drinking 12 12 protective factors that are available to a student? a sip of water. What supports are you referring to 13 13 A. Because that's the portion of how do we there? 14 14 support the student and so the student knows who they A. I believe it was on the supports that you 15 15 could go to if they need that support. just mentioned, as well as the support from the school 16 16 Q. All right. And is it fair to say that, with, you know, me being a person that Karl could talk 17 17 if there are not good protective factors, more to as well as a counselor or Dr. Song. 18 follow-up and more monitoring needs to occur from the 18 Q. Well -- and, again, I'm going to go back 19 19 to the first page that asks you to provide notes school? 20 20 A. To a -- yeah, to a certain extent. explaining the evidence for each statement checked. 21 21 Q. Okay. So in looking at the list of What supports was Karl receiving other than this 22 22 protective factors, the first line asks what the psychologist appointment on September 6 that you knew 23 23 of? student will do when in distress, and there's four 24 24 options, right? A. Well, I knew that he was -- Mom had 25 25 A. Yes. spoken about having ongoing support with the 146 148 1 1 Q. Isolate, that's checked, right? therapist, as well as support from parents, and, 2 A. Yes. 2 again, the support from the school. 3 3 Q. Well, what support was the school Q. Leave, that's checked, right? 4 4 providing Karl Pierson at this point? 5 Q. Engage negatively, that's also checked? A. Well, at that point, it was shared with 6 him that, you know, like what I told Karl, if he was 7 7 having issues with anger and stuff like that, that, Q. And seek positive support, and that's the 8 only one that's not checked? 8 you know, he could come to me if he feels comfortable 9 9 doing that, he could go to one of the counselors. He A. Correct. 10 10 Q. I take it that that would be, again, a could go to Dr. Song. If he feels like he is going to 11 11 erupt or say something inappropriate, that we would be series of answers that increased your level of concern 12 12 about Karl Pierson, right? people that he could come and see and that he could 13 13 A. Yes. leave class and do that. 14 14 Q. It goes on to explain that his parents Q. Okay. So during this meeting on 15 15 are sometimes monitoring his behavior, right? September 9, you told Karl and his parents that if he 16 16 was having anger issues, he could come and talk to 17 17 vou, right? Q. It also goes on to explain that his -- in 18 18 the community agencies section, that his family 19 19 practitioner is involved as a psychologist in the form Q. And you told him he could go and talk to 2.0 2.0 of an appointment that occurred on September 6, right? Dr. Song? 21 21 A. Correct. A. Uh-huh. 22 22 Q. No other community agencies involved? Q. And you told him he could go and talk to 23 the other counselors in the building, whether that was 23 A. No. 24 2.4 O. And no discussion of whether or not Karl Astrid Thurnau or Kelly Talen, when she got back from

had supportive relationships with any adults?

25

25

maternity leave?

149 151 1 1 A. Correct. A. Correct. 2 2 Q. Did Karl ever do that to your knowledge? Q. And in the description of what would 3 3 qualify as a medium level of concern, there's a 4 4 Q. Did you do anything to check whether Karl reference to moderate or lingering concerns about the 5 5 reached out to Esther Song or Astrid Thurnau or Kelly student's potential to act violently. Do you see 6 6 Talen to discuss his well-being? that? 7 7 A. No, I don't recall. A. Yes. 8 8 Q. So would it be fair to say that you and Q. Did you have, at the end of this threat 9 9 Esther Song and the other counselors in the building assessment meeting, any moderate or lingering concerns 10 10 about Karl Pierson's potential to act violently? were, in a sense, waiting for Karl to come to you if 11 11 he had issues? A. No. 12 12 A. Yes. O. And the final section of -- I shouldn't 13 13 Q. Okay. Do you recall, and I know we've say the final section. The next section of this 14 walked through now all of the early warning sign 14 threat assessment document talks about the action plan 15 15 factors, at-risk factors, protective factors, was that you and Esther Song developed for Karl Pierson, 16 there anything else about those three subjects that 16 right? 17 was discussed in this meeting on September 9? 17 A. Yes. 18 A. Was there anything else that was 18 Q. And the safety measures that were 19 19 mentioned that you haven't brought up through your identified were the police response, which was telling 20 20 James Englert, right? questions? 21 21 A. Correct. Q. Yes. 22 22 A. I cannot speak to the full discussion and Q. Although, no action was taken, correct? 23 23 A. Yeah. I mean, yes and no. I mean, he everything that went into the fueling this threat 24 assessment. I don't remember every conversation we 24 did take action, but it was none of the -- the 25 25 following checks were the action. had. 150 152 1 1 Q. And I understand that, and candidly I Q. Okay. And the action was James was going 2 don't expect you to be able to recite verbatim what 2 to keep an eye on Karl? 3 3 happened in that meeting. What I'm trying to get at, A. And he talked with Murphy and he put it 4 4 was there anything else significant that stands out in in his report, yes. 5 5 your mind about that meeting on September 9 that we Q. And then the next safety measure is the 6 6 haven't discussed? intended victim was warned and/or the parents or 7 7 A. No. not that I remember. guardian were notified on September 5 that you told 8 8 Q. All right. And if you turn to the last Tracy Murphy about the threat? 9 9 -- second-to-last page, I'm sorry, of this threat A. Yes. 10 assessment document, it entails determining the level 10 Q. Or actually he told you about it? 11 of concern, right? 11 A. Right. 12 12 A. Yes. Q. And the only other safety measure that is 13 Q. And you and Esther both agreed that Karl 13 identified is a once-a-week psychiatrist or 14 Pierson was a low level of concern? 14 psychologist appointment? 15 15 A. Correct. A. Correct. 16 16 Q. And you understood that low level of Q. And as I understand it, that was not a 17 concern means that, in your view, the risk to the 17 reference to Dr. Song, but to an outside therapist? 18 18 target, students, staff, and school safety was A. Yes. 19 19 minimal? Q. What did the school do to verify that 20 20 Karl was abiding by that safety measure? A. Correct. 21 21 A. Esther Song was working with the family Q. And that the threat lacked realism? 22 2.2 to get a release so she could talk to that A. Yes. 23 23 Q. And that the available information psychologist. 24 24 suggested that the person was unlikely to carry out Q. Right. And we now know that that release never got signed? 25 25 the threat or to become violent?

153 155 1 1 A. Uh-huh. A. I did think of it, yes. 2 2 Q. Did anybody at the school know whether Q. And you chose not to exercise that option 3 3 Karl continued to meet with that psychologist after because you were trusting what Mrs. Pierson told you 4 4 September 9? the outside psychologist had told her? 5 5 A. Correct. A. No. 6 6 Q. And in the discipline and monitoring O. That Karl was safe to return to school? 7 7 section, there's a reference to the meeting two weeks A. Yes. 8 8 later between you and Mr. Murphy, Karl's parents, and Q. And that too gets to a really central 9 9 Karl Pierson to discuss the action plan? question in this entire process. I understand that 10 10 Mrs. Pierson told you and Esther Song that this 11 11 outside therapist had told you that Karl was safe to Q. And I've heard that referred to as an 12 action plan review meeting? 12 return to school, but my question is, did you and 13 13 A. Okay. Esther Song exercise your own independent judgment 14 Q. Is that what you understood that -- is 14 about whether Karl was safe to return to school, or 15 15 that what you called that meeting? did you simply trust what Mrs. Pierson told you? 16 16 A. No, not necessarily. A. No. It was our independent judgment as 17 17 well. Q. Okay. What did you call that meeting? 18 A. I don't remember what I called it. I 18 Q. All right. Now, in the discipline and 19 19 believe I called it a follow-up meeting. monitoring section, there's also a check mark next to 20 Q. All right. And that was -- was that the 20 the box follow-up intervention contact by support 21 21 only follow-up meeting you had with Karl Pierson or staff, and then it checks psychologist. Do you see 22 2.2 his parents after September 9? 23 23 A. That was the only one I organized, yes. A. No. Can you -- what line are you on? 24 24 Q. Did you consider whether or not it was Q. I'm in the safety measures. 25 appropriate to keep Karl out of school until he or 25 A. Oh. 154 156 1 1 Mrs. Pierson had signed the waiver so that the school O. Got it? 2 2 A. Yes. could be informed about what the outside therapist was 3 3 saying or thinking with respect to Karl Pierson? Q. Oh, I'm sorry. Down here, actually. 4 4 Right there. Okay. Do you see where I'm referring? A. No, not necessarily, because Mom had told 5 5 A. Yes. us that the psychologist that worked with him on that 6 6 Friday said that basically he had a clean bill of Q. What does that refer to, if you know? 7 7 A. That's that Esther would follow up with health and that he wasn't a threat to himself or to 8 8 others. And part of it was trusting that that was, in Karl. 9 9 fact, it, and the other part, you know, knowing that Q. Do you know if she did? 10 10 there would be probably a call to come from them A. No, I don't. 11 saying, No, he's not safe. He has a plan. This is 11 Q. Did you ever ask her whether she followed 12 12 up with Karl? what's happening. So, yes, we trusted the parents 13 13 with that. A. I believe I did, yes. 14 14 Q. But you don't remember what she told you? Q. You could have kept Karl Pierson out of 15 15 A. No. school until you had a release from the parents and 16 16 the opportunity to speak directly with that mental O. Moving on to the next section that's 17 17 titled "Notifications," do you see that towards the health professional. That was an option that was 18 18 bottom? available to you, correct? 19 19 A. I don't know if that would be an option A. I do. 2.0 20 Q. And over on the right-hand margin, that was supported by the district. 21 21 there's a note that says discussed with principal, Q. Did you speak to anybody at the district 22 22 September 9. Do you see that? about whether that was an option? 23 A. I do. 23 A. No. 24 Q. Who discussed what with the principal on 24 Q. Did you even consider that option if it 25 25 was available? September 9?

Davis v. Littleton Public School District KEVIN KOLASA 157 1 1 A. What that connects to is me talking with Q. All right. And on the last page of the 2 2 Natalie about the need to know of -- so who needs to threat assessment, you'll see the box is checked that 3 3 know about this threat. With it being low level, do I a copy of this threat assessment documentation and 4 need to contact all of the teachers, you know, law 4 plan were given to Nate Thompson. Do you see that? 5 5 enforcement, which we already did. But who needs to A. Yes. 6 6 know in the building. Q. And you're aware that Nate Thompson said 7 7 Q. All right. And who was -- it was just he never got a copy of this threat assessment? 8 you and Natalie in that conversation? 8 A. Correct. 9 9 A. I believe so, yeah. Q. Do you have an understanding as to why it 10 10 Q. Did Esther Song participate in that? is that Nate didn't get a copy of this? 11 A. I don't remember. 11 A. No. 12 Q. Who made the decision that Karl's 12 Q. Was it your or Esther Song's 13 teachers did not need to know about this threat 13 responsibility to get that to Mr. Thompson? 14 14 A. It was Esther's. assessment? 15 A. I don't recall. I don't remember if it 15 Q. Okay. Have you had any conversations 16 16 was -- I don't know how that conversation went. If with Esther about why she didn't send this threat 17 Natalie said go ahead and do that or if I pushed for 17 assessment to Nate Thompson? 18 it or if it was a conversation between the two of us 18 A. I didn't know he didn't get it until 19 19 and we came to a consensus. after the 13th. 20 20 Q. Actually, let's take a step back. Did Q. Have you had any conversations with 21 Karl's teachers receive notice of this threat 21 Esther since the shooting about this threat assessment 22 assessment? 2.2 and why it didn't go to Nate Thompson? 23 A. No. 23 A. I believe I did, but I don't recall the 24 Q. Okay. So then the question becomes, who 24 specifics that she shared why or why not. 25 made the decision not to notify Karl Pierson's 25 Q. When is the last time you spoke with 158 1 1 teachers that he had been the subject of a threat **Esther Song?** 2 2 assessment? 3 3

160

A. That's what I'm saying, I don't know who determined that.

Q. Can you tell me anything about the discussions you had with Natalie Pramenko about whether or not Karl Pierson's teachers needed to know that he had been a subject of a threat assessment for threatening to kill another faculty member?

A. I don't recall that conversation.

Q. Do you have a sense, was it widely known among the faculty in the fall of 2013 that Karl had made the threat that led to this assessment?

A. Was it widely known?

Q. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. How would you determine widely?

Q. That's why I'm asking it as broadly as I am.

A. Okay.

Q. I'm asking your view.

A. I believe that Michelle Crookham knew. I believe that Dan Swomley knew. I believe that -well, I know that Mark Loptien knew. I don't know about his other teachers, which ones knew or which ones didn't know about the threat assessment.

A. Last year. I mean, not last year. In the spring of 2014.

Q. All right.

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

A. At the end of the school year.

Q. Have you ever discussed with Esther Song whether or not there were any shortcomings in how the threat assessment document was prepared?

A. I did not.

Q. Your next contact with Karl Pierson after the threat assessment and reentry meeting was the very next day, September 10?

A. Yes.

Q. And that was an encounter that was prompted after Karl Pierson showed up to speech and debate practice, right?

A. Yes.

Q. And Tracy Murphy was concerned because he had been told that Karl was not allowed to participate in the speech and debate practices?

A. Correct.

Q. Was Karl told that as part of this threat assessment meeting?

Q. And that's not documented anywhere in

40 (Pages 157 to 160)

164

Davis v. Littleton Public School District KEVIN KOLASA 161 1 1 Exhibit 35, is it? 2 2 A. I don't believe so, no. 3 3 Q. And why not? A. Yes. 4 4 A. I don't know. I had it in my own notes. Q. Right? 5 5 Q. Do you still have those notes? A. Yes. 6 6 A. I do. 7 7 MR. ROCHE: I don't think I've seen those where? 8 8 notes. 9 MR. EVERALL: I thought you did. 9 Q. Yes. 10 10 MR. ROCHE: Okay. I'll go look at a 11 11 break. 12 12 MR. EVERALL: I might have them here. 13 Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) After Karl showed up at 13 14 14 the debate practice on September 10, how did that come 15 15 to your attention? 16 16 A. Tracy told me. A. Okay. 17 17 Q. When did that happen? 18 A. I believe it was after practice. 18 19 19 Q. And how did you come to discuss that 20 20 incident with Karl Pierson? 21 21 A. I sent a pass for him the next day and 22 22 spoke to him personally in my office. 23 23 Q. And that was on September 11? 24 24 A. I believe so, yeah. 25 Q. All right. Was there any doubt in your 25 162 1 1 mind that Karl understood he was not permitted to 2 attend debate practice following the meeting on 2 3 3 September 9? 4 4 A. No, I felt like he was testing it to see 5 5 if I had talked to Tracy Murphy about him not

the last two weeks that was part of your preparation for the September 26 meeting?

- Q. Do you recall when that meeting was or
 - A. When I met with Mr. Murphy?
- A. It was in my office. I don't -- I don't remember the exact date.
- Q. All right. And you'll see in Exhibit 11 it explains that Mr. Murphy again expressed to you that he had concerns about Karl, right? It's on the next -- yeah, right over here.
- Q. What did Mr. Murphy say to you about his ongoing concerns about Karl?

A. I don't recall exactly what he said, but we talked about speech and debate, and I told him that we could kick him off the team. And Tracy said -- and I don't know if it was this meeting or the prior one, but Tracy said he wanted to think about what he wanted to do with Karl in terms of his participation on the speech and debate team. And then he came back and

- Q. So that struck you as a boundary probing behavior?
 - A. Uh-huh.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Did that increase your level of concern about Karl Pierson?

A. It increased it at the moment, and I was nervous about it continuing. But it didn't after that, when I told Karl not to -- I told you you have no contact with Mr. Murphy. Do not talk to him. You cannot attend speech and debate until we meet two weeks from now. And in those two weeks after September 11, Karl did not try that again or go into the library or do anything to bring him in contact with Mr. Murphy.

Q. Okay. And then I'm going to ask you to go back to Exhibit 11 in this book. Go to page 8 if you would. At the bottom of page 8, and in numbered paragraph 9, there is a discussion of a meeting that you and Mr. Murphy had to review Karl's behavior over came with the idea of just participating in the competitions rather than go to practices and be a full member of the speech and debate committee -- or team.

- Q. Did Tracy Murphy tell you as part of this discussion that he was still afraid of Karl acting violently?
 - A. I don't recall.
- Q. Do you recall Tracy Murphy ever telling you that he was no longer concerned about Karl acting on his threat to try to kill Tracy Murphy?
- A. I don't remember him saying that he's -that he thinks he's -- or not going to carry out the threat or going to carry out the threat.
- Q. All right. Well, it says in the discovery responses, Exhibit 11, that you perceived Mr. Murphy's concern to be subsiding as the date approached for the review meeting --
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. -- the September 26 meeting, right?
- A. Correct.
 - Q. What did Mr. Murphy say or do that caused you to perceive that Mr. Murphy's concerns were subsiding during that two-week period?
 - A. Well, that's -- that's when I believe when I was speaking with him that he was worried about

41 (Pages 161 to 164)

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

167

165

Karl, but I don't remember him feeling like he's worried that he's going to carry out the threat and try to kill him and that he was feeling that he should still be an active member of the debate team.

- O. Well, let's talk about that conversation. Your suggestion had been just kick him off the team, right?
 - A. Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And Mr. Murphy said, No, I don't want to do that. I don't want him coming to practices. I don't want him to be captain, but I want him to remain a member of the debate team, right?
 - A. Right.
- Q. Did he explain his reasoning behind that at all?
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. And was part of his reasoning that he didn't want to further escalate the situation or further inflame Karl Pierson?
 - A. That was a portion of it, yes.
- Q. So that to me wouldn't suggest that his concerns were subsiding, but rather that he was so afraid of Karl Pierson that he wanted to give him at least some of what he wanted to keep him from blowing. Was that your perception as well?

A. Well, I remember meeting with Tracy and

he was talking all around. And I know that that was

one of the things he said, that he was concerned and

lot for him to still be on the speech and debate team

and he thought it would help. I didn't feel like that

he was saying, Well, I want him on the team so, you

Q. All right. When you met with Tracy

tell you that he was still seriously considering

A. I don't recall if he said that during

our meetings together, but we met several times.

he was considering doing even after you had

A. Yes, I believe so.

characterized Karl Pierson as a low-level threat?

Q. Well, when I took Mr. Murphy's

deposition, I asked him about that meeting, and

were considering leaving your job, what was his

that meeting. I know he has said that to me in one of

Q. And he told you that that was something

Murphy in advance of that September 26 meeting, did he

know, he won't attack me.

resigning his job?

he thinks -- you know, he knew that Karl -- it meant a

- reaction?" And Tracy's answer was, "Again, kind of disbelief, you know, again, kind of, Come on, Tracy, this isn't that serious." Did you say anything like that to Tracy Murphy in this meeting?
 - A. I don't believe so, no.
- Q. And Tracy Murphy went on to explain -and I'm on page 176 of Tracy Murphy's deposition -that when you told him it made sense just to have Karl removed from the team, Tracy responded, "If that happened, that will probably be the tipping point that will push him over and cause Karl to do a violent act." Did Tracy tell you that in this meeting?
- A. No, I don't recall him saying that Karl was going to do a violent act, and I wouldn't have said anything about the seriousness of it.
- Q. Other than Tracy Murphy, did you talk to anybody else as part of your preparation for the September 26 meeting with Karl and his parents?
- A. Yeah, I talked to Astrid Thurnau to ask her to participate in the meeting.
- Q. And as I understand it, Esther Song did not participate in that meeting, right?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Because she was going to a conference that day or something?

166

8

9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

2.0 21

22 23 24

25

specifically I asked the following question. I asked, "When you met with Kevin Kolasa in advance of your September 26 meeting, and you again expressed that you

A. Yeah. I believe she had some type of conflict.

Q. All right. Was it important to you to make sure that the people participated in the follow-up meeting knew the full background of what had gone before?

- A. What had gone before?
- Q. Would have gone before, that is the September 9 meeting?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you said you mentioned -- or you met with Astrid Thurnau to prepare for the September 26 meeting, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you walk her through the threat assessment document that you and Esther Song had prepared?
- A. As I recall, I thought she spoke with Esther about it.
 - O. All right.
- A. I did talk to her about the threat assessment and some of it, but I thought she met with Esther regarding it.
- Q. All right. And what happened at that September 26, 2013 meeting?

168

42 (Pages 165 to 168)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

171

172

169

A. So in that meeting, I wanted to discuss Karl's behavior for the last two weeks to see where we're at. I wanted to give Karl the opportunity to talk with Mr. Murphy, and Mr. Murphy to have that ability to speak with him because at that point, the two of them hadn't spoken since the incident. I also wanted to remind Karl the next steps in, you know, what we expect his behavior to be like, and, again, to let him know of the supports that he had moving forward.

Q. And what were the next steps after this September 26 meeting?

A. So the next steps was that he could reach out, that Esther said that he could come visit her. myself. I know Astrid told Karl that as well, that those are all people that he could talk to.

Q. Are those offers by you and Esther and Astrid, are those documented anywhere in the contact log, the behavior detail report, the threat assessment meeting, any of these forms that were prepared as part of this entire process?

A. No.

Q. And Karl didn't take advantage of any of these offers for help?

A. He did not.

table. So I always kept my eye open to, you know, see how they were receiving him and how he was receiving them. So that was, you know, not every day but most days. And then we monitored, of course, through the --

Q. The D and F reports, things like that?

A. Uh-huh.

Q. Did you ever after September 26, have a sit-down conversation with Karl Pierson prior to the Vicki Lombardi incident?

A. No.

Q. Never called him in to --

A. Wait.

O. Go ahead.

A. Let me think about that question for a second.

Q. No, that's a big question. I don't want an off-the-cuff answer. So take all the time you need.

A. You said sit down. I spoke with him regarding -- I called him into my office that first time that he went to speech and debate.

Q. Right.

A. And then I talked to him in the hallway about the KMF, whatever that was.

170

Q. The Crookham incident?

A. Yeah, and that -- again, I don't remember if it was before the 26th or after the 26th that I spoke to him regarding that.

Q. Okay. Any other conversations that you can recall having with Karl Pierson between September 26 and December 11?

A. No.

Q. And you had the conversation with Karl about the incidents involving Ms. Crookham because she brought that to your attention, right?

A. Yes.

O. You had the conversation with Karl about the Vicki Lombardi incident because she brought that to your attention, right?

A. Yes.

Q. So during that two-month-plus window, from September 26 to December 11, did you ever reach out to Karl, other than in the context of having gotten a report from another faculty member that he had engaged in some questionable conduct?

A. No. I would say hi to him in the hallway and check in that way, but no formal conversations.

Q. Sure. Between September 26 and December 11, did you ever speak to Mr. or

Q. Was any -- other than the offers to come speak to you or Esther or Astrid if he felt the need, was any other ongoing service provided or offered to Karl Pierson after September 26?

No. not that I recall.

Q. And was any expectation imposed on Karl Pierson after September 26 to maintain some form of communication with you or Esther or Astrid?

A. No, we didn't force him to come in at certain times to meet with us.

Q. So at that point, after the September 26 meeting, other than asking the security folks and James Englert to generally keep an eye on Karl Pierson, was there any other form of ongoing supervision or monitoring being done by Arapahoe High School with respect to Karl Pierson?

A. Other than myself?

Q. Yes.

A. No. No.

Q. All right. And after September 26, what ongoing monitoring or supervision were you performing with respect to Karl Pierson?

A. I was -- I would always observe Karl, especially in the morning. He seemed to come in and always meet with a certain group of friends over at a

43 (Pages 169 to 172)

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

175

173 1 Mrs. Pierson? 2 A. No. I didn't. 3 Q. During the time period that you were 4 monitoring Karl Pierson as a result of this threat 5 assessment, how many other threat assessment kids were 6 you monitoring? 7 A. Well, there's two others that I worked 8 with that had a threat assessment. 9 O. The one from 2011? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. That student was still there? 12 A. He came back, yes. 13 Q. Okay. And the student from 2012 had come 14 back as well? 15 A. Wait, I'm sorry. 16 Q. What I'm trying to get at is how many 17 students were you monitoring using this threat 18 assessment rubric in the fall of 2013? 19 A. So there would have been the three. 20 Q. The student from 2000 -- the 2011 threat 21 assessment, right? 22 A. Right. 23 Q. That kid was a senior? 24 A. Yes. 25 O. And the kid from the 2012 threat

wasn't that intense that it was of a concern, but you could tell Dad was serious about whatever it was that they were going back and forth discussing.

- Q. Do you recall -- the reason I'm asking about the demeanor is I've heard other witnesses say that the dynamic was kind of bizarre during that meeting, the relationship between Karl and his parents. That didn't strike you that way?
 - A. I don't remember it that way, no.
- Q. And, again, I'm looking at Tracy Murphy's deposition, and he indicated that you made the observation that Barbara Pierson was kind of trying to guide Karl as if he were a child, that a lot of what was -- Kevin and Astrid agreed that a lot of what Karl was saying was insincere, at best, but he was jumping through the hoops, doing what was expected of him. Do you recall having that impression of that meeting?

A. No. My impression was not that it was insincere. I don't know for sure if it was -- it could have been during that meeting that I said to Mrs. Pierson, I would like Karl to answer these questions, because I know sometimes she would like to jump in and, you know, participate for him. But I did not feel that Karl was insincere in that meeting.

Q. And what questions were you asking of

174

176

assessment, you were still monitoring that student as well?

A. Right.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And you were monitoring Karl?
- A. Correct.
- Q. Did those other two kids graduate?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Let's do this, why don't we go ahead and take a quick break.

(Recess taken, 2:36 p.m. to 2:53 p.m. after which time Ms. Goodrum was no longer present.)

- Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) Tell me, if you could, what the dynamic was between Karl Pierson and his parents in the meeting on September 26.
- A. It was nothing I really recall during that meeting that stands out. Karl and his dad at the end, when they were walking out in the hall, were having what seemed to be an intense conversation, but I have no idea what that was regarding.
- Q. All right. Let me ask this, on September 27, or at any time thereafter, did you ask Karl, It looks like your dad and you were having a pretty intense conversation after our meeting, what was going on, is everything okay, anything like that?
 - A. No. It didn't seem -- you know, it

Karl on September 26?

A. I don't recall all of them, just, you know, part of it was, Why did you go back into speech and debate? You know, That was something that we discussed, and, you know, I was very clear on the fact that you weren't going to do that or that you shouldn't contact Mr. Murphy at all. And we talked about speech and debate. I don't know exactly, you know, all of the questions that I asked Karl in that meeting. One thing I asked is, Now that we have Mr. Murphy here, and you're here, do you want to share anything?

- Q. And what was Karl's response?
- A. And that's when he apologized to Mr. Murphy that he shouldn't have said what he said. And I forget his exact wording, but . . .
 - Q. And the reason I ask about that is you will see in exhibit, I think it's, 14, the sheriff's report -- do you see that?
 - A. I do.
 - Q. Have you read it?
- A. Yes. Yes, I have.
 - Q. And let me direct your attention to page 8 of the sheriff's report. In the middle of the page, there's a discussion of the meeting on September 26.

44 (Pages 173 to 176)

177 179 1 A. Yes. 1 **Arapahoe High School?** 2 2 Q. And in it, Astrid Thurnau reports that A. In my four years of working at Arapahoe, 3 3 "During the meeting, Astrid believed that Karl was I never heard that we had an emergency line. 4 supposed to apologize to Tracy, but he did not." Do 4 Q. All right. And when you went down to 5 5 vou see that? talk to Mrs. Lombardi, what did she tell you? 6 6 A. I do. A. She told me that Karl was locked out of 7 7 Q. Is the sheriff's report wrong on that the class and he pounded on the door for approximately 8 8 30 seconds real loud to try to get entry back in. And point? 9 9 A. I believe Astrid is incorrect, yes. that she asked him when she opened the door, Are you 1.0 10 Q. All right. Did you have your own serious? And he said, Serious as a heart attack. And 11 impression about whether or not Karl Pierson's apology 11 then she sent him out of the classroom, but she didn't 12 12 to Tracy Murphy was sincere? say specifically sit in the hallway. So she just said 13 13 get out of the class, and he left and went to the A. Yes, I felt it was sincere. 14 14 Q. And following the meeting on cafeteria where I found him reading. 15 15 September 26, was your level of concern about Karl Q. And you're aware now, are you not, that 16 Pierson higher or lower than it had been on 16 Vicki Lombardi maintains that she told you at least 17 September 9? 17 twice on December 11 that Karl scared her? 18 A. Lower. 18 A. I'm aware of it. 19 19 Q. Other than the Michelle Crookham Q. Did she tell you that on December 11? 20 20 A. I don't remember her saying that. incident, which I think we talked about, and the 21 saying hello in the hall, did you have any other 21 Q. Would that have stuck in your mind given 22 contact with Karl Pierson or his parents between 22 who this student was? 23 23 September 26 and December 11? A. Yes. 24 24 A. No. Q. That now you have not one, but two 25 Q. And on December 11, there was an incident 25 faculty members telling you that they were afraid of 178 180 1 1 involving Karl Pierson in Vicki Lombardi's class? this student --2 A. Yes. 2 A. Yes. 3 3 Q. How did you come to learn about that Q. -- who had already threatened to kill one 4 4 incident? faculty member? 5 A. I got a call. My secretary picked up the A. And I wouldn't have suggested that Karl 6 6 call, and I forget if it was directly from go and apologize to her the next day without me 7 7 Mrs. Lombardi, I would assume so, and she said that supervising or having a meeting between me and 8 8 she sent Karl out of the class and asked me to come Mrs. Lombardi, because Karl at the end of that --9 9 down and talk with her. well, when I was speaking to him in my office, he said 10 10 that he wanted to apologize immediately. I said, No, Q. And as I understand it from 11 Mrs. Lombardi, she actually called the emergency line, 11 Karl, I don't want you to go down to class now. She's 12 teaching. You can go down during -- I forget if it's 12 what is it, 6030, or some number like that? 13 13 second or third hour when Mrs. Lombardi -- it would A. It was just the main office. 14 14 Q. Did you have an understanding on have been only her or one other or two other teachers 15 15 December 11 that Mrs. Lombardi had called an emergency in the foreign language offices. And I told 16 Mrs. Lombardi that, and she said, Okay. Great. 16 line about this incident involving Karl Pierson? 17 17 Q. When you went to see Karl in the A. No, I didn't even know we had an 18 18 emergency line. I think if you're saying 6030, that's cafeteria -- well, strike that. 19 How did you learn that Karl was in the 19 my extension. 20 20 cafeteria? O. I'm not sure about the number, but I will 21 21 A. When I walked out to go talk to Lombardi, tell you that Mrs. Lombardi testified in her 22 22 I saw him sitting in a booth reading. deposition that she was concerned enough about that 23 23 Q. So you saw him there even before you got incident and about Karl's behavior and demeanor that 24 24 to her office? she called what she characterized as the emergency 25 25 A. Yes. line. Do you know anything about an emergency line at

2.2

Q. Was one of the campus security people with him?

A. No. I called one of the campus security guards over, and I spoke to him and I said, Get Karl sitting there calmly. I'm going to go talk to Mrs. Lombardi, just if you could monitor him because I'm not ready to speak to him yet. And then Karl saw us talking and he got up and I walked over and I spoke with him and asked the security guard to escort him to my office.

Q. So you brought -- after you spoke with Mrs. Lombardi -- before you get -- strike that.

When you went to speak to Mrs. Lombardi, was she in her classroom?

A. Yes.

2.0

Q. And you pulled her out of her classroom to speak to her?

A. Yeah, we spoke in the hallway.

Q. Did the students in her classroom appear scared?

A. I don't recall that.

Q. So when you went back to the cafeteria and found Karl --

A. No.

Q. Or saw Karl, after talking to

with Karl Pierson in your office after speaking with Mrs. Lombardi.

A. So Karl told me what happened. He said that he was -- he went to go to the bathroom, he left, and when he tried to get in, he knocked a couple times, and it was pretty loud in the class so they maybe didn't hear his knock. But then he saw a kid through the window, and he signaled to the kid, Come on, let me in or something. And the kid shook his head no. And that's when Karl decided to bang on the door until they opened it.

Q. And what was your response to Karl after hearing his side of the story and Vicki Lombardi's side of the story?

A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question?

Q. Sure. After you had heard both sides of the story, what did you say to Karl?

A. Okay. So him and I talked about his anger management again and how he had been doing a good job in the sense that he hadn't had any outbursts and he had been, you know, maintaining composure in class and everything. And we talked about how it was definitely inappropriate and that it could be scary to people when you're banging on the door that loud and it was inappropriate. I asked him what he believes

Mrs. Lombardi, you brought him into your office?

A. No. What happened was when I first got the call from Lombardi, I went to go speak with her in her classroom but before -- as soon as I walked out of my office, I saw Karl sitting in the cafeteria.

Q. Right.

A. So I talked to Karl briefly about what happened in class, and then I went to Lombardi and got her side. And then I came back and talked to Karl in my office.

Q. Okay. So let's talk about the brief conversation you had with Karl before you went to talk to Mrs. Lombardi. What did you say? What did he say?

A. So I asked him what happened, because at that point I didn't know what happened, other than he was sent out of the class. So I asked him why he was sent out of the class, and he told me the situation. And I said, Okay, you can wait in my office.

Q. So you sent him back to your office while you went to talk to Mrs. Lombardi?

A. Yeah, Mr. Rust was with him.

Q. Okay. And you went and talked to Mrs. Lombardi, and then you went back to your office?

A. Yes.

Q. Tell me about the conversation you had

needs to be done in terms of reparations, and he said at that point that he wanted to apologize to Lombardi. I asked about, What about that kid that didn't let you in? And he said, Well, he's a sophomore, I don't really care what he thinks. And I'm like, Well, Karl, you're going to go into a classroom that a student that you feel, you know, locked you out, and I'm telling you that while this student didn't lock you out, he just didn't open the door for you, because Karl thought the student got up and locked the door, but Mrs. Lombardi forgot to drop the magnet down so, therefore, it locked. And then Karl and I after -after we had that conversation, I called his mom with him in the room. I talked to her about the situation, and told her I wasn't going to be suspending him and that I'll be sending him home for the duration of the day. I believe it was around, you know, 1:45 or something like that.

Q. So this was a 6th hour class, basically?

A. So it was toward the end of the day that I was just going to send him home.

Q. Why did you send him home?

A. I mean, Karl was calm in the meeting that him and I had. I just wanted to make sure that nothing else came up, and it was one of those

46 (Pages 181 to 184)

situations in which he was already missing a portion of -- I think it was physics. I don't know what class it was. But I felt it was better just for him to go home.

- Q. You wanted him to cool off?
- A. Yeah.

2.2

2.0

- Q. Did you have any discussions with Karl concerning what he meant when he said he was serious as a heart attack?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Tell me about those discussions.
- A. I don't recall all of the details about that, but I know we talked about what he said.
 - Q. What did he tell you he meant by that?
 - A. I don't remember.
- Q. Did you, after this incident on December 11, speak with Natalie Pramenko or Esther Song or Darrell Meredith or the security guards or anyone else about what had just happened?
- A. I don't remember if I spoke to Natalie or -- I typically did talk to Natalie about anytime I had any discipline issues that came up. As regards to the other AP's, I'm not -- I don't really remember.
- Q. And we know you talked to Cameron Rust about it --

to end the semester then, they were lower than they typically were, yes.

- Q. And you were a couple of days from finals at that point?
 - A. Right.
- Q. So tell me about the conversation you had with Karl about his grades.
- A. Sure. Some of what I remember with the conversation -- we shared a lot -- Well, my final's big in that class. I'll pass the final. It will be fine. At that point his world history -- no, world literature, I think, class was at a 59 percent. So he was close to passing. I don't recall our conversation about physics. You know, again, that was a class that he didn't need to graduate. So I don't remember exactly what we talked about with that, but he felt confident that he was going to pull off a lot of those classes with his finals because the finals were being weighed pretty heavily.
- Q. Did it occur to you or even cross your mind when you came back to the cafeteria and saw Karl being calm, that perhaps he was bringing things down in terms of his demeanor so that you wouldn't investigate further because he, in fact, knew in his own mind that he had a plan to do something violent

•

Q. -- because you told him to watch Karl Pierson while you went and spoke with Mrs. Lombardi, right?

A. Yep.

A. Right.

- Q. Did you have any further discussions with any of the other security people about this incident?
 - A. I don't recall.
- Q. Did this outburst on December 11 cause you to revisit, in your own mind, whether Karl was becoming a greater threat?
- A. I did, and in my mind, he wasn't becoming a greater threat. The conversation that we had following it up and me seeing him in the cafeteria reading, he was very calm, and when him and I spoke, he was, again, calm, talked about grades at the end, talked about multiple things.
- Q. Well, let's talk about those multiple things. One of them was grades?
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Because by that point, his grades were in a serious decline --
 - A. Uh-huh.
 - Q. -- right?
 - A. His grades were lower than -- if you were

two days later?

- A. Yeah, I mean, after the 13th, yes.
- Q. What about on the 11th? Did that even occur to you?
- A. That he had a plan, no. And, sorry, can I elaborate on that?
 - Q. Yes.
- A. And, again, with the conversations we were having about finishing up his classes strong for that semester, I talked to him about having two AP classes and maybe that was too much for him. To which he said that he really liked his AP U.S. history so -- and he could pass the economics. I believe he had a C at that point, or it could have been a D, sorry. And then we talked a little bit about college.
 - Q. Okay. Tell me about those discussions.
- A. I don't remember all of the specifics, but I just talked to him about, Okay, so you're applying to that school? What's going on? And he's like, Yeah. I remember him saying that he was looking into schools for that year, and he was more general about that, didn't give me like specific schools that I could remember.
- Q. And you said you spoke to Mrs. Pierson on the afternoon of December 11?

47 (Pages 185 to 188)

189 191 1 1 A. I did. Q. And did you draw any conclusions on that 2 2 O. And that was with Karl in the room? family dynamic based on Mrs. Pierson's question about 3 3 what's going to happen to the other kid? 4 A. Yeah. I guess what I drew from that was Q. I know we're plowing old ground here, but 5 5 you told her what happened? that, you know, she was always jumping in to support 6 6 A. Uh-huh. Karl. She was one of those parents that you had to 7 7 Q. And that you were going to send Karl have a lot of information for, you know, if it was a 8 8 home, right? situation in which it was a back and forth, like a he 9 9 A. Yes. said/she said type of thing. You know, it felt like 10 10 Q. How long was that call? Five minutes? she would support her son more than she would, you 11 11 A. Yeah, probably around that. know, perhaps side with the school. 12 12 Q. Did you discuss the fact that Karl had Q. Did it occur to you that Mrs. Pierson was been the subject of a threat assessment during that 13 13 modeling for Karl one of the warning factors on the 14 14 call? threat assessment namely externalizing blame for 15 15 things that happen? A. I believe so, yes. 16 A. Afterwards, yes. After the 13th, I 16 Q. Did you ask her whether or not Karl had 17 17 continued to see a therapist in the two months plus thought about it. 18 since you had last spoken to her? 18 Q. Is it your understanding that on 19 19 December 12, Karl apologized to Vicki Lombardi? A. No, I don't recall asking her that. 20 20 Q. Did you ask her during that call whether A. Yes, he did. 21 21 Q. And did you have a discussion with either or not she had seen any angry outbursts or other 22 22 Karl or Vicki Lombardi on December 12? troubling behaviors on Karl's part in the two months 23 23 A. No. I had a discussion with Vicki since you had last spoken to her? 24 24 A. I asked her how he has been doing at Lombardi on the 13th. 25 25 home. She said he's been doing well. Q. Before the shooting or after? 190 192 1 1 O. Did she elaborate on that at all? A. After. 2 2 A. No. Nothing that I have in my head that Q. Okay. Exhibit 24 is the behavior detail 3 3 report, and you'll see that in there there is a I remember. 4 4 reference to the Vicki Lombardi incident. Do you see Q. Do you recall having a discussion with 5 5 her about the fact that his grades had declined? that? 6 6 A. Yes. 7 7 O. And did that concern her? Q. And it says that it was submitted by you, 8 8 A. Yes. right? 9 9 Q. So tell me about that discussion. A. Correct. 10 10 A. Again, I don't remember all of the Q. Did you submit this information into 11 specifics, but I remember talking to her about each of 11 Karl's behavior detail report before or after the 12 12 the low classes and what Karl's plan was. Again, I shooting? 13 13 don't remember the conversation around physics, but A. This is before. 14 14 that he felt, again, comfortable that he was going to Q. And I ask because it has a date and a 15 15 be able to pull up those other grades. And I told her time on it. Do you see that? 16 that we could review after finals whether or not he 16 A. I do. 17 should still take two AP classes. 17 O. Is that the date and time that the 18 Q. All right. Did you and Mrs. Pierson 18 incident occurred, or is that the date and time that 19 discuss anything else about this incident? 19 you updated or uploaded or submitted this information? 20 A. Yes. She asked about the other boy, the 2.0 A. It's the time that you essentially start 21 boy who locked Karl out and if there was going to be 21 to put in the information. 2.2 any consequences for him for locking him out. 22 Q. All right. 23 Q. And did that strike you as odd? 23 A. So sometimes I would put that in while a 24 A. It did because it was the first thing 24 student was writing a statement about what happened,

that she mentioned when I told her what happened.

25

25

and then come back to it later that day or, you know,

193 195 1 1 A. Yes. hopefully sooner than later and put it into Infinite 2 2 Campus fully. O. Who were those conversations with? 3 3 Q. All right. Did you have any contact with A. I had a conversation with Mr. Everall, 4 Karl on December 12th? Nate Thompson, Melissa Cooper, and myself. 5 5 Q. Was that all in one meeting? A. No. 6 6 O. And he wasn't in school on December 13 A. Yes. 7 before he burst in with a gun, right? Q. That I'm not permitted to go into. 8 8 Outside of the presence of Mr. Everall, have you had A. Correct. 9 9 Q. I take it you didn't have any contact any discussions with Natalie or Darrell or Nate 10 10 with him on December 13 either then? Thompson about any of the things that may have gotten 11 11 A. No. missed in this threat assessment process? 12 12 Q. Where were you within the building on A. I did talk to Nate right after to ask him 13 13 December 13 at 12:33? for some feedback. 14 14 A. I was in the staff lounge right outside Q. And what feedback did you get? 15 15 A. All he said was that it would have of the cafeteria. 16 16 potentially been beneficial to have more people a part Q. And when you heard the lockdown 17 17 of the threat assessment at the initial meeting. announcement and the gunshots, what was your first 18 thought? 18 Q. Did he also have a criticism that he 19 19 A. I didn't hear the gunshots. I heard the wasn't provided a copy of this threat assessment so he 20 20 lockdown, and my first thought was, I don't -- I could review it? 21 21 didn't know it was a true lockdown or -- I didn't know A. Yes, but I had known that already. 22 22 what was going on at that point. Q. How did you learn that that was a 23 23 criticism of how this threat assessment process was Q. When you first heard there was an active 24 shooter in the building, did you think it was Karl? 24 handled? 25 A. No. 25 A. He -- I forget how it came up. I know I 194 196 1 1 O. Didn't have any idea who it was? talked to him about it, but I don't remember if I 2 A. When I -- after I heard lockdown on the 2 initiated, you know, I heard that you didn't get it, 3 3 walkie-talkie. I ran into the main office, and I told is that true, or he came up to me and said, Kevin, I 4 4 all the secretaries and Bryan Jesse in there to lock never had the threat assessment. 5 5 Q. Okay. Did you have any discussions with down. Lock the front door to the main office, yelled 6 6 Esther Song after the shooting about how the threat at one of the teachers to get into her classroom. And 7 7 then when I was on my way around the main office. I assessment process was handled for Karl Pierson? 8 8 ran into Karl Fisch, and he told me that he thinks it A. Yeah, we spoke briefly about it. 9 9 Q. When was that? was a -- he gave me a name of another student, because 10 10 A. I don't remember exactly. I don't Tracy had talked to me earlier that day that Tracy had 11 kicked a student out of the library and that the 11 remember. 12 12 student wasn't happy. So at that time, I was thinking Q. Well, setting aside when it was, what did 13 13 you and Esther discuss about the threat assessment that it was another student. 14 14 that the two of you had performed on Karl Pierson? Q. All right. How did you learn it was Karl 15 A. I don't -- I know I brought up with her 15 Pierson who had shown up at the school with a gun? 16 16 why she didn't send it to Nate but I . . . A. I heard on the walkie-talkie when I was 17 17 Q. Do you recall anything else about your in the library. 18 18 Q. Were you surprised it was Karl? discussion with Esther Song about the threat

49 (Pages 193 to 196)

assessment process?

A. Very.

Q. Have you had -- I'll preface this that I

with Mr. Everall since the shooting. Have you had any

conversations with anybody at Arapahoe or LPS about

don't want to inquire into any discussions you had

what went right or went wrong in this threat

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

attention?

assessment that the two of you had done on a student

Q. Have you had any conversations with

seen looking at a gun and it wasn't brought to your

Darrell Meredith about the fact that Karl Pierson was

who turned out to be a school shooter?

A. Right. No, I don't.

2.2

2.5

A. Yes.

2.2

2.0

O. Tell me about those conversations.

A. We talked about that one time in the cafeteria, because that was after something was in the news about Karl looking up guns. And I was telling Darrell that I can't believe that the newspapers are just, you know, putting in lies and just making up stuff. And he said, No, that happened. I knew that.

Q. What did you say to him?

A. I said, I wish you would have told me. That we had -- that you knew we had a threat assessment on Karl. Again, he said something along the lines of, Well, yeah, but it's not a violation of, you know, anything in terms of disciplinary measures at the school for looking up guns.

Q. Well, you would agree with me, though, that whether or not it is a disciplinary violation isn't the issue, right, the issue is, does he pose a threat to the other people in the building, right?

A. I agree.

Q. Did you tell him that?

A. I said that I would have liked to have known that.

Q. Have you discussed with anyone other than Darrell Meredith the fact that he and others knew that

had forwarded it on to Melissa Cooper and Nate to -or it could have been Clay Abla to help because I
didn't want to communicate with Mrs. Pierson, and
then, like I said, she just showed up one day in my
office.

Q. And she asked about his grades and his transcripts and those kind of things, right?

A. No, she didn't -- I'm sorry, I didn't explain that too well. In that when she came in, she started talking to me, and I was really shocked to see her so she just apologized, and was like, Oh, you don't feel comfortable talking to me, just say that. And I said, No, I feel really uncomfortable talking to you and if you need to meet with me, you need to set an appointment with me. You don't just walk in. So she said sorry and she left.

Q. Have you had any conversations with her since that time?

A. No.

Q. Let's go ahead and take a real short break. Actually, before we do that -- sorry. You left Arapahoe High School after the 2013-2014 school year?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Tell me why you left.

Karl was looking at guns and didn't report that to you?

A. No.

Q. Does that raise any concerns in your mind about either the culture, the training, or the lines of communication that existed at Arapahoe High School in the fall of 2013?

A. I wouldn't say that reflects negatively on the culture, because I don't think --

Q. Okay. What would you say?

A. I would say that it reflects negatively on the lines of communication and that I should have been made aware of that.

Q. Okay. Have you spoken to Karl's parents since the shooting?

A. Yes.

Q. When was that?

A. I don't know. Karl's mom just walked into my office one day, and she wanted to talk to me about -- I forget what it was. She wanted to -- she wanted -- she wanted all of his transcripts and things like that as -- his grades and stuff like that. She wanted to know if we were going to put NC, what were we going to put on his transcript for his grades. She sent me an e-mail regarding that previously. And I

A. I had multiple reasons.

Q. What were they?

A. One, it was hard working as assistant principal in the high school and covering all the supervision and being away as much as I was from my family. I wanted to be there with them. And I had experience at the middle school and I enjoyed that. I had that thought in my mind for a while that I would like to become a principal at some point and reflecting on what would be the best level for me, I felt middle school would be. So I applied, and it was difficult being in the building.

Q. Because of what had happened there?

A. Yeah.

Q. Were you -- was it ever asked or suggested to you that you should transfer out of Arapahoe?

A. No, never.

Q. Did you receive any discipline or reprimand, formal or informal, as a result of your involvement in the threat assessment or your handling of Karl Pierson?

A. No.

MR. ROCHE: Okay. Let's go ahead and take that break now.

50 (Pages 197 to 200)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

203

204

201

(Recess taken, 3:41 p.m. to 3:51 p.m.)

Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) Mr. Kolasa, as I mentioned at the beginning of this deposition, the outcome of this arbitration is going to be a report, multiple reports on what lessons can be learned from this tragedy and what improvements can be made to help prevent future tragedies like this from inflicting on parents the pain that Mike and Desiree Davis have suffered over the last 22 months and 1 day. And I've asked every witness this question, what lessons have you learned from this?

A. Sure. Well, there's multiple lessons that I learned. One being with the threat assessment that, you know, when you're working in conjunction with someone else that don't always trust that those other steps are going to be done. It is my responsibility to follow up to make sure those things are being done.

Such as getting the threat assessment sent to Nate, making sure that people are following up with Karl or whoever the student is. I've taken more of an active role for myself in meeting with the students. And I think in terms of a threat assessment, like you pointed out, there were things that weren't checked that could have been checked.

is difficult, but it is a very important process and we appreciate it.

A. Okay. Thanks.

MR. ROCHE: My understanding is

Mr. Everall has no questions?

MR. EVERALL: I don't.

MR. ROCHE: Great. We can go off the record.

WHEREUPON, the within proceedings were concluded at the approximate hour of 3:56 p.m. on the 14th day of October, 2015.

202

That's something that you need to go over with a fine-tooth comb.

And that once you do that, you know, I would have had another person on the committee so that they could have taken notes and been able to keep track of some of the conversation. I think it's good to have pretty much as many people as you can without overwhelming the student. That's something I keep in mind with the students I work with now, that you don't want to have a huge table like this facing one student and his mom or dad, that could be overwhelming, but you do want that support there for you and your side in creating the threat assessment, as well as you want the student to know that there is that support out there.

Q. All right. Is there anything else that you want to add to the record as part of this arbitration?

A. No, I don't think so.

Q. All right. You'll be given the opportunity to read this, make any corrections. Once Ashley gets the transcript done, you'll get correction sheets. You'll have the opportunity to fill those out, get them back to Mr. Everall, and he will get them to us. So thank you for coming in. I know this

I, KEVIN KOLASA, do hereby certify that .
have read the above and foregoing deposition and that
the same is a true and accurate transcription of my
testimony, except for attached amendments, if any.
Amendments attached () Yes ()No

KEVIN KOLASA

	11	ie sigi	Iati	ire abov	<i>/</i> e (OT I	CEATI	N KOLASA	a was	
subscribed	and	sworn	to	before	me	in	the	county	of	
		, st	ate	e of						_,
this		day c	٦f						201	5

Notary public My Commission expires:

Michael Davis, 10/14/15 (am)

51 (Pages 201 to 204)

205	
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	
STATE OF COLORADO)) ss.	
CITY AND COUNTY OF DENVER)	
I, ASHLEY D. MAHE, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public, ID 20084033353, State of	
Colorado, do hereby certify that previous to the	
commencement of the examination, the said KEVIN KOLASA was duly sworn by me to testify to the truth in	
relation to the matters in controversy between the	
parties hereto; that the said deposition was taken in machine shorthand by me at the time and place	
aforesaid and was thereafter reduced to typewritten	
form; that the foregoing is a true transcript of the	
questions asked, testimony given, and proceedings had. I further certify that I am not employed by,	
related to, nor counsel for any of the parties herein,	
nor otherwise interested in the outcome of this litigation.	
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have affixed my signature this 28th day of October, 2015.	
My commission expires September 24, 2016.	
My commission expires September 24, 2016.	
_X Reading and signing was requested.	
Reading and signing was waived.	
Reading and signing was not required.	

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

STATI	E OF	COLORAI	00)	
)	SS
CITY	AND	COUNTY	OF	DENVER)	

I, ASHLEY D. MAHE, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public, ID 20084033353, State of Colorado, do hereby certify that previous to the commencement of the examination, the said KEVIN KOLASA was duly sworn by me to testify to the truth in relation to the matters in controversy between the parties hereto; that the said deposition was taken in machine shorthand by me at the time and place aforesaid and was thereafter reduced to typewritten form; that the foregoing is a true transcript of the questions asked, testimony given, and proceedings had.

I further certify that I am not employed by, related to, nor counsel for any of the parties herein, nor otherwise interested in the outcome of this litigation.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have affixed my signature this $28^{\rm th}$ day of October, 2015.

My commission expires September 24, 2016.

_X	Reading	and	signing	was	requested.
	Reading	and	signing	was	waived.
	Reading	and	signing	was	not required.

Ashley D. Mahe

Registered Professional Reporter

	acts 104:3	124:19 131:24	123:19 167:1	153:25
<u>A</u>	add 39:17 202:17	133:7 197:16,20	171:18 175:21	appropriately
a.m 1:13 54:3,3	add 39:17 202:17 addition 23:17	agreed 39:21		143:3
107:1,1	additional 12:9	133:20 134:17	answered 95:13 118:3	
abiding 152:20				approximate 203:10
ability 169:5	123:12	150:13 175:14	answering 116:22	
Abla 199:2	addressed 124:24	agreeing 134:20	answers 3:11 30:7	approximately
able 36:1 42:19	adequacy 18:7	ahead 28:8 106:20	146:11	8:21 179:7
115:25 129:17	administration	115:9 134:2	anybody 6:3 29:22	April 14:10
130:23 143:17,18	6:20 107:12	137:23 157:17	67:24 94:21 105:6	Arapahoe 3:13,15
150:2 190:15	administrative	171:14 174:8	123:24 124:5,14	3:19 5:1 7:4,16,20
202:5	3:13 87:23,25	199:20 200:24	153:2 154:21	7:24 10:17 11:4
absolutely 48:4	88:24 89:8,13,18	alcohol 142:4	167:17 194:23	11:19,24,25 12:4
85:13 100:24	90:9,15,20 91:8	allowed 51:10 65:6	anymore 65:7	13:10,20 16:17
academic 119:11	91:15 107:17	109:2 160:19	anytime 185:21	23:6 24:15 27:24
accept 92:24	administrator	aloud 38:4	AP 79:10,10 92:15	29:19 30:17 32:25
acceptable 120:7	28:11,21 30:18	altogether 7:2	92:18 188:10,12	40:14 46:24 53:11
accepted 142:25	48:24 107:13	71:10	190:17	79:2 82:11 92:3
143:2	109:6 111:11	ameliorate 85:16	AP's 185:23	92:15 93:21 94:18
access 103:21	administrators	amendments 204:4	apologetic 68:19,20	96:6 105:7 107:4
104:14 132:1	33:6,12 124:17	204:5	114:20 121:4	109:12 118:14
accident 135:2,7,16	adult 98:19 99:1	Ames 13:25	apologize 48:2	170:15 179:1,2
135:16,22 138:11	117:5 118:1,10,23	amount 96:22	52:13 123:4 177:4	194:23 198:6
account 114:10,13	adults 96:6 99:8	and/or 36:8 152:6	180:6,10 184:2	199:22 200:17
accounts 102:18	118:13 146:25	anger 45:3,15,25	apologized 69:2	arbitration 1:4
accurate 204:3	advance 166:10,23	60:19 61:12 62:3	114:21 121:10	4:14,17,19,21 5:7
ACSO 32:23	advantage 169:23	68:17 134:14,18	176:14 191:19	14:13 25:12 201:4
117:20	advice 64:4 136:23	134:21,24 135:13	199:11	202:18
act 66:13 86:13	advisable 125:23	135:17,24 136:3,7	apologizing 121:7	archery 132:18
92:1 93:24 102:1	advised 46:19	136:14,19,20	apology 77:5	area 33:4 93:11
103:11 114:23	affect 95:20 124:23	137:20 138:10	177:11	arises 35:15
115:21 116:6	affixed 205:15	148:7,16 183:19	apparently 81:13	arrogant 44:2
151:5,10 167:12	aforesaid 205:8	angry 55:23 61:9	121:18	articulated 127:20
167:14	afraid 67:6 91:25	61:10,15 62:11	appear 14:24 15:3	arts 82:11
acting 66:8 94:3	93:23 94:3,22	101:17 123:5	99:22 181:19	Ashley 1:13 5:25
124:18 164:5,9	95:10 164:5	135:5,21,21	appeared 81:13	6:7 202:22 205:4
action 3:21 23:2	165:23 179:25	189:21	82:9 83:3	aside 80:21 196:12
27:7 85:15 120:24	afternoon 54:14,22	announced 38:9	applied 200:11	asked 16:1 30:16
121:12 151:14,22	56:14 63:7 188:25	announcement	applying 188:19	35:23 38:3 42:19
151:24,25 152:1	age 8:23	193:17	appointment	50:19 62:15 65:4
153:9,12	agencies 146:18,22	answer 22:19 30:12	146:20 147:22	65:11 66:18 69:23
actions 120:16	agenda 89:18,25	31:1 35:19,20	152:14 199:15	70:5 101:24 102:7
121:3,11	90:5,20	36:1 56:11 73:24	appreciate 95:8	104:14,18 108:3
active 165:4 193:23	agendas 90:24 91:1	100:2,4,16,17	123:7 203:2	112:13 117:12
201:22	91:7,8,15	104:6 105:12	appreciated 123:1	127:5 132:15
activities 12:15,18	ago 28:22 62:12,13	110:11,16,17	approached 164:17	133:10 147:2
53:12 92:8	76:23	115:15,20 116:9	appropriate 43:25	166:21,22,22
activity 52:20	agree 25:18,24 39:7	116:22 117:23	69:14 103:15	176:9,10 178:8
activity 32.20	96:5 100:15	120:10,16,20	104:19 143:18	179:9 181:9
	70.0 100.10	120.10,10,20	10 1 13.10	177.7 101.7
aahadulina@huntawaai		IIIINTED - CETCT INC	202	022 5066/000 525 0400

			İ	
182:14,16 183:25	87:17 88:1 89:3	12:21 13:2,21	107:11 117:19	backpack 102:23
184:3 189:24	89:14,20 90:13	28:10 47:1,22	140:8,12 141:5	113:7
190:20 199:6	91:23 95:6 97:7	48:15 49:3,9	161:15 172:11,15	backward 4:22,24
200:15 201:10	97:11,22 98:15	80:13 88:23	176:23 196:25	bad 78:11 142:15
205:9	99:23 100:3,5,18	138:22,23 200:3	attitude 44:2	band 50:20,22,24
asking 5:14 22:18	100:23 101:6,13	assume 25:9 99:15	audio 70:18	52:2,7,8,10
40:21 44:12 57:19	102:6 103:20	178:7	August 3:14	bang 183:10
67:1 88:14 95:14	104:7 108:3 109:3	assuming 111:3	autistic 8:17	banging 183:24
98:4,21 101:14	109:7,22 110:3,12	Astrid 148:24	automatically	Barbara 57:7,13
102:3 104:15,25	110:14 111:11,15	149:5 167:19	80:12,21	58:10,14 59:12
124:14 126:3	111:23 115:16	168:12 169:15,18	available 11:10	60:2,18 63:20
130:24 158:17,20	116:15,23 117:2,7	170:2,8 175:14	62:21 119:11	64:23 71:17
170:12 175:4,25	117:12,24 118:25	177:2,3,9	145:12 150:23	134:23 175:12
189:19	120:11,17 121:12	at-risk 140:15	154:18,25	based 35:20 94:18
asks 35:5 123:16	121:18 122:15	141:8 144:7	aware 11:25 14:12	120:22 130:23
141:9 142:7	123:3 124:6 125:1	149:15	14:20 46:13 49:10	191:2
145:22 147:19	125:8,11,13,18,24	athletic 88:5	49:15 50:2,8	basic 26:5
aspect 105:21	126:2 130:8 131:2	attached 30:1 77:8	51:19 54:6,12	basically 78:19
assess 22:25	132:10,12,21	204:4,5	56:4,8 126:21,25	154:6 184:19
assessed 21:11	133:5 135:4	attachment 3:16	132:8 144:13,17	basis 125:21
29:16	137:14 138:25	attack 101:21	159:6 179:15,18	137:16
assessment 3:6,9	140:14 144:3,20	102:4,8,15,19,24	198:13	bathroom 183:4
3:21 9:5,16 13:18	145:11 149:24	111:9 113:12	awhile 92:24	becoming 186:11
14:15 15:14,17,18	150:10 151:9,14	131:9,18 166:8		186:12
16:2,12,16,17	157:14,22 158:2,8	179:10 185:9	B	bedrock 62:19
17:5,12,21 18:2,4	158:13,25 159:2,3	attack-related	B 78:19 79:12	beginning 201:3
18:7,17 19:6,7,13	159:7,17,21 160:8	110:9,21 111:1,6	bachelor's 6:20	behalf 1:12
19:20,22 20:1,7	160:11,23 168:16	111:13,18,22	back 7:16 17:11,19	behavior 3:18
20:13 21:6,8,19	168:22 169:19	attackers 103:16	32:3,8,19 36:6	27:15 37:3 74:4,5
22:16 23:1,7 25:2	173:5,5,8,18,21	105:3	40:3 45:23 52:13	74:23 75:12 77:12
25:13,14 26:5,6	174:1 189:13	attacks 103:16	56:17 63:21 64:24	87:15 132:25
26:17,18,20,23	191:14 194:25	105:3 106:8	66:20,23 67:12	133:4 144:23
27:4,19,20 28:5	195:11,17,19,23	attend 126:4 162:2	71:15 72:4 75:5	146:15 162:8,25
28:12,13,18,23	196:4,7,13,19	162:16	86:20 91:21 94:13	169:2,8,19 178:23
29:1,5,8,15 30:1	197:12 200:21	attendance 19:11	106:11 110:7	192:2,11
32:7,15 33:16	201:13,19,24	52:19 53:23 78:6	115:18 119:4	behavioral 8:15
	' '		120:1,5 122:25	11:12 30:19 37:7
35:4 39:22 41:20	202:13	/8:9.10 86:23	120.1,5 122.25	
35:4 39:22 41:20 41:21 46:15 49:2	202:13 assessments 16:21	78:9,10 86:23 87:2,4,4,10,16	130:22,25 136:19	
41:21 46:15 49:2	assessments 16:21	87:2,4,4,10,16	· ·	37:11 45:10 46:25
41:21 46:15 49:2 49:22 50:5 51:7	assessments 16:21 20:22,25 21:2,16	87:2,4,4,10,16 attended 15:12	130:22,25 136:19	37:11 45:10 46:25 48:18 54:5 74:1,8
41:21 46:15 49:2 49:22 50:5 51:7 58:5,9,13,17	assessments 16:21 20:22,25 21:2,16 21:20 22:10,20	87:2,4,4,10,16 attended 15:12 17:9,12 63:9	130:22,25 136:19 147:18 148:24	37:11 45:10 46:25 48:18 54:5 74:1,8 74:14 110:1
41:21 46:15 49:2 49:22 50:5 51:7 58:5,9,13,17 59:19 60:11 62:20	assessments 16:21 20:22,25 21:2,16 21:20 22:10,20 25:21 26:13 73:12	87:2,4,4,10,16 attended 15:12 17:9,12 63:9 attendees 15:4	130:22,25 136:19 147:18 148:24 157:20 162:22	37:11 45:10 46:25 48:18 54:5 74:1,8 74:14 110:1 119:12
41:21 46:15 49:2 49:22 50:5 51:7 58:5,9,13,17 59:19 60:11 62:20 62:25 64:16 65:24	assessments 16:21 20:22,25 21:2,16 21:20 22:10,20 25:21 26:13 73:12 73:14 98:8	87:2,4,4,10,16 attended 15:12 17:9,12 63:9 attendees 15:4 attending 162:6	130:22,25 136:19 147:18 148:24 157:20 162:22 163:25 173:12,14	37:11 45:10 46:25 48:18 54:5 74:1,8 74:14 110:1 119:12 behaviors 110:9,21
41:21 46:15 49:2 49:22 50:5 51:7 58:5,9,13,17 59:19 60:11 62:20 62:25 64:16 65:24 65:25 67:18 68:19	assessments 16:21 20:22,25 21:2,16 21:20 22:10,20 25:21 26:13 73:12 73:14 98:8 assign 76:25	87:2,4,4,10,16 attended 15:12 17:9,12 63:9 attendees 15:4 attending 162:6 attention 25:1,19	130:22,25 136:19 147:18 148:24 157:20 162:22 163:25 173:12,14 175:3 176:3 179:8	37:11 45:10 46:25 48:18 54:5 74:1,8 74:14 110:1 119:12 behaviors 110:9,21 111:1,6,13,19,22
41:21 46:15 49:2 49:22 50:5 51:7 58:5,9,13,17 59:19 60:11 62:20 62:25 64:16 65:24 65:25 67:18 68:19 69:15 71:19 72:9	assessments 16:21 20:22,25 21:2,16 21:20 22:10,20 25:21 26:13 73:12 73:14 98:8 assign 76:25 assigned 82:14	87:2,4,4,10,16 attended 15:12 17:9,12 63:9 attendees 15:4 attending 162:6 attention 25:1,19 47:6,11,18,22,24	130:22,25 136:19 147:18 148:24 157:20 162:22 163:25 173:12,14 175:3 176:3 179:8 181:22 182:9,19	37:11 45:10 46:25 48:18 54:5 74:1,8 74:14 110:1 119:12 behaviors 110:9,21 111:1,6,13,19,22 144:8,14 189:22
41:21 46:15 49:2 49:22 50:5 51:7 58:5,9,13,17 59:19 60:11 62:20 62:25 64:16 65:24 65:25 67:18 68:19 69:15 71:19 72:9 72:17 73:6,20	assessments 16:21 20:22,25 21:2,16 21:20 22:10,20 25:21 26:13 73:12 73:14 98:8 assign 76:25 assigned 82:14 assignments	87:2,4,4,10,16 attended 15:12 17:9,12 63:9 attendees 15:4 attending 162:6 attention 25:1,19 47:6,11,18,22,24 48:15 49:18,20	130:22,25 136:19 147:18 148:24 157:20 162:22 163:25 173:12,14 175:3 176:3 179:8 181:22 182:9,19 182:23 187:21	37:11 45:10 46:25 48:18 54:5 74:1,8 74:14 110:1 119:12 behaviors 110:9,21 111:1,6,13,19,22 144:8,14 189:22 believe 14:7 15:16
41:21 46:15 49:2 49:22 50:5 51:7 58:5,9,13,17 59:19 60:11 62:20 62:25 64:16 65:24 65:25 67:18 68:19 69:15 71:19 72:9 72:17 73:6,20 74:7,15 75:13	assessments 16:21 20:22,25 21:2,16 21:20 22:10,20 25:21 26:13 73:12 73:14 98:8 assign 76:25 assigned 82:14 assignments 102:14	87:2,4,4,10,16 attended 15:12 17:9,12 63:9 attendees 15:4 attending 162:6 attention 25:1,19 47:6,11,18,22,24 48:15 49:18,20 83:24 84:10,15	130:22,25 136:19 147:18 148:24 157:20 162:22 163:25 173:12,14 175:3 176:3 179:8 181:22 182:9,19 182:23 187:21 191:8 192:25	37:11 45:10 46:25 48:18 54:5 74:1,8 74:14 110:1 119:12 behaviors 110:9,21 111:1,6,13,19,22 144:8,14 189:22 believe 14:7 15:16 19:25 26:3 29:10
41:21 46:15 49:2 49:22 50:5 51:7 58:5,9,13,17 59:19 60:11 62:20 62:25 64:16 65:24 65:25 67:18 68:19 69:15 71:19 72:9 72:17 73:6,20 74:7,15 75:13 76:17 78:4 79:24	assessments 16:21 20:22,25 21:2,16 21:20 22:10,20 25:21 26:13 73:12 73:14 98:8 assign 76:25 assigned 82:14 assignments 102:14 assistance 61:4	87:2,4,4,10,16 attended 15:12 17:9,12 63:9 attendees 15:4 attending 162:6 attention 25:1,19 47:6,11,18,22,24 48:15 49:18,20 83:24 84:10,15 85:2 98:12 105:18	130:22,25 136:19 147:18 148:24 157:20 162:22 163:25 173:12,14 175:3 176:3 179:8 181:22 182:9,19 182:23 187:21 191:8 192:25 202:24	37:11 45:10 46:25 48:18 54:5 74:1,8 74:14 110:1 119:12 behaviors 110:9,21 111:1,6,13,19,22 144:8,14 189:22 believe 14:7 15:16 19:25 26:3 29:10 31:24 34:6 39:12
41:21 46:15 49:2 49:22 50:5 51:7 58:5,9,13,17 59:19 60:11 62:20 62:25 64:16 65:24 65:25 67:18 68:19 69:15 71:19 72:9 72:17 73:6,20 74:7,15 75:13	assessments 16:21 20:22,25 21:2,16 21:20 22:10,20 25:21 26:13 73:12 73:14 98:8 assign 76:25 assigned 82:14 assignments 102:14	87:2,4,4,10,16 attended 15:12 17:9,12 63:9 attendees 15:4 attending 162:6 attention 25:1,19 47:6,11,18,22,24 48:15 49:18,20 83:24 84:10,15	130:22,25 136:19 147:18 148:24 157:20 162:22 163:25 173:12,14 175:3 176:3 179:8 181:22 182:9,19 182:23 187:21 191:8 192:25 202:24 background 6:18	37:11 45:10 46:25 48:18 54:5 74:1,8 74:14 110:1 119:12 behaviors 110:9,21 111:1,6,13,19,22 144:8,14 189:22 believe 14:7 15:16 19:25 26:3 29:10

				I
57:3 58:11,19	blame 141:10,24	50:22 51:21 84:14	calling 48:20 58:20	145:20 170:10,25
59:16 61:11 64:25	191:14	85:2 89:15 90:4	calls 33:7	certainly 96:10
67:16 71:4,6	blank 73:7	92:6,6,15 105:18	calm 184:23 186:15	106:11
79:11 89:15 90:18	blow 20:17	105:23 106:1	186:16 187:22	CERTIFICATE
91:10 95:3 99:4	blowing 165:24	107:11 129:3	calmly 181:5	205:1
100:14 110:13	bomb 104:2	149:19 172:11,14	camera 69:24	certify 204:1 205:5
113:3 114:18	book 30:6 32:18,22	181:11 182:1	Cameron 185:24	205:10
129:24 132:15	37:5 98:6 112:6,9	196:15,24	campus 33:5 74:5	challenge 130:21
136:24 137:1	117:8 162:22	brushed 85:11	107:9 108:3 181:1	challenges 11:12
147:14 153:19	books 16:25	Bryan 92:7,13	181:3 193:2	chance 100:20
156:13 157:9	booth 180:22	194:4	candidate 118:23	changing 33:14
158:21,22,22	bottom 45:1 117:14	building 12:15	candidly 150:1	characterized
159:23 161:2,18	117:20 145:7	13:25 20:16,17	capacity 11:13	166:18 178:24
161:24 164:24	156:18 162:23	52:19 55:16 96:6	12:24 115:21	check 141:3 143:4
166:19 167:5	bounce 32:19	107:24 118:14	116:3,6	143:12 149:4
168:1 177:9	boundary 162:7	127:8 148:23	captain 61:1 65:7	155:19 172:23
184:17 188:13	box 35:8,12,15 76:1	149:9 157:6	129:5 165:11	checked 35:8,12,15
189:15 197:6	76:6 131:15 132:1	193:12,24 197:19	captain's 116:15	35:16 130:13,16
believed 139:7	132:24 133:11	200:12	141:20	131:6,11,15 132:2
177:3	139:21 140:4,9,20	built 22:21	car 68:15 103:1	132:24 133:7,11
believes 183:25	140:23 141:3,9	bullet 47:10,17	112:24 135:2,5,6	134:9 139:21,22
beneficial 195:16	142:1,11 143:4,12	bullied 34:5,7,11	135:14,16,21,22	139:25 140:9,21
benefit 63:1 78:15	143:21 155:20	34:22 35:6,23	138:11	142:1,11,18 146:1
best 3:6 17:5,8	159:2	36:3 42:17,18	care 93:10 122:18	146:3,5,8 147:20
55:12 57:16 84:2	boxes 130:16	139:12	123:10 184:5	159:2 201:25,25
95:11 96:1,2,7	boy 132:5 190:20	burst 193:7	career 16:22 21:9	checking 133:15
97:1,4,8 175:15	190:21		22:11,21 49:12	checks 151:25
200:10	break 5:22 106:18	<u>C</u>	78:20	155:21
better 73:18,19	106:20 115:10,13	C 2:1 78:22 79:10	Carol 2:13	Cherry 10:17
93:9 96:10 138:2	161:11 174:9	188:13	carried 121:6	child 175:13
185:3	199:21 200:25	C's 79:1	carry 115:21 116:3	chose 155:2
beyond 11:13	Brian 2:15	cafeteria 109:11	120:24 150:24	circumstances 4:25
102:3	brief 182:11	179:14 180:18,20	164:12,13 165:2	20:11 124:23
big 75:20 85:10	briefly 182:7 196:8	181:22 182:5	cars 55:19	Citizens 3:15
96:20 110:1	bring 29:20,24	186:14 187:21	case 18:15 22:6	CITY 205:3
121:18,20 126:24	31:15 46:25 48:23	193:15 197:4	27:8 68:5 126:10	Civil 4:2
127:9,9,10 171:17	84:9,19 91:4	call 57:7 58:10	cause 167:11 186:9	Claimants 1:6,12
187:10	106:4 119:23	59:12 60:2 63:20	caused 143:13	2:2
bill 154:6	132:19 162:19	63:25 85:4 153:17	164:21	Claimants' 3:11
bipolar 8:17	bringing 83:24	154:10 178:5,6	cc 53:3	Claire 4:13
birthday 65:13	187:22	182:3 189:10,14	Centennial 8:13	class 12:14 31:5,7
bit 6:18 17:12 66:1	broad 11:2 18:13	189:20	9:3,14 10:1,4,5	37:25 39:25 46:8
96:4 106:5 188:15	broadly 100:11	called 54:17 57:10	11:1,6 12:1	47:11,17 48:10
bitch 33:21,25	158:17	72:2 119:7 142:4	center 9:9 10:23	73:2 89:16 99:6
34:19 128:7	broke 44:19,20	153:15,18,19	11:5 24:6 26:9	106:4 135:1
biweekly 23:14	brought 22:8 47:6	171:12,21 178:11	98:7	142:16 148:13
bizarre 144:8,13,22	47:11,17,21,24	178:15,24 181:3	central 155:8	178:1,8 179:7,13
175:6	48:14 49:18,20	184:13	certain 47:21 48:13	180:11 182:8,16
			1	1

	1	1	 I	_
182:17 183:6,22	120:20 123:2,6	116:5 122:14,24	conjunction 19:14	55:4,6,9 58:14,21
184:19 185:2	143:6 148:8,12,16	124:12 137:18,25	201:14	59:3,6,9,15,16
187:10,12,14	149:10 154:10	138:1 146:11	connected 135:16	60:18 62:17 64:1
classes 12:23 20:20	161:14,19 167:2	150:11,14,17	connection 4:14	64:21 65:2,21
33:14 78:23 79:1	169:14 170:1,9,24	151:3 162:10	112:24 125:1	66:3,18 72:15,15
81:9,10,17,22	173:13 178:3,8	164:16 175:1	connections 9:6	83:18 95:17
82:8 83:16,16	183:8 192:25	177:15 190:7	connects 157:1	101:12 104:10
84:10 85:22,24	comes 69:18 111:7	concerned 44:21	consensus 157:19	115:8 118:11
99:16 187:18	comfortable 148:8	66:4,12 67:2	consequence 62:2	120:15 129:15
188:9,11 190:12	190:14 199:12	70:24 85:18 95:20	consequences 68:3	130:22 149:24
190:17	coming 4:11 56:17	123:16,25 124:7	190:22	157:8,16,18
classified 12:21	91:25 126:2	136:18 160:18	consider 114:5	158:10 165:5
classroom 8:20	136:10 165:10	164:9 166:3	138:14 139:3	171:9 172:9,13
31:8 33:20 48:25	202:25	178:22	153:24 154:24	174:18,23 182:12
179:11 181:14,16	commencement	concerning 45:7,10	considered 19:13	182:25 184:13
181:19 182:4	205:5	93:13 135:24	76:23 85:9	186:13 187:6,9,13
184:6 194:6	comment 40:19	137:21 143:21	considering 92:2	190:13 195:3
Clay 199:2	123:9	144:8,14,23 185:8	92:11 93:23 94:4	202:6
clean 154:6	commented 38:13	concerns 22:8,9	94:24 95:11	conversations 33:7
clear 73:24 93:22	commission 204:21	28:16 29:20 30:4	166:11,17,25	41:16 58:25 59:24
122:18 176:5	205:18	46:25 51:13 77:19	consistent 20:4	64:13 66:2 71:17
clearinghouse 28:3	commit 66:13	85:5 87:4 123:12	93:7 120:15 121:2	77:11 88:4,4 89:5
clerk 53:23	115:22	142:4 151:4,9	121:7 137:16	111:14 114:15
cliff 79:4	committee 164:3	163:14,18 164:22	consistently 29:23	159:15,20 172:5
close 187:13	202:4	165:22 198:4	consult 39:3 67:24	172:23 188:8
closed 40:23	communicate 19:5	concert 52:9	contact 3:17 19:18	194:23 195:2
closely 134:3	199:3	conclude 34:3,10	29:4 32:10 33:1,3	196:22 197:2
clubs 12:16	communicated	34:20 99:7 143:13	33:4,10 36:24	199:17
cluster 138:15,17	52:22 131:8	concluded 203:10	45:16 73:4 77:16	conversely 27:14
138:18,25 139:3	communication	conclusion 125:17	77:19 87:15	conveyed 107:18
coach 88:8,8,13,18	48:17 170:8 198:6	conclusions 191:1	155:20 157:4	cool 185:5
92:20,25 93:5	198:12	conduct 58:12	160:10 162:15,19	Cooper 195:4
96:19	communications	71:19 113:4 126:7	169:18 176:7	199:1
collect 18:17	60:2 101:20	172:21	177:22 193:3,9	copied 53:4,5,8
collected 19:9	community 146:18	conducted 35:4	contacts 33:9	copies 91:1
collecting 4:18 5:8	146:22	88:2 90:14 91:23	context 49:1	copy 40:4 91:14
collection 49:4	comparisons 10:25	111:24 122:14	100:16 172:19	159:3,7,10 195:19
college 188:15	competitions 164:2	conducting 89:14	continue 55:7	core 25:14
Colorado 1:12,14	completed 103:20	109:7	106:18 139:9	corner 24:20
2:5,9 4:2 24:6	117:7,13 135:3	conference 77:6	143:25	correct 5:19 9:1
98:6 205:2,5	completely 129:4	167:24	continued 153:3	11:15 13:12 14:16
Columbine 112:6	components 4:22	confident 114:21	189:17	14:19,23 16:14,19
comb 202:2	composure 183:21	187:17	continuing 162:13	16:20 17:6,18
come 9:10 17:19	concern 22:23,24	confidently 10:3	controversy 205:6	21:23,24 22:4
23:14 27:13 28:20	30:19,22 40:13,17	confirmed 60:5	conversation 35:21	26:8 27:17 29:2
29:6,11 55:15	42:25 44:4 48:16	conflict 168:2	36:11 39:9,12,13	29:17 30:13,14
58:5 71:15 94:12	49:8 87:11 96:13	confused 71:13	51:1,5 52:25	31:2,9 36:4,18,19
99:3 113:16 116:2	101:8 110:2 115:1	confusing 6:10	53:21 54:18,21	38:11,21,22 39:1
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>

40:1,12 41:4,6	53:23 80:15 82:1	D 1:13 3:1 78:22	106:24 113:8	38:20,24 39:1,19
42:11 44:3 46:16	137:3,6 147:17	79:10 80:7,12	123:3 160:12	58:12 67:14 68:6
46:21 47:3,15,19	counselors 23:9	81:4,6,7,13,21,24	161:21 167:25	69:3 71:9,9,11
50:7,13 52:21	33:5,12,13 148:9	82:15,20 83:7	171:3 180:6	125:22 143:4
53:5,6,8,9 54:7,8	148:23 149:9	171:6 188:14	184:17,20 192:25	145:3 157:12,25
56:7 58:16 59:1	countdown 113:10	205:4	194:10 198:19	decks 25:11
59:11,14 60:4	113:19	D'Evelyn 7:5,10	199:4 201:9	decline 28:25 29:21
61:20 62:8,23	county 3:15 10:17	13:3 15:19 16:2	203:11 204:16	186:22
67:13 68:8 70:1,6	15:17 66:20 67:12	16:13	205:16	declined 79:5 190:5
70:7 73:8,23	204:14 205:3	D's 79:6,20 81:8	days 61:3 69:10	declining 27:16
74:19 75:4,5,17	couple 9:18 16:25	83:3,16	87:8,25 131:13	85:6
76:14 77:2 78:2	40:3 55:10 98:3	dad 136:2 174:16	132:5 171:4 187:3	decreased 136:7
78:18 80:2 82:25	107:5,8 115:23	174:22 175:2	188:1	deemed 69:19
84:12 85:7 86:24	121:13,14 139:16	202:11	deal 54:18 96:20	deep-seated 134:14
90:22 93:19 97:2	183:5 187:3	dad's 131:21	126:24 127:9,9,10	134:18
100:18 102:12	course 15:24 19:15	daily 113:11,19	dealing 86:3,6	definitely 75:9
103:23,25 104:4,5	49:12 100:8 171:4	damages 4:18 5:8	dean 7:4 11:20,21	183:23
105:25 107:6,7,15	court 6:1	damn 122:21	11:22 12:3,8,8,11	degree 6:19
111:24 118:2	cover 119:25	Dan 37:25 56:5	12:13,21,24	demeanor 55:21,24
120:4 125:2,3,20	covered 120:13	62:2 74:25 99:17	debate 65:7 71:10	56:2,20,24 175:5
126:16 130:15,18	127:13	127:23 138:11	84:6 88:8 92:20	178:23 187:23
131:3 133:16	covering 200:4	158:22	92:25 93:2,5	demonstrated
134:11 136:21	crashed 135:13	danger 14:15 17:12	116:16 129:14,18	62:10
140:24 141:4	created 99:22	25:12	141:21 144:17	demoted 61:1
142:10,12 146:9	creating 202:13	dark 42:4	160:16,20 161:14	129:5 141:20
146:21 149:1	credits 15:10 82:11	Darrell 12:14 43:4	162:2,16 163:20	Denver 1:12 2:5,9
150:15,20 151:1	Creek 10:17	43:6,15 53:19	163:25 164:3	10:15,16 205:3
151:21,22 152:15	criteria 11:3	107:14,16,20,23	165:4,12 166:5	department 80:16
154:18 155:5	criticism 142:8,15	108:12 185:18	171:22 176:4,8	depend 106:3
159:8 160:21	142:22,25 143:3,8	195:9 196:23	decade 40:9,15	depends 18:23 20:9
164:20 167:23	143:14,17 195:18	197:6,25	41:10 42:15	67:23
168:13 174:5	195:23	data 18:18 19:9	139:18	deposed 5:19
192:9 193:8	Crookham 49:17	date 43:23,25 49:21	December 5:1	deposition 1:2,11
correction 202:22	50:15,22 51:12,19	58:7,9 113:12	17:25 21:14 26:2	3:5 5:17 66:11
corrections 202:21	158:21 172:1,10	163:11 164:16	26:15 27:24 30:21	166:21 167:7
correctly 31:10	177:19	192:14,17,18	82:22 83:17,19	175:11 178:22
34:17 58:23	Crookham's 47:17	dated 24:8	84:20 113:20	201:3 204:2 205:7
Corson 48:8	cross 119:18	dates 52:9	172:7,18,25	deputy 110:22
Corson's 46:8	187:20	Davis 1:5 2:12,12	177:23,25 178:15	112:19 125:2,17
47:11	crowd 144:18	4:13 23:25 40:10	179:17,19 185:17	125:23,25 126:10
council 12:16	culture 198:5,9	42:2,2,12 64:17	186:9 188:25	126:11,15,22
counsel 205:11	current 44:23	201:8 204:25	191:19,22 193:4,6	127:4
counseling 31:15	currently 119:3	Davis' 4:13	193:10,13	describe 30:22
45:15 53:7 61:6	138:21	day 5:12 8:19 19:5	decided 59:18 65:6	55:20
77:15,18 80:16	cut 31:11 128:3	36:21 49:24 51:3	68:22,24 69:9	described 31:4
87:16	129:14	51:4 55:14 56:9	183:10	36:16,23 47:16
counselor 28:19		60:16,20,21 65:1	deciding 96:14	58:24 66:1 95:14
41:14 43:2 48:24		65:3 72:12 89:20	decision 37:24	126:22 140:3

			Ì	I
141:18	director 12:15 88:5	196:5	191:1	191:21 193:10
describing 15:15	92:8	disorderly 126:7	drawing 105:17	198:5
description 26:5	disagree 122:16	displaying 106:8	drawings 102:14	elaborate 188:6
33:19 151:2	disagreed 133:24	106:12	105:23	190:1
deserve 42:5,6	disbelief 167:2	dispositive 143:3	drew 191:4	elementary 6:21
deserved 70:25	disciplinary 197:14	distress 145:23	drinking 147:11	75:14,17,19 77:7
desirable 120:7	197:17	district 1:8 10:18	drive 115:25	eligibility 84:7
desire 64:15 86:14	discipline 12:13	26:19 66:20	drop 184:11	emergency 178:11
Desiree 1:5 2:12	19:11 54:19 73:1	112:18 154:20,21	dropped 78:16	178:15,18,24,25
4:13 201:8	90:1 140:18 153:6	District's 3:10	drove 55:19	179:3
despair 116:10	155:18 185:22	districts 11:11,13	drugs 142:4	emotional 30:20
desperation 116:10	200:19	divorce 136:6,9,12	duly 4:6 205:6	95:5 119:12
destruction 104:3	discipline-wise	document 24:2,12	duration 184:16	emphasizes 26:22
detail 3:18 37:7,12	110:1	24:15,18 27:4,19	dynamic 174:13	employed 205:10
45:10 74:1,9,14	discourage 94:17	41:15 43:17 45:19	175:6 191:2	employee 30:18
74:23 75:12 77:13	discovery 36:17	62:20 130:11		empty 144:11
87:15 169:19	46:17 164:15	132:10 133:14,17		encompassing
192:2,11	discuss 32:11 44:6	140:14 150:10	E 2:1,1 3:1	20:15
detailed 112:1	57:17 61:9 63:25	151:14 160:8	e-mail 52:25 53:17	encounter 160:14
details 140:4	65:2 76:15 82:19	168:16	53:22,22 119:22	ended 55:5
185:12	90:14 136:22	documentation	120:2 198:25	endurance 5:22
determinative	149:6 153:9	73:16 87:20 159:3	e-mails 45:18	endured 139:18
143:3	161:19 169:1	documented 27:11	earlier 62:1,6	enduring 42:15
determine 111:12	189:12 190:19	46:19 47:14,18	113:25 131:13	enforcement 157:5
158:16	196:13	160:25 169:18	141:1 194:10	engage 105:7 146:5
determined 121:21	discussed 73:3	documenting 26:23	early 78:11,13 79:23 95:4 132:20	engaged 110:8
158:4	90:21 107:18	documents 3:12	134:13 139:10	111:12 172:21
determining	133:4 139:16	91:13 127:17	149:14	engaging 111:18,21
150:10	149:17 150:6	139:15	earth 108:18	Englert 110:22
developed 151:15	156:21,24 160:6	doing 8:7 18:2	east 55:15 69:24	125:2,23,25
dialogue 34:15	176:5 197:24	22:13 23:22 55:25	economics 79:10	126:10,12,15,22
different 6:24 8:23	discusses 25:1	58:17 60:21 62:21	188:13	127:4 151:20
9:22 12:16 19:3	discussing 76:19	65:23,25 69:13	ed 7:2,3,3 8:20	170:13
26:10 58:24 60:1	89:12 175:3	89:4 90:13 93:23	ed 7.2,3,3 8.20 education 6:18,21	Englert's 125:17
77:9 96:4 111:22	discussion 33:19	116:1 118:24	6:21 9:24	enjoyed 200:7
117:3 130:8	34:14 46:22 50:14	127:22 128:16	educational 9:4	entails 150:10
137:12 143:6	72:21 83:14 87:24	148:9 166:17	effective 26:6	entered 37:2 40:10
differently 33:12	130:6 136:5	175:16 183:19	effort 70:10 97:7	42:12 64:17
difficult 5:12	146:24 149:22	189:24,25	100:1,4 101:3	entertain 67:15
200:12 203:1	162:24 164:5	door 179:7,9	eight 26:10,12	entire 90:15 155:9
direct 24:25 25:19	176:25 190:4,9	183:11,24 184:9	either 14:18,25	169:21
98:12 117:19	191:21,23 196:18	184:10 194:5	15:3 20:22 28:24	entrance 55:16
176:23	discussions 31:19	doubt 161:25	38:12 41:16 45:11	entry 34:18 179:8
direction 121:25	88:22 93:12	Douglas 66:20	45:16 51:3 52:25	environment 9:23
directly 19:4 23:9	134:23 135:24	67:12 D :: 147:17 148:10	58:13 68:6 76:20	9:23
92:14,15 101:24	158:6 185:7,11	Dr 147:17 148:10	81:8 93:13 111:17	equally 27:14
102:7 138:3	186:6 188:16	148:20 152:17	128:3 141:3	erupt 148:11
154:16 178:6	194:21 195:9	draw 10:25 87:5	120.3 171.3	escalate 122:13
	•	-	•	-

165:18	evidence 19:9	93:2 110:19	fact 30:25 39:15	46:10
escort 181:9	102:24 106:7	136:14 200:7	41:9 45:24 48:13	family 69:16
especially 27:4	130:13 134:9	experienced 40:15	67:10 69:2,19	114:16 146:18
73:1 170:24	147:20	experiencing 116:9	82:15,19 83:2,7	152:21 191:2
ESQ 2:3,7	Ewert 2:15	expires 204:21	83:14 84:10 85:6	200:6
essentially 4:22	exact 163:11	205:18	95:19 96:5,9	far 19:24 56:19
28:24 71:9 130:7	176:16	explain 15:2	107:23 109:5	Farrington 2:8
192:20	exactly 31:23 36:10	137:23 143:20	117:11 121:17	fascinations 104:2
essentials 3:8 98:7	44:10 52:6 57:21	146:14,17 165:14	123:10 138:1	fast 76:7
Esther 28:6 29:22	58:18 75:10 78:21	167:6 199:9	140:1 154:9 176:5	father 63:6,17
59:24 65:25 72:12	85:21 88:25 93:3	explaining 130:12	187:24 189:12	129:22 130:1
72:21,25 74:10,20	104:19,22 109:15	134:8 147:20	190:5 196:23	fault 5:11
76:16 88:2 100:1	117:1 142:13	explains 163:13	197:25	fear 67:10 93:14
101:10 103:20	145:1 163:19	explanation 43:19	factor 121:18,20	fears 94:18
105:6 117:7,13	176:8 187:16	43:20 130:17	factors 115:24	fed 86:2,6,9
118:19 119:22	196:10	explore 67:11	130:8 131:7	feedback 41:12
120:2 122:7,10	examination 3:2	express 42:10	132:21 134:13	142:8,22 143:14
124:16 127:21,23	4:8 205:5	51:12 64:14 71:2	139:10 140:15	143:19 195:13,14
128:1,5 130:7	example 20:16	124:6	141:8 144:7 145:7	feel 6:16 10:3 35:5
132:15 133:7,10	142:21,23,23	expressed 30:19,23	145:12,17,22	42:20 48:19 51:17
133:15 147:6	examples 36:2	41:9 121:11,17	147:3 149:15,15	61:14 69:13 70:21
149:5,9 150:13	exercise 155:2,13	124:11 128:6	149:15 191:13	77:2 88:14 94:16
151:15 152:21	exhibit 3:6,8,10,13	139:17 163:13	faculty 30:18 46:24	94:19 101:16
155:10,13 156:7	3:15,17,18,19,21	166:24	84:5 95:24,25	112:16 114:8
157:10 159:12,16	17:2 24:1 30:6,7	expulsion 67:19	96:25 97:3,8,12	120:23 121:24
159:21 160:1,6	32:18,22,25 36:16	extension 178:19	98:23 158:9,12	124:19 127:16
167:21 168:16,19	36:24 37:5,18	extent 145:20	172:20 179:25	138:2 140:11
168:23 169:14,17	40:3 41:25,25	externalized 141:9	180:4	141:6 166:6
170:2,8 185:17	42:1 44:25 45:16	externalizing	failed 82:8	175:24 184:7
196:6,13,18	46:6 52:14 53:14	141:24 191:14	failing 83:15 84:10	199:12,13
Esther's 159:14	75:24 76:4 89:17	extremely 65:18	fair 123:9 145:16	feeling 35:22
Euclid 7:18 13:14	98:5 114:1 115:18	138:6	149:8	116:24 117:15
23:10	117:8 118:4 120:5	eye 108:3,20 152:2	fall 24:12 27:24	165:1,3
evaluate 101:7	122:2 130:9 139:9	170:13 171:1	28:5 29:19 32:5	feelings 42:16 98:2
121:2	145:8 161:1	eyewitness 70:20	32:14 46:15 47:7	122:4,17 123:11
evaluated 143:12	162:22 163:12		48:11 49:18 71:3	feels 88:20 95:7
evaluating 101:4	164:15 176:18	F	74:24 77:1,20	148:8,10
114:11	192:2	F 79:16,17,18 80:7	78:1,17 79:3,14	fellow 48:9
evaluation 12:17	EXHIBITS 3:5	80:12 81:4,6,7,14	80:18,23 81:22	felt 15:9 70:2,17
61:19 145:11	existed 85:17 198:6	81:21,24 82:15,20	83:24 86:5 87:11	71:1 92:19 93:5,8
event 121:8	expect 128:18	83:8 171:6	91:9,18 93:22	94:8,10 97:25
events 4:25	150:2 169:8	F's 79:6,7,14,19	98:24 112:7	99:18,19 110:17
Everall 2:7,8 6:3	expectation 170:6	81:8 83:4	118:16,20 119:6	112:22 114:21
8:10 76:2 91:17	expected 175:16	face 138:2	119:16 137:21	129:2,8 138:4
91:20 161:9,12	expel 68:6 69:4	Facebook 102:17	144:14 158:12	141:19 162:4
194:22 195:3,8	expellable 67:22	facilities 11:9	173:18 198:7	170:2 177:13
202:24 203:5,6	expelled 67:25	facility 9:4,15 10:1	fallen 144:19	185:3 187:16
everybody 29:4	experience 5:19	facing 202:10	familiar 24:3 28:2	190:14 191:9
	l	l	l	l

	1			
200:11	follow-up 22:21	191:8	getting 45:14 81:4	going 5:12,18 6:1
fender-bender	28:23 36:7 44:13	forward 4:23 5:2	83:16 130:24	6:15 19:17,19,25
135:11	51:9 89:3 105:7	48:23 106:5	201:19	20:17,19 30:3
fight 110:23	105:13,16 108:1	169:10	giggled 38:17	32:19 53:25 54:20
figure 4:25	111:16 118:24	forwarded 199:1	give 7:19 35:24	55:16 57:23 58:4
figuring 5:2	145:18 153:19,21	found 112:10,14	36:2 48:3,16	61:18 63:1 65:6
fill 202:23	155:20 168:5	179:14 181:23	70:22 93:11	69:9,10 73:5 80:1
filled 16:8 40:1	follow-ups 23:8	four 11:24 19:24	122:21 165:23	89:2 91:21 93:24
final 151:12,13	followed 83:2,6	145:23 179:2	169:3 188:22	98:11 101:15,22
187:10	136:23 156:11	fourth 47:16	given 38:5 47:20	101:24 102:1
final's 187:9	following 4:1 27:12	frankly 6:4	79:23 108:19	103:11 105:19
finals 187:3,18,18	36:8 39:11,12	freshman 12:14	119:2 131:13	106:24 113:13,15
190:16	45:22,24 51:4	78:22	139:20 159:4	113:20 114:23
find 22:5 23:19	54:14 60:21 71:6	Friday 113:20	179:21 202:20	119:4 120:24
97:7 98:22 109:19	72:12 82:14 109:7	137:13 154:6	205:9	123:3 124:20
fine 106:22 123:4	131:17 151:25	friends 103:6	glean 87:21	127:8 128:6,16
187:11	162:2 166:22	116:12 170:25	go 8:19 9:8 10:23	129:15,17,19
fine-tooth 202:2	177:14 186:14	front 17:1 125:15	15:9 17:20 20:5	131:14,14,21,21
finish 7:23	201:20	139:24 144:18	24:22 28:8,16,19	131:22 138:5
finishing 188:9	follows 4:7	194:5	28:20 31:11 32:3	143:25 147:18
first 3:11 4:6 5:21	footage 69:23 70:6	frustrated 93:4	33:9 36:6 37:16	148:10 152:1
13:20 15:11 18:16	70:8	frustrating 86:8	52:13 65:20 68:18	162:21 164:12,13
22:25,25 24:17	football 88:10	frustration 120:22	69:17 75:5 82:2	165:2 167:14,24
26:17 27:6 31:3	force 170:9	FTE 93:9	86:20 94:12 95:5	174:24 175:3
32:24 58:10 59:2	foregoing 204:2	fuck 38:13,14,16	100:9 101:7,9	176:6 181:5 184:6
78:20 81:16 92:5	205:8	38:17	106:20,25 110:7	184:15,21 187:17
92:8,14,17 93:17	foreign 180:15	fucking 42:5,16	114:14 115:9,18	188:19 189:7
140:17 145:22	forget 16:6 73:3	43:1 44:15 139:18	120:5 126:4 127:6	190:14,21 191:3
147:19 171:21	85:21 104:19	140:3	127:7 128:3 129:9	193:22 198:23,24
182:2 190:24	117:1 176:16	fueling 149:23	131:21 134:2	201:4,16
193:17,20,23	178:6 180:12	full 149:22 164:2	136:20 137:23	good 4:10 6:17
Fisch 194:8	195:25 198:20	168:5	140:13 145:15	23:24 27:8 70:15
five 22:10,20 54:1	forgot 37:15 52:14	fully 24:13 193:2	147:18 148:9,10	78:10 83:9 94:23
55:11 62:12	184:11	further 165:18,19	148:19,22 157:17	97:12,19 98:22
189:10	form 3:19 26:19,20	186:6 187:24	159:22 161:10	127:8 145:17
fix 48:6	28:13 43:24 45:7	205:10	162:18,22,22	183:20 202:6
flag 85:10 110:4	45:8 52:15,16	future 5:5 201:7	164:2 171:14	Goodrum 2:14
flip 37:21	53:3,10 73:7		174:8 176:3 180:6	174:11
fluctuate 85:25	99:23 100:23	$\frac{\mathbf{G}}{\mathbf{G}}$	180:11,12,21	Google 91:13
fluctuation 8:22	103:20 117:12	Gage 2:3	181:5 182:3 183:4	Googled 50:23
focus 129:20	125:11 146:19	games 12:18	184:6 185:3 195:7	gotten 135:7
folks 170:12	170:7,14 205:8	gathered 29:7	199:20 200:24	142:15 172:20
follow 18:14 26:18	formal 13:18	general 18:3 19:1	202:1 203:7	195:10
27:7 40:18 42:25	172:23 200:20	20:14 188:21	goals 101:11	Grad 3:18
62:7 101:3 111:17	formally 28:9	generally 170:13	Goddard 7:1 8:4	grade 7:14 13:4
112:19 113:1	forms 16:8 169:20	generated 80:12,22	11:4	27:11 38:10,16
134:7 156:7	forth 32:20 123:1	genuinely 34:25	goes 33:24 146:14	42:21 47:1,22
201:17	130:22 175:3	German 50:16,24	146:17	48:15 49:3,9
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		l	l

80:13 81:11	guy 55:17 57:23	harbors 143:22	7:5,13 11:4 16:17	91:10 111:2
142:15	95:5 131:15	hard 18:25 34:16	24:15 27:24 29:19	
grades 19:11 38:4	guys 112:4	78:11 82:4 93:5	32:25 40:14 46:24	I
78:7,9,13,16 79:4		131:16 136:10	49:12 53:11 78:20	ID 205:4
79:25 80:3,23	H	200:3	92:3 93:22 96:6	idea 59:20 164:1
81:21 82:20,21	half 54:1 96:22	head 6:9 35:10	101:8 105:7 107:4	174:19 194:1
83:22,24 84:15,20	hall 174:17 177:21	76:12 107:23	109:12 118:14	ideas 101:20 131:8
85:1,6,9,18,21,25	hallway 171:24	183:10 190:2	170:15 179:1	131:18
86:9,22 87:15	172:22 179:12	headed 55:18	198:6 199:22	identified 98:14
119:5 186:16,19	181:18	health 6:24 8:5	200:4	115:15 151:19
186:21,25 187:7	Halverson 3:18	30:21 61:19,22	higher 177:16	152:13
190:5,15 198:22	handle 48:25 88:9	154:7,17	hindsight 78:16	identify 30:17
198:24 199:6	88:18 143:19	hear 11:1 54:18	historically 144:1	Ides 43:9,11,17,22
graduate 82:5	handled 71:5	86:8 102:6 108:11	history 76:24 79:11	44:1
174:6 187:15	195:24 196:7	123:7 128:21	132:25 133:3,8	idiots 42:5
graduating 82:8	handling 200:21	138:9 183:7	139:11 140:18	IEP 119:19,21
grasp 73:19	hands 139:19	193:19	187:11 188:12	120:2
great 12:12 118:23	handwriting 42:7	heard 18:19 42:10	hit 76:6	IEP's 11:17
180:16 203:7	122:8	54:20 55:17 56:24	hitting 75:25 76:11	immediately
greater 186:11,13	handwritten 14:21	57:9 68:15 69:7	hold 39:21 143:21	111:23 140:4
ground 189:4	15:4 134:16	71:22 86:12 92:14	144:4	180:10
grounds 52:19	hang 85:11	93:13 94:2 113:3	holding 65:24	importance 26:22
53:12	happen 37:14	131:20 153:11	129:7	important 6:4,8
group 170:25	106:5 161:17	175:5 179:3	home 8:20,25 37:16	25:20 27:1,3,14
groups 12:16	191:3,15	183:16 193:16,19	66:24 67:12 103:4	27:19 28:23 29:13
grudge 143:22	happened 19:4	193:23 194:2,16	112:10 131:21	49:2 70:2 98:15
144:1,5	32:12 35:24 38:3	196:2	184:16,21,22	109:5 115:15
guard 181:9	38:15 57:20,25	hearing 61:7 115:2	185:4 189:8,25	116:18 118:18
guardian 152:7	61:1 63:15 65:5,9	183:13	honest 123:1	168:3 203:1
guards 181:4	72:13 73:2 75:9,9	heart 179:10 185:9	honesty 123:8	importantly 4:20
185:18	75:14,17 77:3	heat 71:9	Hook 108:10	imposed 170:6
guess 5:18 9:13	84:18 89:8 106:4	heavily 187:19	hoops 175:16	impression 86:2
10:19 11:19	114:23 120:23	held 68:12	hopefully 27:9	122:10 175:17,18
138:21 191:4	126:1 127:5	hell 40:9,15 41:10	193:1	177:11
guidance 98:7	128:20 140:7,10	42:15 139:18	hopelessness	improper 122:3
guide 175:13	150:3 167:10	hello 177:21	116:10,24	improvements
gun 104:17 109:17	168:24 182:2,8,14	help 5:4 19:19	hospital 8:14,14	201:6
109:18 113:16	182:15 183:3	27:11 41:24 81:6	9:7	improving 27:10
131:21 193:7	185:19 189:5	118:23 166:6	hour 54:1 106:21	impulsive 68:11
194:15 196:24	190:25 192:24	169:24 199:2	180:13 184:19	98:1 114:22
guns 104:13,14,16	197:8 200:13	201:6	203:10	inappropriate
105:17,20,23	happening 5:4	helped 30:12 31:1	hours 14:7	68:13,21 103:25
106:13 107:6	119:5 154:12	121:24	house 10:20 11:6	104:11 105:2
108:7,13,25	happens 18:23 48:5	helpful 22:5,6,7	112:13,17	120:22 138:7
109:11 132:5,14	48:22 77:6	23:20 46:4 70:19	housed 28:9	148:11 183:23,25
197:5,15 198:1	happy 38:9,15	hereto 205:7	huge 202:10	incidences 138:18
gunshots 193:17,19	194:12	hi 172:22	huh-uhs 6:9	incident 31:3,4,11
gut 31:12 128:3	harbor 144:1,4	high 3:13,19 5:1	hundred 12:6	31:14,19 32:1,4

				_
32:15 33:19 36:6	155:13,16	intent 101:15,21	issue 88:7 109:25	18:3,8,14 20:23
36:9,15,20,23	indicated 175:11	102:4,15,19,24	116:13 129:16	21:25 23:5 30:19
37:25 38:2 39:25	indicates 100:1	131:9	134:25 135:12	31:21 33:20 34:4
41:17 45:23 46:6	individual 89:10	intentions 114:7	197:18,18	34:15,18,21 35:4
46:7,11,13,19	individually 88:11	interest 64:15	issues 8:16 27:13	35:16,21 36:7,20
47:16 48:8 49:14	Infinite 33:4 193:1	103:15,25 104:12	29:24 48:18 99:6	37:6,11,25 38:8
49:17,17,24 50:2	inflame 165:19	104:16,20 105:2,9	134:15,18,21,24	38:20,24 39:4,14
50:5,8,11 51:24	inflicting 201:7	105:14 106:8,12	136:3,19 148:7,16	40:1,7,19,20,24
54:5 56:5,6 57:19	influence 76:24	interested 205:12	149:11 185:22	41:2,6,13,17
59:24 62:3,10	inform 66:11	interested 203.12	item 36:17 47:10	42:10,17,25 43:10
63:14 70:16 74:25	informal 23:15,16	Internet 107:6	89:25 90:1 117:4	43:16,24 44:6
76:5,10 78:1	200:20	108:13 109:11	139:10	45:11,14,24 46:15
82:24 83:21	information 18:18	interpret 134:20	items 89:19	47:6 48:8,16
126:12 127:24	26:24 27:5,20,23	Interrogatories	101113 07.17	49:10,24 50:11,25
128:2 130:1 135:1	28:4 29:6,11,20	3:11	J	51:2,6,20 53:11
136:16 138:12	35:25 37:10 53:1	interrupt 34:9	$\overline{\mathbf{J}}$ 2:3	54:6 55:15,18,20
140:1 143:7,9,11	69:18 70:22 72:14	interrupted 65:20	Jackie 31:5,7 33:19	56:4,8,17,22
143:16 161:20	87:21 88:20	interrupted 03.20	56:5 78:1 128:1	57:22 58:1,4 60:5
169:6 171:10	114:15 118:19	119:10,16 155:20	JAG 1:1	60:15 61:10,21
172:1,14 177:20	144:2,25 145:2	interventions	James 151:20	62:3,10 64:16
177:25 178:4,16	150:23 191:7	119:24	152:1 170:13	65:6,17,23 66:4
178:23 185:16	192:10,19,21	intranet 73:11,14	Jeff 46:8 48:8	66:12,19,23 67:11
186:7 190:19	informed 154:2	87:19	Jeffco 7:6,10 13:8	67:20,25 68:12,17
192:4,18	initial 3:5 22:8	introductory 130:5	13:10 15:23,25	68:20 69:4,19
incidents 29:24	195:17	investigate 42:25	16:7 23:1	70:25 72:13 74:8
46:23 47:5,21	initials 50:12,17	85:16 97:11,14	Jefferson 15:16	74:13 75:11,15,20
48:14 49:5,6,11	initiated 196:2	101:11 102:4	Jesse 92:7,13 194:4	75:25 76:6,10,20
56:4 75:14,18,21	input 37:10	105:1 118:8	job 37:10 53:10	76:25 77:20 80:9
75:23,25 76:4,15	inquire 194:21	124:22 187:24	83:9 92:2 93:21	80:19 81:6,12,21
76:19,23 77:2	inquiry 26:5 98:15	investigated 109:14	166:12,25 183:20	82:6,7,14,18 83:2
92:10 103:16	inquisitive 25:21	investigation 19:10	Joe's 6:22	83:7,13 84:10,13
105:3,9 106:9,13	114:2	32:4 52:1 126:16	joint 59:21	85:1,22,24 86:3,6
138:9,14,25 139:4	insight 40:22	investigative 25:20	Jones 2:15	86:7,14 88:2,7,15
139:16,20 143:2	insincere 175:15,19	invite 63:19	journal 112:1	89:12,21 90:2,10
172:10	175:24	involved 16:4 21:9	judge 34:23	91:23 92:1 93:17
include 19:16	instance 11:4 47:9	21:17 22:22 50:11	judgment 114:17	93:23 94:3,7,12
125:23	instances 48:4	59:7 111:11 131:2	155:13,16	94:15,18,22 95:10
included 21:18	institutionalized	146:19,22	July 24:8	95:25 96:7,10,15
25:13 55:5	8:25	involvement	jump 175:23	96:22,23 97:1,4,8
includes 145:11	instruct 111:17	200:21	jumping 175:15	97:12 98:22,24
incorrect 85:13	instructed 28:16	involving 47:6 54:6	191:5	99:7,12,13,19,19
177:9	instruction 134:8	56:4 59:3 172:10	junior 82:10	100:3,6 101:4,12
increase 139:6	instructions 48:3	178:1,16	junior/senior 7:5	101:16,22 102:3,8
162:10	64:4	Isolate 146:1	7:13	103:21 104:9,11
increased 116:4	instructs 130:12	isolated 49:4	T7	104:11,25 105:2,8
136:6 146:11	intended 152:6	117:15 121:8	K 216	105:16,22 106:7
162:12	intense 174:18,23	isolation 39:2	Kanan 2:16	106:12 107:6,17
independent 25:15	175:1	116:12	Karl 3:18 16:18,23	107:21 108:4,7,12
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	

109:8,10,22	180:5,8,11,17,19	149:5	116:14,18 117:21	113:1,10,14,16
110:23 111:12,14	181:4,7,23,25	kept 154:14 171:1	135:4,7,15 139:20	113.1,10,14,10
111:18 112:17,20	182:5,7,9,12	Kevin 1:2,12 3:2	147:22,24 158:21	115:25 116:12
113:19,24 114:6	183:1,3,10,12,17	4:5 8:10 51:16	158:22,23,24	118:7,19 119:1
114:11 115:6	184:5,10,12,23	166:23 175:14	166:4 168:5	120:12 122:25
116:11,13,19	185:7 186:2,10	196:3 204:1,8,13	187:24 197:8,11	120.12 122.23
118:9,15,24	187:7,21 189:2,7	205:5	197:25	123.20,20,21
119:15 120:11,17	189:12,16 190:21	key 98:13 101:3	knock 183:7	126:11 127:20
120:21 121:10,24	191:6,13,19,22	117:22	knocked 183:5	128:15,19,22
122:2,11,14,18,24	193:4,24 194:8,14	kick 88:15 163:21	know 4:17 5:13	129:2,4,12 130:20
123:1,10,13,20	194:18 196:7,14	165:6	8:16,21 9:11 10:2	132:4,19 133:25
125:4 126:8,23	196:23 197:5,12	kicked 194:11	10:9,10,22,24	134:3,5,17,18
128:2,5,10,16	198:1 200:22	kicking 76:11	11:7,18 12:17	135:6,12,20
131:13 132:4,13	201:21	kid 23:22 63:2 66:7	18:6,8,10,12,19	137:12,25 138:3,4
,	Karl's 23:8 44:13	108:20 140:25	18:21,24 22:19	138:5,6,17,19
134:20,23 135:2,4	45:2 50:15,15	145:3 173:23,25	23:15 27:6,9 28:1	139:22 142:2,20
136:11,11,22	51:23 60:19 61:12	183:7,8,9 184:3	29:15,15,19,25	142:20 143:7,15
137:5,19 138:4	63:6,17 65:13,14	191:3	30:2 32:9 33:15	143:16,23,23,25
139:7,16 140:3	74:1,23 78:9,16	kids 8:19 9:10,14	34:15 35:3,8 37:1	144:6,24 147:11
141:19,23 142:14	83:22,24 84:15,19	33:22 34:19 81:8	40:21 41:12 42:18	147:16 148:6,8
142:25 143:2,7,13	85:6,18 86:22	82:3,3,4 87:7	43:4,21,22,23,23	149:13 152:24
143:17 144:14,18	87:1 101:11	128:7,7 173:5	46:3,4 47:9 49:3	153:2 154:9,19
146:12,24 147:16	105:13 119:5	174:6	50:4,21 51:5,16	156:6,9 157:2,3,4
147:21 148:4,6,15	121:3 123:21,25	kill 38:24 39:4	51:22 52:23 56:19	157:6,13,16 158:3
149:2,4,10 150:13	124:7,12,15,20	49:25 54:7 55:17	57:19,25 58:3,16	158:7,23,23,25
151:10,15 152:2	129:16,22,25	57:23 67:21 68:1	58:21 61:5 63:9	159:18 161:4
152:20 153:3,9,21	134:24 135:17,24	93:18,24 94:3	63:17 64:11,12	163:22 166:2,4,8
153:25 154:3,14	136:2,7,14 138:10	101:24 103:11	65:11,12 68:11,14	166:14 167:2
155:6,11,14 156:8	144:3 147:3 153:8	107:21 108:21	68:17 70:23 71:1	169:7,9,15 171:1
156:12 157:25	157:12,21 162:25	109:13 131:14,14	71:22 73:1,5,10	171:3 174:25
158:7,12 160:10	169:2 176:13	158:9 164:10	74:4,4,11,20,22	175:19,22,23
160:15,19,22	178:23 189:22	165:3 180:3	75:9,9 78:15	176:3,4,5,8,9
161:13,20 162:1	190:12 192:11	kind 16:9 38:19	79:22 81:15,16	178:17,25 182:15
162:11,14,18	198:14,18	44:2 51:16 52:4	82:4,16 83:6,10	183:21 184:7,17
163:14,18,24	keep 25:20 27:19	61:18 69:13 85:11	84:5,25 85:20,20	185:2,13,24
164:5,9 165:1,19	54:4 61:7 77:10	85:12 93:7 122:25	86:17 87:21 88:9	187:14 189:4
165:23 166:4,18	106:22 108:3	138:7 139:19	88:14 89:1,4 91:5	191:5,7,9,11
167:8,11,13,18	130:23 142:3	167:1,2 175:6,12	93:1 94:8 95:4	192:25 193:21,21
169:3,7,15,23	152:2 153:25	199:7	96:18,18,21 97:3	195:25 196:2,15
170:4,6,13,16,22	165:24 170:13	kinds 105:10	97:18,18 98:24,24	197:7,14 198:18
170:23 171:9	202:5,8	KMF 171:25	99:17,17,19	198:23 201:14
172:6,9,13,19	keeping 26:23 61:2	KMFDM 50:18	101:13 102:7,8	202:3,14,25
173:4 174:4,13,16	108:20 112:1	51:13	104:14,15 105:11	knowing 109:3
174:22 175:7,13	113:11	knew 18:12 29:5	105:14,20 106:3	110:20 154:9
175:14,21,24	Kelly 31:16 34:18	46:25 53:23 63:1	107:2 108:15,24	knowledge 136:13
176:1,9 177:3,11	36:7,11 41:16	77:25 94:6 95:25	109:6,16,24	149:2
177:15,22 178:1,8	81:25 83:1,9	96:2,7,8,10,25	110:18,22 111:3	known 29:7 158:11
178:16 179:6,17	84:16 148:24	97:4,8 107:5,20	111:21 112:8,9,12	158:14 195:21
l				l

				3-
197:23	84:24 86:20 91:18	20:3 31:21 53:3	183:13	68:14 69:24 72:25
knows 19:16 49:5	91:19 92:9 100:11	81:8,14,24 82:9	long 14:5 40:20	77:6 85:25 94:11
134:10 145:14	101:9 102:9	82:15,19 83:3,8	46:22 54:21 55:9	98:1 99:5 100:22
Kolasa 1:2,12 3:2	103:14 110:7	83:15 87:4 98:13	57:12 137:4	128:16,17 138:4
4:5,10 166:23	115:18 120:5	100:12 101:3	189:10	166:5 175:13,14
201:2 204:1,8,13	131:5 140:13	123:15 139:11	longer 23:6 164:9	187:9,17 191:7
205:5	142:3 145:6	144:7 145:7,21	174:11	loud 55:17 179:8
203.3	157:20 165:5	listed 19:24 37:7	look 17:1 24:1 30:5	183:6,24
$\overline{\mathbf{L}}$	174:8 182:11	47:10 99:21	32:17,23 35:11,24	Louisville 10:11,12
lacked 150:21	186:18 199:20	lists 26:10	37:18 48:20 53:3	lounge 193:14
laid 114:1 130:8	200:24	lit 79:10,17	59:17 65:15 69:11	loved 68:12
language 82:11	letter 3:15 77:5	literature 187:12	72:17,22,24 73:20	low 101:8 150:14
180:15	81:11	litigation 205:13	75:24 77:4,15	150:16 157:3
large 33:17	letters 45:18	little 6:18 17:11	78:3 80:3 85:20	190:12
late 86:5	level 47:1,22 48:15	51:17 53:25 66:1	87:1 89:17 98:5	low-level 145:4
Lathrop 2:3	49:3,9 76:25	96:4 188:15	100:21 102:9,13	166:18
laughed 38:13	80:13 96:15 101:4	Littleton 1:8 3:7,10	100.21 102.9,13	lower 24:19 177:16
law 157:4	114:11 116:5	14:14 17:14 30:8	115:7 117:6	177:18 186:25
lead 34:3,10,20	121:19,20 122:13	32:25 52:20 56:16	161:10	187:1
113:3	121:19,20 122:13	66:19	looked 19:12 68:10	LPS 10:17 24:20
leading 88:1 89:1	139:6 146:11	LLP 2:3	68:16 74:16,18,23	25:11 30:17,17
90:13 98:1 138:19	147:3 150:10,14	lock 184:8 194:4,5	77:12 78:6 86:23	99:23 194:23
learn 41:1,2,8 52:5	150:16 151:3	lockdown 193:16	87:19 109:3	
99:3 136:25 178:3	157:3 162:10	193:20,21 194:2	115:23 127:17	lunch 76:1,6,7 106:21 115:10
180:19 194:14		7		
195:22	177:15 200:10	locked 179:6 184:7	133:25 134:3	lying 114:6,18
learned 5:3 51:13	levels 60:20 61:13	184:10,12 190:21	139:15 144:1	115:1
52:6 60:15 201:5	136:7 librarian 93:8	locker 102:21 112:23 113:5	looking 4:22,23,24 5:2 26:22 46:18	M
201:11,13			52:7 73:15,16	machine 205:7
leave 10:5 32:16,18	library 93:10	locking 190:22 log 3:17 32:10 33:1	77:3 79:25 87:14	magnet 184:11
127:8 146:3	162:19 194:11,17	\circ		Mahe 1:13 205:4
148:13,25	lies 197:7	33:3,4,10 36:24 37:3,12 45:16	105:20 107:6	main 178:13 194:3
leaving 92:2 93:21	life 56:22 67:6	,	108:7,13,25	194:5,7
94:4,24 135:5	93:15	73:4 77:16,19	109:11,15,18	maintain 170:7
166:25	light 35:16 77:9	169:19	143:24 145:21	maintaining
led 4:25 36:20	liked 188:12	logs 87:15	175:10 188:20	183:21
57:25 87:18 99:7	197:22	Lois 2:13	196:24 197:5,15	maintains 179:16
140:1 158:13	likelihood 124:23	Lombardi 82:24	198:1	major 62:9
left 23:25 42:3	Lincoln 2:8	83:20 171:10	lookout 106:7	majority 50:16
63:16 109:4	Linda 2:16	172:14 178:7,11	looks 16:7 174:22	making 94:23
179:13 183:4	line 44:12 76:7	178:15,21 179:5	Loptien 54:16 55:6	105:22 197:7
	145:22 155:23	179:16 180:8,13	55:13,17,25 56:21	201:20
199:16,22,25 Lembke 2:13	178:11,16,18,25	180:16,21 181:6	56:25 57:6,21	
lessons 5:3 201:5	178:25 179:3	181:12,13 182:1,3	59:4,7 70:20	makings 104:3
	lines 38:18 48:17	182:8,13,20,23	71:23 158:23	manage 11:14
201:10,12 let's 27:10 30:5	50:21 51:16	183:2 184:2,11	lost 116:15	management 45:3
	197:13 198:5,12	186:3 191:19,22	lot 8:17,22 9:6	45:15,25 62:4
36:6 37:17 49:16	lingering 151:4,9	191:24 192:4	15:11 19:3 40:21	134:21 136:20
65:2 77:10 81:20	list 14:14,17 15:3	Lombardi's 178:1	40:24 48:22 65:4	137:20 183:19
			1	

mandatany 15.0	meet 23:11 32:14	95:25 96:25 97:3	135:10,12 143:12	138:15 141:1
mandatory 15:8 manifested 138:10	33:13 82:11 153:3	97:8,13 158:9	144:23 150:5	189:17,22 201:9
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,
March 31:24 32:9 32:9 35:21 37:6	162:16 170:10,25 199:14	164:3 165:4,12 172:20 180:4	162:1 179:21 186:10,12 187:21	morning 4:10 170:24
39:25 43:9,11,17	meeting 3:13 16:9	members 28:16	187:25 198:4	motives 101:11
	19:7 41:23 51:9		200:8 202:9	
43:22,22 44:1 45:22 63:15	54:15 58:1,2,8	29:7,14 62:22,25 84:7 88:24 95:25	mindset 25:21	114:6 move 66:19
136:16 142:14	60:8 63:10,13,17	98:23 179:25	114:2	moved 6:23 13:14
	, ,	98:25 179:25 members' 91:15	minimal 150:19	moved 6:23 13:14 moves 27:10
margin 156:20 margins 134:16	64:7,22 65:5,9,13 65:15,24 66:21	memorialize 45:19	minor 48:21 49:4	moving 67:11 76:7
O .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			121:24 132:20
mark 54:16,17,19	67:4 68:12,25	memory 66:6 mental 6:24 8:5	49:11 75:21,23,25	141:8 142:3 144:7
55:6,17 57:6	69:8 71:6,16,19		76:4,23	
70:20 71:23 134:1	74:15 78:5 80:24	30:20 61:19,22	minute 28:22 55:2	156:16 169:9
134:24 155:19	81:1 82:18 83:13	154:16	76:23	multiple 37:22 70:5
158:23	87:1,18 88:1 89:5	mention 90:2	minutes 54:2 55:10	81:17 186:17,18
marked 3:5 117:20	89:14,18,20 90:9	mentioned 8:3 12:3	55:10,11 57:11,15	200:1 201:5,12
147:6	90:13,16,17,20	13:1 16:11 28:22	98:3 127:12	multitude 33:11
mass 103:16	91:3,15,23 98:3	40:7 43:15 45:1	189:10	Murphy 38:25 39:5
104:20 105:4,9	104:24 111:23	61:17 76:5 86:23	misconduct 140:20	49:25 51:20 54:7
106:9,13 108:10	121:6,12,13	92:11,13 96:24	missed 87:7 195:11	54:15 55:6 57:1,7
master's 6:19	127:14 128:13,14	105:22 113:5	missing 185:1	57:24 58:1 59:3,7
maternity 32:16	128:15,18,22	121:10 147:15	modeling 191:13	60:9 61:16 64:24
148:25	129:1,2,19,23	149:19 168:11	moderate 151:4,9	65:21 66:2,10,17
matter 127:10	130:2,6 131:3	190:25 201:3	mom 55:18 119:22	66:25 67:5 68:1
matters 205:6	132:12 133:5,18	Meredith 12:14	128:20 134:18,19	68:13 69:23 70:23
McClure 2:13	148:14 149:17	43:4,16 107:14,16	135:1,11,16	71:4,18,23 83:23
mean 8:25 20:2	150:3,5 151:9	185:18 196:23	147:24 154:4	84:5,14,19 85:2,5
23:13 28:9 33:22	153:7,12,15,17,19	197:25	184:13 198:18	85:8,17 86:1,12
34:13,20 52:8	153:21 160:11,23	met 4:11 5:25	202:11	91:24 92:10 93:13
57:2 64:20 74:3	162:2,24 163:2,6	32:11 82:17 94:9	Mom's 135:12	93:14,20 94:2,14
78:21,22 81:18	163:22 164:17,19	114:20 128:10	moment 100:7	94:22 95:19,24
90:21 100:24	166:1,10,14,21,24	163:8 166:9,15,23	162:12	96:5,10 98:2
111:1,8 124:16	167:4,12,18,20,22	168:11,22	Monday 65:24	101:16,25 102:8
136:8 151:23,23	168:5,9,13,25	metro 10:14,16	72:17	103:11 107:21
160:2 184:23	169:1,12,20	Michael 1:5 2:3,12	money 5:8	112:21 114:21
188:2	170:12 174:14,16	2:15 204:25	monitor 181:6	121:7 122:19
meaning 126:6	174:23 175:7,17	Michelle 49:17	monitored 171:4	123:22 124:11
means 150:17	175:20,24 176:10	50:22 158:21	monitoring 105:8	126:23 127:6
meant 22:13 48:3	176:25 177:3,14	177:19	105:13,16 108:2	128:22 131:14
66:13 166:4 185:8	180:7 184:23	middle 7:12,18 8:4	118:24 145:18	137:10,21 138:3
185:14	195:5,17 201:22	11:4 13:3,14 25:1	146:15 153:6	138:11 152:3,8
measure 152:5,12	meetings 22:22	98:13 138:21	155:19 170:15,21	153:8 160:18
152:20	23:16 66:22 89:1	176:24 200:7,11	173:4,6,17 174:1	162:5,15,20,25
measures 151:18	89:8,10,13 91:8	Mike 4:12,13 201:8	174:4	163:8,13,17 164:4
155:24 197:14	107:17 166:15	mind 5:15 6:4 27:1	month 76:10	164:8,10,21 165:9
media 102:18	Melissa 195:4	42:14 77:19 111:7	monthly 23:13	166:10 167:4,6,16
medium 101:8	199:1	113:21 116:2	months 62:1,12,12	169:4,4 176:7,11
151:3	member 30:18	119:18 123:12	74:25 107:5	176:15 177:12
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I

Mumby!a 56.20	nooded 0:21 22	120.16 120.15	5:24 6:17 7:15	ano minuto 50.2
Murphy's 56:20 122:4,17 123:11	needed 9:21,22 19:21 39:23 73:17	130:16 139:15 178:12,20	8:13 9:13,25 17:4	one-minute 59:2 ones 133:6 158:24
164:16,22 166:20		numbered 36:17	, and the second	158:25
167:7 175:10	82:10 125:15,19 158:7	162:23	17:11,19 18:1 19:23 20:2 22:10	
		numbers 24:20		ongoing 147:25
music 52:4,11	needs 9:24 41:13	numbers 24:20	23:19,22 24:21	163:18 170:3,14 170:21
muzzleloader	145:18 157:2,5 184:1	0	26:4,21 28:3	online 74:18
132:18	negatively 146:5	observation 175:12	30:25 35:13,18,23 37:21,23,24 42:24	open 32:19 128:13
N	198:8,11	observe 170:23	44:12 48:7 49:1	171:1 184:9
N2:13:1	negligent 5:10	observed 18:21	49:16,23 51:12	opened 179:9
name 3:18 4:12	neither 56:15	55:14	53:2 62:16 63:20	183:11
14:17,24 15:2	nervous 162:13	obtain 104:17	64:8 69:22 71:8	operation 10:8
50:20 81:13 83:3	never 11:7 68:15	obviously 18:6	72:21 73:9 74:18	opportunity 154:16
119:2 194:9	85:14 106:11	137:25	74:20 75:11 79:8	169:3 202:21,23
named 52:18	120:2 126:3,4	occur 13:24 113:13	79:22 81:2,12	opposite 124:15
names 81:8	152:25 159:7	145:18 187:20	82:2 83:12,23	oppositional
Natalie 39:6,10	171:12 179:3	188:4 191:12	86:4,20 88:7,22	140:20
54:15,16,22 55:5	196:4 200:18	occurred 14:9 31:5	89:12 91:3,20	opted 38:8
58:17,25 59:16	new 77:22,24	46:7 49:11,24	95:8 99:3 101:1	option 66:19 67:17
63:21 64:13 68:2	news 197:5	54:9 146:20	104:23 108:12	67:20 154:17,19
71:17,25 72:4	news 197.3 newspapers 197:6	192:18	104.23 108.12	154:22,24 155:2
87:22,25 88:23	Newton 108:10	occurs 104:10	113:4 119:8,9	options 145:24
89:11 90:25 94:25	night 8:25	October 1:2,13 3:2	120:20 121:1,9,23	ordinary 15:24
95:2,9,15 109:22	nine 8:23	203:11 205:16	120.20 121.1,9,23	organize 19:6
157:2,8,17 158:6	none/known 132:1	odd 43:13 190:23	124.21 123.21	organized 153:23
185:17,20,21	Notary 1:14 204:20	off-the-cuff 171:18	131:17,23 132:20	out-of-school 52:17
195:9	205:4	offense 67:22	135:3 137:23	outburst 38:20
Nate 13:23 17:9	note 75:14 78:8	offered 170:3	140:13 141:11,15	40:9 68:18 101:23
109:23,23 159:4,6	134:19 156:21	offers 169:17,24	143:6 145:6,21	120:21,25 129:7
159:10,17,22	noted 56:23 133:23	170:1	147:6 148:14	137:21 142:14
195:4,9,12 196:16	134:12	office 31:15 53:7	149:13 152:1	186:9
199:1 201:20	notes 33:6 41:16,20	128:11 161:22	153:13,17 156:4	outbursts 183:20
Nate's 73:12	41:22,24 45:19	163:10 171:21	157:24 158:19	189:21
naturally 35:14	62:16 130:12,23	178:13 180:9,24	159:15 161:10	outcome 201:4
nature 30:22	134:8 147:19	181:10 182:1,5,10	162:21 163:16	205:12
NC 78:23 198:23	161:4,5,8 202:5	182:18,19,23	172:5 173:13	outside 41:13,14
necessarily 153:16	notice 1:11 74:24	183:1 194:3,5,7	174:24 180:16	61:4,6 110:24
154:4	157:21	198:19 199:5	182:11,18,22	152:17 154:2
necessary 19:15	noticed 80:19 81:5	officer 125:11	183:18 188:16,18	155:4,11 193:14
need 15:10 16:8	107:9 108:7	offices 73:12	192:2 196:5	195:8
19:12 54:17 91:3	Notifications	180:15	198:10,14 199:25	overall 16:10 26:17
94:11 100:17	156:17	official 23:14	200:24 203:3	78:21
106:18,19,23	notified 152:7	oh 6:13 11:22 21:5	old 115:25 189:4	overreacting 94:15
125:22 126:4	notify 157:25	41:25 95:16	older 9:19	override 71:11
145:15 157:2,4,13	November 31:4	106:24 123:3	once 51:13 89:8,11	oversaw 53:19
170:2 171:19	36:8 72:18 76:5	131:17 155:25	202:3,21	oversight 75:4
187:15 199:14,14	number 47:5	156:3 199:11	once-a-week	overwhelming
202:1	109:14 126:10	okay 4:16 5:6,16,23	152:13	202:8,11
	107.11 120.10		102.10	202.0,11
1 1 1 1 -1		IIIINTED - CETOT INC		022 5066/000 525 0400

			İ	I
owned 109:18	69:24 126:8	137:12,15 138:4,6	person's 5:11	120:11,18 121:10
	part 14:13 19:21	140:8 144:19	personal 136:12	122:14,24 123:10
P	20:7 22:16 25:8	148:12 168:4	personality 30:21	123:13 126:23
P 2:1,1	26:6 28:23 32:4	169:16 181:1	personally 136:13	128:6,10 129:10
P.C 2:8	64:15 74:7,14	183:24 186:7	140:25 161:22	129:13 134:13,23
p.m 115:12,12	75:4,12 76:16	195:16 197:19	Philadelphia 6:23	134:23,24 144:14
174:10,10 201:1,1	78:4 88:3,16	201:20 202:7	phone 33:7 57:12	146:12 148:4
203:10	95:23 97:6,10,22	peppered 79:1	69:18 103:8	150:14 151:15
pad 41:23	100:2 104:7 108:1	perceive 164:22	phrased 104:18	153:9,21 154:1,3
page 3:2 24:22 25:1	110:12 116:14	perceived 34:4,10	124:10	154:14 155:3,10
26:4 32:23,24	120:10,17 124:5	34:13,21 164:15	physical 55:24 56:2	155:15 160:10,15
46:7 75:24 76:4	126:15 140:13	percent 12:6 25:8	111:3,3	161:20 162:11
98:12,13 99:21	154:8,9 160:22	79:9,16 91:11	physics 79:18	165:19,23 166:18
102:17 115:19	163:1 164:4	111:2 187:12	185:2 187:14	170:4,7,14,16,22
117:14,20 147:19	165:17 167:17	perception 40:14	190:13	171:9 172:6 173:1
150:9 159:1	169:20 176:3	44:14 99:9 139:11	picked 178:5	173:4 174:13
162:22,23 167:7	189:22 195:16	165:25	picture 109:17	175:12,21 177:16
176:23,24	202:17	perform 13:18	pictures 105:17	177:22 178:1,16
pages 37:22 40:3	part-time 93:10	15:14 16:1	piece 4:22,23,24	183:1 186:3
paid 12:22	participate 4:15	performance 27:15	5:2 11:15 15:7	188:24 190:18
pain 201:8	16:9 88:16 102:5	97:6,10,22	118:19	191:12 194:15
paper 15:7 22:14	125:7,12 128:18	performed 16:12	Pierson 3:18 16:18	196:7,14,23 199:3
50:16 139:24	129:17,23 157:10	18:8 20:23 22:11	16:23 18:3,8 35:4	200:22
papers 42:22 50:12	160:19 167:20,22	22:20 26:14 50:6	35:17 38:8 39:4	Pierson's 18:15
paperwork 37:16	175:23	51:6 100:5 116:23	39:13 40:7,19	20:23 30:19 37:6
37:19 73:6 140:2	participated 14:14	196:14	41:17 42:10,17	37:11 42:25 44:6
paragraph 162:24	16:16,18 28:11,12	performing 17:20	45:11,20,23 46:15	45:14 55:21 74:8
parent 18:23	168:4	25:21 26:13 46:14	49:10 51:20 54:6	74:13 75:11 81:13
parents 4:14 19:6	participating 164:1	49:1 62:20 100:18	56:5,8,13,17,22	83:13 113:19
22:23 33:8 36:8	participation 64:22	115:16 144:3	57:8,10,13 58:2	136:6 151:10
37:16 40:24 41:5	125:18 163:24	170:21	58:10,14,20 59:13	157:25 158:7
41:18 44:7 45:3	particular 25:18	period 17:25 52:17	60:2,15,19 61:15	177:11 191:2
45:14 51:23 76:20	29:22	53:13 67:7 91:16	62:10 63:9,16,21	pity 50:16
83:13 85:4 91:24	parties 205:7,11	91:22 164:23	64:2,16,24 66:4	place 125:10
102:5 104:15,25	pass 161:21 187:10	173:3	66:19 67:25 69:4	128:23 205:7
115:8 123:21	188:13	permission 103:3	69:16,17 70:25	plain 140:8
124:3 126:7	passing 187:13	112:13	71:17 72:2,15	plan 3:21 22:21
128:11 130:22	paying 140:8,11	permitted 53:12	75:20 77:1,20	27:7 71:19 116:3
132:17 136:10,22	141:5	162:1 195:7	81:6 83:2,7,20	121:12 131:19,20
141:19 146:14 148:1,15 152:6	Peaks 8:14 9:3,15	persecuted 34:5,7	86:14 88:2 89:13	151:14 153:9,12
153:8,22 154:12	10:1,6 11:6 12:1	34:11,22 35:5	90:2 91:24 93:17	154:11 159:4
153:8,22 134:12	peers 40:7,15 41:10	42:17,20	93:24 94:18,22	187:25 188:5
174:14 175:8	139:19	person 5:10 19:16	96:1,7,10 97:8	190:12
174.14 173.8	penis 144:19	19:19 29:6,9 49:5	100:3 101:4 105:8	planner 113:8,11
198:14 201:8	people 14:14 19:15	93:10 115:2	106:6 107:17	113:15,19
parents' 103:13	19:20 29:10,18	124:16 131:2	109:8 112:14	planning 111:9,13
parking 68:14	30:12 107:4,9	137:8,13 147:16	114:11 118:15	plans 23:2 102:8
parking 00.14	123:16 127:18	150:24 202:4	119:15,19 120:1	112:2,20 114:7
	•	•	•	-

played 69:3	pounded 179:7	33:20	74:7 75:13 94:12	14:15 30:8 33:1
please 39:17 48:6	practice 20:5 26:12	primarily 9:20	95:4,6 104:7	52:20 56:16 66:20
53:21	160:16 161:14,18	69:20	119:21,23,24	204:20 205:4
plowing 54:4 189:4	162:2	principal 7:6 11:23	124:6 125:8 155:9	publicly 38:9
plus 189:17	practices 3:6 17:5	12:21 13:2,21	169:21 194:25	pull 69:23 70:11
point 15:10 47:10	160:20 164:2	19:16 28:10 47:1	195:11,23 196:7	74:13 80:7,23
54:24 56:3 58:3	165:10	47:22 48:15 49:3	203:1	187:17 190:15
59:23 63:12 67:6	practitioner 146:19	49:9 80:14 138:21	produced 25:12	pulled 74:17 81:3
69:9,20 78:12	Pramenko 39:6	138:22,23 156:21	product 9:4	181:16
80:4,18 85:15	54:15,22 55:5	156:24 200:4,9	Production 3:12	pulling 52:7 72:25
92:21 93:1 109:20	58:25 59:3,10	principals 88:23	professional 1:13	73:25 74:8 87:14
113:1,3 126:14	63:22,24 64:14	principle 25:19	154:17 205:4	purchase 109:18
127:13 129:11,15	68:2 71:18 88:23	26:1	program 9:7 10:6,8	116:1
133:10 145:1	94:25 95:9 158:6	principles 25:2,7	10:14,22,24 11:5	purpose 128:15
148:4,5 167:10	185:17	25:14,16 62:19	11:17 12:1 14:6	purposes 5:15
169:5 170:11	precipitously 78:16	114:1	15:4,5	pursuant 1:11 4:2
177:8 182:15	preemptive 69:13	prior 8:4 9:5 13:17	programs 11:1,10	push 167:11
184:2 186:21	preface 194:20	15:14 16:17,22	25:13	pushed 40:8 157:17
187:4,11 188:14	preferably 29:14	17:25 20:23 23:5	progress 27:9	pushing 40:16
193:22 200:9	preparation 75:12	24:15 26:2,14	28:24 29:21	106:22
pointed 201:24	76:16 78:4 86:20	30:21 58:13,19,20	prompted 67:11	put 19:19 33:6,13
police 151:19	163:1 167:17	59:12 61:22 63:13	84:9 130:6 160:15	40:8 41:10 43:24
policy 109:1	prepare 87:17	74:25 77:3 80:4	prompts 133:13	62:3 75:8 90:3,5
portion 37:20	127:14 168:12	81:4 84:22 89:13	propensity 144:4	91:4 152:3 192:21
110:13 125:15	prepared 6:11	90:15 91:22 93:12	protective 145:7,12	192:23 193:1
134:12 145:13	126:12 133:14	98:3 100:12 107:5	145:17,22 147:3	198:23,24
165:20 185:1	160:8 168:17	108:15 121:22	149:15	putting 43:25
pose 197:18	169:20	127:22 135:20	provide 9:21 40:22	131:24 197:7
posed 11:12 75:20	preparing 74:14	137:14 163:22	130:12 134:8	
77:20 96:15 101:4	132:10	171:9	147:19	<u>Q</u>
114:12 119:21	presence 195:8	private 6:25 38:7	provided 13:22	qualify 42:14
121:19 122:24	present 2:11	probably 23:14	15:20,23,25 73:5	141:23 144:22
123:13 137:19	174:11	32:19 57:14 58:18	73:12 80:13 170:3	151:3
139:7	presentation 17:3,9	154:10 167:10	195:19	question 22:18
position 93:6	pretty 12:20 40:23	189:11	providing 148:4	25:15 29:18 32:13
116:16 141:20	174:23 183:6	probe 22:15	psychiatrist 152:13	34:8,9 35:5 47:20
positive 146:7	187:19 202:7	probing 162:7	psychological	48:1,4,6 71:14,21
possibility 114:5	prevent 5:4 56:17	problems 84:14	30:20	74:6 79:23 86:5
possible 18:18	98:8 201:7	119:12 120:8	psychologist 19:18	88:14 90:12,14
100:11	Preventing 3:9	Procedure 4:3	28:20 47:2 61:4,5	95:9,13 96:3,4
possibly 55:3 67:23	previous 44:22	Procedures 3:7	137:2,5 146:19	100:4 101:2,19
109:19 131:4	55:14 85:25 92:10	17:6	147:22 152:14,23	103:14,21,24 104:6,13,16 110:8
potential 123:17,25	93:2 205:5	proceedings 4:1	153:3 154:5 155:4	
124:7,12,15 151:5	previously 3:5	203:9 205:9	155:21	110:11,15,16,18
151:10	73:22 92:7 198:25	process 6:15 10:4	psychologists 33:5	115:20 116:12,22 118:3 119:21
potentially 109:25	Price 31:14 56:5	11:8 16:10 18:13	public 1:8,14 3:7	120:6,10,14,16
118:21 126:9	78:1 128:2	20:8 23:19 32:7	3:10 9:8,21,25	' ' '
195:16	Price's 31:5,7	32:15 66:1 72:10	10:4 11:10,13	123:15,20 124:2
			1	•

124:10,21 127:1	100:20,21 126:18	91:24 93:3 95:12	refer 9:25 11:11	relatively 115:10
132:1 133:14	134:19 176:21	104:22 115:16	119:15 156:6	release 152:22,24
138:8 139:2 143:5	202:21 204:2	124:4 126:3 128:4	reference 3:5 33:20	154:15
147:2 155:9,12	reading 34:12,17	128:9,25 129:12	57:23 90:2,9	relevant 140:3
157:24 166:22	34:23 112:6	130:3 132:16	137:8 151:4	remain 165:11
171:15,17 183:15	179:14 180:22	135:8 136:1 137:7	152:17 153:7	remember 14:3
191:2 201:10	186:15 205:21,23	138:13 145:5	192:4	31:10 43:8 44:21
questionable	205:25	147:1 149:7,13	referral 11:3	44:23 52:6,7
172:21	ready 181:7	157:15 158:10	referred 9:3 153:11	53:18,18 57:18
questioning 43:16	real 139:2 179:8	159:23 163:6,19	referring 36:16	62:15 64:6 66:14
questions 5:14 6:14	199:20	164:7,8 166:13	37:19 143:2	67:8 74:3 75:7,7
18:6 30:7,13,16	realism 150:21	167:13 168:18	147:12 156:4	75:16 76:18 78:22
30:16 31:1 35:3	really 55:17,23	170:5 172:6	refers 46:7	79:7,9 81:23,24
35:14,23 36:1	78:12 83:9 85:19	174:15 175:4,17	reflected 53:13	83:5 84:4,16,17
40:21 60:19 65:5	88:13,18,19	176:2 181:21	reflecting 200:10	84:18 85:19 86:19
65:12 73:17 98:5	101:18 119:20	185:12 186:8	reflects 198:8,11	87:3,13 92:4
98:14,17 99:21	124:18 129:5	187:13 189:19	regard 95:24	106:10 116:11
100:2,5,12,16,17	155:8 174:15	190:4 196:17	regarding 22:9	118:11 129:25
100:22 101:3,6,14	184:5 185:23	receive 40:4 84:6	27:4 31:22 33:6	134:22,25 135:10
102:7 104:17	188:12 199:10,13	157:21 200:19	33:16 34:16 40:21	135:23 136:4,5,8
110:8 113:21	reason 25:10 27:18	received 13:17	40:25 41:23 42:23	136:9,9,11 137:2
115:14 117:12,22	49:23 53:2 61:25	14:13 15:13 25:6	43:2,5 44:11	149:24 150:7
120:6 131:8	66:25 98:4 119:23	receiving 61:22	50:25 58:7,17	153:18 156:14
132:13 149:20	122:1 131:1 175:4	147:21 171:2,2	64:22 65:12 104:9	157:11,15 163:11
175:22,25 176:9	176:17	recess 23:18 54:3	104:13 127:3,21	164:11 165:1
203:5 205:9	reasonable 112:23	107:1 115:12	131:18 168:23	166:1 172:2 175:9
quick 106:20 174:9	reasoning 165:14	174:10 201:1	171:21 172:4	179:20 185:15,20
quickly 55:18	165:17	recite 150:2	174:19 198:25	185:23 187:8,15
quiet 65:19	reasons 68:22	recognize 32:24	regardless 135:15	188:17,20,23
quite 106:5	200:1	recollection 17:8	regards 185:22	190:3,10,11,13
quitting 93:21	recall 9:2 12:2 14:8	25:15	Registered 1:13	196:1,10,11
	15:22 16:5 21:7	recommend 50:22	205:4	remind 169:7
R	23:2 24:13,14,17	73:18	regular 7:3 9:8	remorse 121:11,17
R 2:1	25:5 26:16 30:15	recommendation	22:22	remorseful 122:4
raise 85:4 123:12	31:23,25 36:10,12	88:17	regularly 23:11,12	122:11
198:4	39:11 41:11,22	recommended	61:9	remove 71:10
raised 18:6 77:19	43:3,7 44:10,19	108:2 136:19	related 132:24	removed 167:9
113:21	49:21 51:3,14	record 4:12 106:25	205:11	reparations 184:1
RAMS 132:17	52:10,12 53:16,20	202:17 203:8	relation 205:6	repeat 71:21
ran 194:3,8	55:13 56:1 57:2,5	records 19:11	relations 79:12	183:15
ranges 8:23	57:14,16 59:22	26:23 27:8,20	relationship 97:12	repeatedly 81:13
reach 45:23 169:13	61:24 64:19,21	28:14 78:3 86:23	97:13,19 98:18,23	81:15
172:18	66:5,17 67:3,5	87:2,11,16	98:25 99:8,11,14	rephrase 56:10
reached 149:5	75:10,13,18 76:19	recovering 5:8	99:18 117:5,25,25	report 3:18 37:7
reaction 68:11 94:5	78:12 79:14 80:8	red 85:10 110:4	175:7	45:10 64:24 74:1
94:6 122:3 167:1	81:3,25 82:5,13	reduced 205:8	relationships 99:5	74:4,9,14,24
reactions 65:14	82:18 83:23 84:3	reentry 63:10	117:21 118:9,15	75:12 80:9,13,19
read 38:4 75:22	88:3,4,25 89:12	74:15 78:5 160:11	146:25	80:22 81:4,6,7
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I

87:7,15 126:12,19	164:15	43:10 44:15,25	134:10,15 135:9	201:22
152:4 169:19	responsibilities	45:4 46:15,20	135:19,23 136:14	room 6:3 23:25
172:20 176:19,24	12:10	47:2,7,12,14,18	136:16,20 137:18	40:11,25 41:6
177:7 192:3,11	responsibility	48:11 49:25 50:6	139:1,13 140:15	42:3,13 64:18
198:1 201:4	37:13 53:15 82:6	50:12 52:20 53:25	140:18,21,23	103:4 112:10
reported 48:18	82:14 159:13	54:10,21 55:4,12	141:10,14,21	184:14 189:2
63:21 72:4 126:22	201:17	55:20 56:3,5,6,15	142:7,9,11,19	rubric 173:18
134:14	responsible 48:16	56:18 57:9 58:15	143:1,8,9,20	rules 4:2 5:17
reporter 1:13 6:1	73:25 74:8,11	58:23,25 59:4,20	144:11 145:1,16	Rust 182:21 185:24
205:4	98:19 99:1,8	60:3,6,10,14 61:7	145:24 146:1,3,12	
REPORTER'S	109:6 117:5 118:1	61:17,19 62:4,5,7	146:15,20 147:11	S
205:1	rest 65:21	62:22 63:10,22	148:17 150:8,11	S 2:1
reports 77:13 80:7	result 9:16 173:4	64:3 65:10 67:12	151:16,20 152:11	safe 58:6 94:12
80:12 84:7 171:6	200:20	68:7,25 69:6,25	152:24 153:20	154:11 155:6,11
177:2 201:5	resulting 127:24	71:12,23,24 72:2	155:18 156:4	155:14
representing 4:13	retiring 92:18	72:3,5,18,19	157:7 159:1 160:4	safety 24:6 26:9
reprimand 200:20	return 155:6,12,14	73:13,22 76:8,13	160:16 161:25	67:2 89:25 98:6
request 67:11	reveal 102:18	77:1,10,13 78:17	163:4,12,14,15	150:18 151:18
requested 119:19	revealed 102:15	78:20,25 79:4,20	164:14,19 165:7	152:5,12,20
119:20 205:21	review 70:6,8 100:7	79:25 80:1,11,14	165:12,13 166:9	155:24
Requests 3:12	121:12,12 133:17	80:18 81:5 82:23	167:22 168:3,20	said/she 191:9
required 205:25	153:12 162:25	83:1,21 84:7,11	168:24 170:20	Sandy 108:10
requirements	164:17 190:16	84:22,23 85:6	171:23 172:11,15	Sarah 2:14
82:12	195:20	86:23 87:12 90:1	173:21,22 174:3	saw 8:18 24:18
research 73:11,14	reviewed 75:11	90:23 91:17 92:9	174:20 177:10	32:10 77:24 81:12
resentments	reviewing 73:25	92:22 93:12,18	179:4 182:6 186:1	81:21 137:9,13,16
143:22 144:4	74:9	94:21 96:7,9,11	186:4,24 187:5	180:22,23 181:7
residential 9:9,12	revisit 186:10	96:24 97:1 98:9	189:8 190:18	181:25 182:5
resign 93:6 94:20	rifle 132:17	98:15,19 101:9,19	192:8,22 193:3,7	183:7 187:21
resigning 92:2,5,11	right 5:21 6:5,13	102:11,15,19	193:14 194:14,24	saying 5:10 23:17
92:18 93:14 94:17	8:11 10:21 11:14	103:22 105:12,24	195:12 196:21	57:20 67:8 68:13
95:11 166:12	11:18 12:7,19,23	106:14,15 107:12	197:18,19 199:7	68:20 85:11 86:4
resolution 77:4	13:1,6,14 14:1,5,8	107:18 108:7,10	202:16,20	92:4 154:3,11
resource 24:6 26:9	14:12,15,22 15:13	111:5 112:2,3,4	right-hand 156:20	158:3 164:11
98:7 119:10	15:20 16:11,13	113:6,8,9,13	rights 112:18	166:7 167:13
125:11	17:24 18:5,8,23	114:3 115:3 116:4	risk 82:7 150:17	175:15 177:21
respect 16:18 39:24	20:10,21 23:4	116:16,25 117:4	road 5:17 6:10	178:18 179:20
44:13 131:25	24:4,5,19,25 26:7	117:20 118:1	86:22	188:20
154:3 170:16,22	26:24 27:16,22	119:13 120:14	Roche 2:3 3:3 4:9	says 6:3 52:16
responded 116:11	28:15 29:1,8,13	121:13,15,19,25	4:12 8:11 54:4	117:21 125:15
167:9	29:16 30:5 31:1,3	122:1,22 123:9,17	76:3 91:14,18,21	156:21 164:14
Respondent 1:9 2:6	31:5,8,15,25	123:22 124:12,25	106:25 107:2	192:7
response 30:23	32:17 33:18,22	125:5,13,16	115:9,13 161:7,10	scared 57:4 67:8
44:9 128:24	34:1,22 35:2,6,9	126:12,24 127:6,7	161:13 174:12	179:17 181:20
151:19 176:13	36:3,15,23 37:17	127:11 129:10,20	200:24 201:2	scary 183:23
183:12	38:5,10,14,19,25	129:22 130:14	203:4,7	scenes 108:9
responses 3:11 6:8	39:16,19,24 40:6	131:1,9,11,17,25	role 12:20 15:25	sceptical 114:2
36:18 46:18	41:1,3,15 42:7,9	132:2,6,7 133:15	69:3 138:20	scheduled 60:11
3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		

1 11 0 2 0 0 10	1001111010	1	1 44 22	1 . 2 . 1 . 5 . 5 . 1 . 2
school 1:8 3:8,9,10	103:1,4 112:13,23	141:12 142:3,5	separate 41:23	set 3:11 58:7,10
3:13,19 5:2 6:25	112:23 113:4	144:9 145:8 147:4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	131:19 199:14
7:6,12,13,18,23	searched 113:7	148:12 151:5	137:13,15	setting 6:25 8:5,15
8:4,14,18 9:5,8,25	searching 112:17	155:21 156:4,17	September 13:17	9:4,8 196:12
10:4,18 11:4,5,10	second 5:25 7:8	156:22 159:2,4	39:14 50:1 54:9	settings 6:24
11:13 12:4 13:3	32:22 36:17 59:6	162:4 163:12	54:14,23 56:9,18	severity 135:8,15
13:13,14,19 14:15	75:24 76:2,3,10	169:2 171:1	63:7,10 64:14	shakes 6:9
16:17 17:15 18:24	119:4 121:5	176:18,19 177:5	67:7 69:2 71:6,7	shape 96:14
19:2,5,17 20:15	171:16 180:13	180:17 189:17	71:16 72:20 76:20	share 29:11 40:23
24:6,16 25:6 26:9	second-to-last	192:3,4,15 199:10	77:12 78:5 80:1	42:19 94:21
27:24 28:20 29:19	150:9	seeing 27:13 52:8	80:25 83:12,13	110:23 122:10
32:25 33:5 40:14	seconds 179:8	75:8 80:21 137:1	87:1,18 89:19	126:6 128:19
46:24 47:2 48:17	Secret 98:14 99:22	137:5,15 186:14	90:19 100:13	176:11
49:12 52:18,20,23	110:7 115:14	seek 105:19 146:7	104:7 127:15	shared 18:20 33:21
53:11,12,12 56:9	123:15	seeking 61:3,6	128:11 134:24	34:18 41:11 53:1
56:15,18 60:15	secretaries 194:4	seemingly 49:4	135:25 136:23	57:20,21,22 58:2
61:3 66:13,14,20	secretary 87:4	123:1	137:9 146:20	63:3 64:1,6 65:8
66:24 67:12 68:14	178:5	seen 14:20 18:20	147:22 148:15	65:11,22 66:22
69:25 75:15,19	section 32:21 131:7	24:7,14 25:11	149:17 150:5	69:16,17 72:25
77:7 78:11,14,20	132:21 140:4	45:18 99:25	152:7 153:4,22	88:25 90:25 93:7
91:19 92:3 93:11	142:4 146:18	100:12 108:13	156:22,25 160:12	94:10 101:16
93:22 94:4,13,24	147:3 151:12,13	113:19 126:14	161:14,23 162:3	126:6 128:14
96:7 98:6,7,8	151:13 153:7	161:7 189:21	162:18 163:2	135:1 144:21
103:15 105:3,7,10	155:19 156:16	196:24	164:19 166:10,24	148:5 159:24
106:8,13 107:4	security 52:22 53:4	self-control 147:4	167:18 168:9,12	187:9
109:12 110:24	53:10,20 56:16	semester 78:17	168:25 169:12	sharing 136:11
112:2,18,22 116:2	69:23 70:11,16	79:3,15 187:1	170:4,7,11,20	sheets 14:21,25
118:14 125:11	89:25 107:9,24	188:10	171:8 172:7,18,24	15:5 202:23
128:8 138:21	108:3 170:12	Semple 2:8	174:14,21 176:1	sheriff's 112:19
140:18 145:19	181:1,3,9 185:18	send 53:22 66:23	176:25 177:15,17	126:19 176:18,24
147:15 148:2,3	186:7	67:18 159:16	177:23 205:18	177:7
150:18 152:19	see 9:7 16:25 17:2	184:21,22 189:7	series 146:11	Shiloh 10:19 11:6
153:2,25 154:1,15	19:11,20 20:18	196:16	serious 33:15 77:20	shocked 129:4
155:6,12,14 160:5	24:8,19 25:2,22	sending 184:16	122:24 123:13	199:10
170:16 179:1	27:8,9,15 30:9	senior 82:7 173:23	124:11 167:3	shook 183:9
188:19 191:11	33:18,23 36:24	seniors 54:19	175:2 179:10,10	shoot 112:2,20
193:6 194:15	37:6 39:22,23	sense 19:1 20:14	185:8 186:22	shooter 193:24
196:20 197:15	40:22 41:13 45:6	48:5 94:11 111:8	seriously 70:25	196:20
198:6 199:22,22	45:9,13 46:8	149:10 158:11	92:2 94:4,23	shooting 5:1,10
200:4,7,11	50:24 77:7 86:14	167:8 183:20	95:10,21 166:11	21:13,22 24:15
school's 110:21	87:20 89:19 90:8	sensitive 142:8,15	seriousness 167:15	26:2 50:9 80:4
schools 3:7 9:21	94:10,12,13 95:6	142:24 143:8,13	service 98:14 99:22	107:5 108:14,16
30:8 33:1 48:22	98:12 102:18,23	sensitivity 142:22	115:15 123:15	112:7 126:16,19
52:20 56:16	103:17 109:15	sent 11:25 30:8	170:3	159:21 191:25
188:21,22	110:9 120:7,8,12	53:1,21 161:21	Service's 110:7	192:12 194:22
scope 33:17	122:2,5 132:22	178:8 179:11	services 41:14	196:6 198:15
Scouts 132:6	133:1 134:16	182:16,17,19	session 15:15	shootings 105:10
search 102:21,23	139:12 140:17	198:25 201:20	sessions 14:18,22	106:13 108:10
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

1 . 455 1 115 10	170 10	151 15 150 17 01	120 12 120 2	.4. 4.04.00.05.05
short 55:1 115:10	179:12	151:15 152:17,21	129:12 130:3	start 24:20 35:25
199:20	sit-down 171:9	155:10,13 157:10	143:24 166:22	81:20 100:11
shortcomings	site 52:7 87:20	160:1,6 167:21	179:12	128:22 192:20
160:7	109:18	168:16 185:18	specifics 18:18	started 7:21 44:12
shorthand 205:7	sitting 76:12 77:5	196:6,18	113:14 128:25	46:22 80:10
shortly 31:24	180:22 181:5	Song's 122:7,10	159:24 188:17	128:14,19 199:10
shoulders 85:10	182:5	159:12	190:11	state 4:6 30:20
show 81:9	situation 16:3	soon 57:6 182:4	spectrum 33:17	204:15 205:2,4
showed 81:16	18:23 31:22 32:10	sooner 193:1	speech 65:7 84:6	stated 27:7 104:24
160:15 161:13	32:11 43:3 48:21	sophomore 184:4	88:8 92:20,24	statement 40:1,6
199:4	61:15 67:23 69:8	sorry 7:9 12:5 13:5	93:2,4 116:16	40:25 41:3,21
showing 109:17	69:11 71:5 72:13	21:5 23:16 28:1,7	129:18 160:15,20	42:10 43:11,24
shown 103:15	73:2 85:16 88:6,6	32:8,21 34:8	162:16 163:20,25	44:14,18 50:15
105:2 194:15	88:21 96:19	41:21,25 47:25	164:3 166:5	70:20 126:15,18 134:9 147:20
shows 46:17 52:24	108:25 114:14	56:10 81:20 117:18 124:8	171:22 176:3,8	192:24
142:23 shrugged 85:10	127:5 128:21 165:18 182:17	131:16,17 134:2	spent 13:2 96:23 split 12:13	192:24 statements 18:20
side 69:25 182:9	184:14 191:8	131:16,17 134:2	spoke 16:6 22:3	35:16 42:15 60:6
183:13,14 191:11	situations 185:1	150:9 156:3	31:20,21 40:20,24	130:13
202:12	six 7:3 11:19 25:2,7	173:15 183:15	41:5 43:2,8 56:13	stay 51:10 71:11
sides 183:16	25:14,16 62:12	188:5,14 199:8,16	57:15 58:16,18,19	79:2
sign 15:8,11 125:12	74:25 141:1	199:21	64:25 69:12 72:12	step 60:1 72:7,11
132:20 134:13	sixth 121:9	sort 130:5	87:22 88:11 104:9	157:20
139:10 149:14	skeptical 25:20	sounded 55:21,23	128:20 143:16	steps 17:23 18:10
sign-in 14:21,25	skipped 134:5	137:11,14	159:25 161:22	18:25 19:3,23
15:5	slide 25:11,13	sounds 41:2 92:12	168:18 171:20	26:6,10,13,16,18
signaled 183:8	slightly 117:2	source 115:3	172:4 181:4,8,11	26:21 59:17 65:23
signature 52:16	Slow 7:8	south 10:14,16	181:18 185:20	72:16,22,23,24
204:13 205:16	smaller 9:23	span 57:10 138:15	186:3,15 188:24	77:11 169:7,11,13
signed 43:10,17	snapping 66:4,5,7	speak 10:3,24	196:8	201:16
152:25 154:1	66:12,13	12:10 20:11 21:10	spoken 20:24 90:6	Steve 2:7 88:5,10
significant 49:6	snickered 38:12	23:1 32:3 74:22	126:1 147:25	Steve's 88:17
54:5 132:25 133:3	social 102:18	83:11 127:5	169:6 189:18,23	stomach 76:11
133:8 150:4	solve 120:8	149:22 154:16,21	198:14	stood 51:13,15 80:9
signing 205:21,23	somebody 103:4	169:5 170:2	sponsor 84:6	135:12 144:18
205:25	132:24	172:25 181:7,13	spring 3:7 14:11	stop 100:22
signs 102:24	someone's 33:21	181:17 182:3	17:2,17 62:7	story 121:1,2,6
138:16,18,19	34:19	185:17	160:3	183:13,14,17
similar 10:14,22,24	son 41:9 83:15	speaking 57:18	SRO 112:18	story' 120:15
26:19 35:22 49:14	191:10	164:25 180:9	ss 205:2	Street 1:12 2:4,8
simple 139:2	Song 28:6 29:22	183:1	St 6:22	strike 18:11 20:21
simply 155:15	59:24 72:12,22	special 6:21 7:2,3	staff 28:15 46:24	22:11 50:3 71:15
sincere 177:12,13	76:16 88:2 100:1	8:20 9:24	54:15 69:8 150:18	84:13 107:3 175:8
sip 147:12	101:10 103:20	specific 16:3 18:2	155:21 193:14	180:18 181:12
sir 71:14	105:6 117:7,13	49:21 71:8 112:21	standard 20:5	190:23
Sisler 88:5	118:19 119:22	143:7,11 188:22	standing 78:12	strokes 11:2 18:13
sit 23:15 82:1	120:2 147:6,17	specifically 16:1	stands 150:4	strong 188:9
119:25 171:20	148:10,20 149:5,9	95:16 124:2 126:3	174:16	strongly 94:8
	<u> </u>	l	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

			l	l
struck 43:13 162:7	28:4,15 29:24,25	191:5,10 202:12	77:8 127:24	29:12 41:12 44:17
struggle 92:23	33:7,14 38:3,12	202:14	140:23	44:23 49:16 50:25
struggled 68:17	38:17 46:25 48:9	supported 11:17	suspicion 112:23	51:23 54:17 57:24
struggling 85:22,23	75:25 76:6 82:19	154:20	Swomley 38:3 56:6	63:6,12 81:20
86:9	83:3,15 109:16,17	supportive 117:21	62:2 74:25 99:18	82:2,3,6 84:25
stuck 66:6 179:21	119:11 123:6	117:24 118:9,15	127:23 138:12	85:1 88:19 91:3,5
student 10:1 11:3,8	150:18 173:17	118:22 146:25	143:16 158:22	92:9 97:21 102:11
12:16 15:18 16:2	181:19 201:23	supports 147:12,14	Swomley's 37:25	103:6,14 110:2
16:12 18:22,24	202:9	147:21 169:9	39:25 73:2 89:16	127:11,11 129:13
19:2,2 20:6,12,16	stuff 33:15 62:24	supposed 108:19	135:1 142:16	129:19 145:6
20:19 21:11,19	148:7 197:8	138:24 177:4	sworn 4:6 204:14	147:16 148:16,19
22:9,23,23 23:6	198:22	sure 4:17 5:12 6:6	205:6	148:22 152:22
23:11,18 27:9,10	stupid 48:9,21	6:19 7:21 8:9	system 13:8	162:15 165:5
27:12 28:17,25	subject 20:7,13,25	12:6 14:12 17:22		167:16 168:21
29:4,16,21 30:2,3	21:6,19 28:4,17	18:16 19:7 22:17	<u>T</u>	169:4,16 178:9
31:11 33:16 34:4	28:25 29:5 103:15	25:8 29:4 39:18	tab 17:2	179:5 180:21
35:5 40:1,14	117:24 158:1,8	51:11 58:6,18	table 15:7 171:1	181:5 182:11,12
41:21 43:10,24	189:13	66:21 71:22 72:8	202:10	182:20 185:21
48:20,21 52:18,24	subjects 21:1 105:8	75:6 76:3 79:3	take 5:22 6:1 17:23	186:18 195:12
53:24 67:17 69:12	149:16	82:4 84:24 91:11	17:23 19:3,23	198:19
76:11,12 77:4,6	submit 192:10	96:24 105:19	20:11 24:1 30:5	talked 31:22 32:8
78:19 86:8 88:8	submitted 192:7,19	108:6 117:11	41:15,19 42:9	43:3,4 54:19
90:4,4,6 91:3,6	subscribed 204:14	119:20 120:12	49:6 54:1 62:16	59:17 61:2 64:23
96:20 98:18 109:1	subsiding 164:16	124:9,24 133:20	63:16 71:9 75:3	65:4,8 68:2 70:15
110:1,2,8 113:11	164:23 165:22	168:4 172:24	76:22 77:9 89:17	71:25 72:12,16
114:16,18,19	succeed 86:14	175:19 178:20	93:10 95:21	73:4 74:4,5 82:21
115:20 116:9	successful 86:7,11	183:16 184:24	105:12 106:20	83:20 84:16 92:19
117:23 119:7,10	86:13	187:8 201:12,17	115:10 116:4	93:3 94:25 95:3
119:15 120:7	suffered 201:9	201:20	125:16 126:14	109:22,23 113:24
128:2 130:23	suggest 100:24	surprised 94:6	143:17 146:10	113:25 123:20,21
131:8 139:11	165:21	194:18	151:24 157:20	123:21 125:1,25
141:9 142:8	suggested 45:2	surrounding	169:23 171:18	126:5 127:18,21
143:21 145:12,14	62:2,12 150:24	144:25 145:2	174:9 190:17	152:3 162:5
145:14,23 173:11	180:5 200:16	suspend 37:24	193:9 199:20	163:20 167:19
173:13,20 174:1	suggesting 101:20	38:24 39:4 68:6	200:25	171:24 176:7
179:22 180:1	suggestion 45:6,9	68:23,24 69:4,11	taken 1:12 4:2 54:3	177:20 182:7,9,22
184:6,8,10 192:24	45:14,19,25 165:6	69:19	70:25 107:1	183:18,22 184:14
194:9,11,12,13	Suite 1:12 2:4,9	suspended 31:20	115:12 151:22	185:13,24 186:16
196:19 201:21	summer 24:12	36:21 38:21 52:24	174:10 201:1,21	186:17 187:16
202:8,10,14	supervised 12:18	58:4 69:21 140:25	202:5 205:7	188:10,15,18
student's 27:15	supervising 180:7	142:13 143:9	Talen 31:16,19,25	194:10 196:1
53:4 120:15	supervision 170:15	suspending 184:15	32:3 36:7,11	197:3
123:16 151:5	170:21 200:5	suspension 3:19	41:17 81:25 83:1	talking 17:24,24
students 8:15,16,17	support 9:21 27:12	37:6,11,16,19	84:17 148:24	21:18 22:7 23:17
8:22,23,24 9:2,8	83:11 87:21	39:20,23 43:8	149:6	26:14 33:15 42:23
9:11,18,19,20,22	145:14,15 146:7	45:7,8 52:15,15	Talen's 34:18	43:9 53:18 54:16
10:23 11:11,16,25	147:7,15,25 148:1	52:17 53:3,13,19	talk 4:19 6:5 18:22	86:22 88:5,7 89:7
20:24 21:3 27:6	148:2,3 155:20	53:24 68:10 69:14	18:25 20:6,18	110:18,22 115:14
			l	

				rage 227
128:17,17 134:25	25:10 31:18 34:16	202:25	201:23 202:6,19	76:16,25 77:20
136:8 157:1 166:2	35:11 43:6 48:6	thanked 71:5	thinking 15:8 75:8	78:4 79:24 80:24
181:8,25 190:11	50:14 53:21 55:13	thanks 12:12 203:3	75:20 76:25 93:21	86:21,25 87:17
199:10,12,13	57:4 59:15 60:24	theme 93:7	95:20,23 96:14	88:1 89:3,14,20
talks 110:14 151:14	61:12 65:3,3,17	themes 52:11	143:24 154:3	90:13 91:22,23
tardies 87:9	65:20 66:3,10	therapist 148:1	194:12	92:1 93:24 94:3
target 150:18	74:1 80:1 86:15	152:17 154:2	thinks 164:12	94:13,15,23 95:6
targeted 3:9 98:8	87:24 88:12 92:17	155:11 189:17	166:4 184:5 194:8	95:20,21 96:13,15
taught 6:23 7:1	94:14,19 104:10	therapy 45:3,15,25	third 25:19 47:10	97:7,10,22,25
teacher 7:2,3 12:22	106:6 108:12,19	62:4,12 136:20	103:14 180:13	98:8,15 99:23
19:4 22:7,8 44:24	123:6 124:9,21	137:20		100:2,5,18,23
48:23 66:7 67:21	123.6 124.9,21	thing 18:16 52:14	Thompson 13:23 17:9 109:23 159:4	100.2,5,18,25
92:25 108:21	136:2 158:5 164:4	57:22 68:17 69:16	17.9 109.23 139.4	101.4,0,13 102.0
109:13 119:2	166:11 167:12	70:21 85:12	195:4,10	103.11,19 104.7
teachers 12:18 20:6	174:12 175:2	114:23 124:25	thought 95:13	107.18 108.2
20:12,18,24 21:1	174.12 173.2	176:10 190:24	129:3,13 161:9	110:12,14 111:11
21:3,11,18 22:3	182:25 185:11,14	170.10 190.24	166:6 168:18,22	110.12,14 111.11
29:23 42:4,16,21	187:6 188:16	things 19:12 23:18	184:10 191:17	111:13,25 112:10
42:22 43:1 44:14		30:3 33:11 35:25	193:18,20 200:8	112.22,23 113.2
44:17,20 53:4	190:9 197:2,21 199:25	52:9 69:22 77:7	thoughts 134:6	114.11,19,20
97:19,21 99:5,10	telling 56:21 66:14	98:11 102:9	threat 3:6,8,21 9:5	117:2,6,12,24
99:14 102:11	67:5 91:25 114:22	105:10,14 112:24	9:16 13:18 15:14	117.2,0,12,24
106:4 139:17	115:6 151:19	113:5,6 114:25	15:17,18 16:2,12	121:11,19,21
140:2 157:4,13,21	164:8 179:25	129:3 130:11	16:16,17,21 17:5	121:11,19,21
158:1,7,24 180:14	184:8 197:5	131:6,6 138:24	17:21 18:2,4,7,17	122.13,24 123.2
194:6	ten 57:11 77:3	131.0,0 138.24	18:19 19:4,6,7,10	
teaching 9:15	tends 143:21	166:3 171:6	19:13,20,21 20:1	125:1,4,8,11,12 125:18,23 126:2
12:23 180:12	Tennyson 10:23	186:17,19 187:22	20:7,9,13,14,22	125.18,25 120.2
team 3:13 19:22	11:5	191:15 195:10	21:8,16,20 22:10	120.22 127.3,10
29:8,15 52:23	term 28:2 110:25	198:21 199:7	22:16,20 23:1,6	131:7,20 132:10
53:5,11,20 56:16	terms 28:6 111:20	201:17,24	25:2,14,21 26:5,6	131:7,20 132:10
62:22,25 65:7	117:15 129:1	think 10:19 12:6	26:13,17,18,20,23	135:4 137:9,14,19
71:10,12 84:6,7	137:19 163:24	14:10 21:8 27:3	27:4,19 28:5,12	138:11,24 139:6
87:18,23,25 88:10	184:1 187:23	42:5 44:20 48:19	28:13,17,23 29:7	140:14 144:3,20
88:15,17,24 89:8	197:14 201:23	50:4 57:2 58:19	29:14 30:1 32:7	145:4,11 149:23
89:13,18 90:9,15	terrible 12:5	67:20 79:12 80:25	32:15 33:16 35:4	150:9,21,25 151:8
90:20 91:8,15	test 5:22 38:4 50:12	82:16 83:10 91:2	39:4,21 41:20,21	150.9,21,25 151.8
107:17 108:19	50:16	92:4 94:7 100:19	46:14 49:2,22	151:14 132:8
116:16 119:7,10	testified 4:7 62:6	103:24 104:1	50:5 51:6,19 54:6	154.7 157.5,15,21
119:16,25 129:14	178:21	117:2 124:19	54:9 55:22 56:22	159:2,3,7,16,21
129:18 141:21	testify 205:6	130:20,20 133:8	57:7 58:5,9,12,17	160:8,11,22
163:21,25 164:3	testimony 45:2	134:20 135:18	59:19 60:11 62:20	164:10,13,13
165:4,6,12 166:5	62:1 76:22 204:4	142:24 155:1	62:25 64:15 65:24	165:2 166:18
166:7 167:9	205:9	161:7 163:23	65:25 67:17 68:19	168:15,21 169:19
telephone 58:14	testing 119:20	171:15 176:18	69:15 70:24 71:18	173:4,5,8,17,20
tell 5:23 6:17 8:7	162:4	177:20 178:18	71:19,23 72:9,16	173:4,5,8,17,20
11:2 16:4 17:20	tests 50:19	185:2 187:12	73:5,11,14,19	189:13 191:14
22:12 24:2,11	thank 4:10 117:10	193:24 198:9	74:7,15 75:13,20	194:24 195:11,17
<u> </u>	mank + .10 11/.10	173.44 170.7	14.1,13 /3.13,40	174.44 173.11,1/

195:19,23 196:4,6	170:10 183:6	91:24 92:4,6,10	trouble 99:15	188:1,10 189:17
196:13,18 197:11	tipping 167:10	93:13,14,20 94:2	124:10	189:22 190:17
197:19 200:21	tired 86:3,3,6	94:10,14,22 95:5	troubling 189:22	196:14,19
201:13,19,23	title 12:9	95:7,10,19,24	true 34:24 119:14	two-month-plus
202:13	titled 156:17	96:5,9,23,25 98:2	193:21 196:3	172:17
threatened 49:24	today 4:15 5:9	101:16 107:21	204:3 205:8	two-week 164:23
93:18 107:20,21	22:13	112:21 114:21	truly 22:19	type 62:3 93:1
108:20 115:21	told 31:11 32:1	121:7 122:4,17,18	trust 155:15 201:15	168:1 191:9
122:5 123:11	36:13 38:6,14	123:11,22 124:11	trusted 118:22	types 33:9
180:3	43:7 46:23 48:8	126:23 127:6	154:12	typewritten 15:3
threatening 38:24	48:10 50:18,23,24	129:2 131:14	trusting 97:13	205:8
67:21 68:1 109:12	51:4 53:20 55:25	137:9,21 138:11	98:18,22,25 99:4	typically 8:23
158:9	57:7 58:3 66:11	144:21 152:8	99:8,11,13,18	48:23,25 70:16
three 6:23 7:2,7,17	67:1,3 85:9 88:13	160:18 161:16	117:4,25 118:9,15	80:7 82:1 86:15
11:19,23 13:11	93:20 95:9 106:12	162:5 163:21,23	154:8 155:3	86:18 99:6 106:4
29:10,11 58:24	108:14 109:10	164:4,8,10 166:1	truth 4:6 114:22	185:21 187:2
78:20 82:10	113:12 125:4	166:9 167:2,4,6,7	205:6	
110:19 138:14	127:4 128:2,4	167:9,12,16	try 109:19 110:11	<u>U</u>
139:3 149:16	132:17 144:19	175:10 177:4,12	110:16 115:2,5	U.S 79:10 188:12
173:19	148:6,15,19,22	194:10,10	120:16 162:18	uh-huh 8:6,12
Thurnau 148:24	152:7,10 154:4	Tracy's 167:1	164:10 165:3	10:13 13:9 24:10
149:5 167:19	155:3,4,10,11,15	tragedies 201:7	179:8	26:25 44:16 59:5
168:12 177:2	156:14 160:19,22	tragedy 5:3 201:6	trying 4:24 9:13	60:13 72:1 90:11
ticketed 126:9	161:16 162:14,14	trained 15:16	10:19 34:25 50:21	96:12 99:17 108:5
tied 68:3	163:20 166:16	24:11 25:7,16	73:18 90:7 150:3	111:25 115:23
time 7:20 8:3,4	167:8 169:15	26:1	173:16 175:12	122:9 123:18
13:2,16,16 14:8	179:6,16 180:15	training 13:18,22	turn 150:8	131:10 134:17
17:25 18:21 19:4	182:17 183:3	13:24 14:2,4,5,9	turned 129:16	140:19 141:22
19:8 21:9,17	184:15 186:2	14:15,18,21 15:4	196:20	143:10 144:12
23:25 24:18 40:10	189:5 190:15,25	15:6,8,13,15,21	turning 104:15	147:8 148:21
40:20 42:2,12	194:3,8 197:10	15:23 16:4,5 17:3	twice 127:21	153:1 162:9 171:7
46:14 51:21 56:24	top 35:10	17:13,16 24:2	179:17	186:20,23 189:6
63:12 64:17 67:7	total 11:19	25:5,9,13 79:13	two 4:21 5:14 6:25	uh-huhs 6:9
69:12 82:5 85:1	touched 127:19	117:22 132:5,9,14	7:17 14:7 16:21	UNC 6:20
85:22 91:16,21	tournament 144:18	132:17 198:5	20:22 21:20,22,25	unchecked 140:4
92:5 96:22 106:23	track 28:24 202:6	trainings 15:11	21:25 22:2 23:7	143:22
121:10 129:20	Tracy 38:25 39:4	transcript 6:10	42:22 44:20 56:4	uncomfortable
131:16 132:8,9	49:25 51:20 54:7	80:23 198:24	61:3 68:5 70:15	51:17 199:13
133:11 134:6	54:15,20 55:6	202:22 205:8	70:17 73:21 75:25	uncover 112:4
135:3 137:2,17	56:20 57:6 60:9	transcription 204:3	76:4,6,15 79:19	uncovered 112:15
159:25 171:18,22	64:24 65:8,21,22	transcripts 198:21	79:20 89:5 92:10	undergo 45:3 understand 5:13
173:3 174:11,21	66:2,10,17,25	199:7	114:20 121:21	
192:15,17,18,20	67:2,3,5 68:1	transfer 200:16	134:7 137:11,15	5:16 6:11,12,16 34:17 38:2 41:24
194:12 197:3	69:22 70:14,23	trap 22:13 35:1	141:7 153:7	
199:18 205:7	71:11,18,23 72:16	treatment 9:9	157:18 162:16,17	47:25 58:23 74:6
times 70:5 91:2	83:23 84:14,19	61:22	163:1 169:2,6	80:11 81:7 96:3 96:20 103:19
94:9 142:25	85:2,5,8,17,20	trick 22:13	173:7 174:6	110:25 122:7,20
143:15 166:15	86:1,12 88:15,19	tried 183:5	179:24 180:14	110.43 144.1,40
				•

138:20 143:5	victimized 139:12	wall 76:13 77:5	water 106:15,19	179:4,13 180:17
150:1 152:16	video 69:24 70:11	want 4:19 5:13,22	147:12	181:13,22 182:3,8
155:9 167:21	70:21	18:3,10,12,16	way 29:3 48:19	182:12,20,22,23
178:10	videos 70:17,19	22:12,15 24:25	71:1 99:19,20	183:4 186:3
understanding	view 124:15 150:17	25:18 36:5 38:6	104:21,22 113:18	194:24,24
16:15 20:2,4	158:20	52:13 86:17 88:18	120:8 124:9	weren't 48:10
30:11 47:4,23	views 136:12	94:20 106:21,22	135:10 136:7	49:10 76:7 140:8
73:19 119:5,6,9	violation 109:25	123:7 165:9,10,11	143:6 172:23	141:5 176:6
122:4,17 137:4	197:13,17	165:11,18 166:7	175:8,9 194:7	201:25
145:10 159:9	violence 3:9 66:14	171:17 176:11	we'll 17:19 18:14	whatsoever 69:3
178:14 191:18	76:24 98:9 103:17	180:11 194:21	71:15 90:8	70:10
203:4	103:25 104:3,12	199:3 202:10,12	we're 4:14 5:9,11	WHEREOF
understood 32:13	104:20 105:4,9	202:13,17	26:14 32:19 46:18	205:15
67:11 85:23 122:2	106:9,13 110:14	wanted 38:4 64:19	89:2 106:24 120:6	widely 158:11,14
122:21 150:16	111:4 120:7	64:20 86:7,11	128:16,16 169:3	158:16
153:14 162:1	123:17,25 124:7	88:16 92:24 93:6	189:4	William 2:14
unfair 40:9 129:4	124:12,15,23	163:23,23 165:23	we've 53:25 139:15	window 172:17
129:14 141:19	133:8	165:24 169:1,3,7	149:13	183:8
unfairly 42:21	violent 52:11	180:10 184:2,24	weapon 116:1	wipe 70:16
University 6:22	124:20 132:25	185:5 198:19,20	weapons 103:16,22	wish 197:10
updated 192:19	133:4 150:25	198:21,21,23	104:2,12 105:3,9	witness 18:20
uploaded 192:19	167:11,14 187:25	200:6	106:8 132:1	201:10 205:15
upset 55:23 57:1,3	violently 66:8	wants 88:20	Web 52:7 109:18	witnessed 57:21
57:22 60:25 61:8	151:5,10 164:6	warned 152:6	week 70:17 80:8,8	70:20
61:15 65:18 94:7	visit 169:14	warning 132:20	89:9,11	witnesses 175:5
96:21 101:18,23	voiced 124:14	134:13 138:16,18	weekly 23:13 87:6	Woodward 2:14
122:19 123:5	volleyball 88:10	138:19 139:10	weeks 70:15 89:5	word 61:8 103:10
129:5 135:2,13	vortex 26:24 27:21	149:14 191:13	109:12 114:20	103:12,13
use 26:12 27:5	27:23 28:2	wasn't 12:25 15:8	121:13,14,21	worded 71:13
33:12 61:8 142:21	TT7	22:6 34:8 35:15	153:7 162:17,17	104:19,21,21
T 7	<u>W</u>	35:15 36:1 38:9	163:1 169:2	117:1
<u>V</u>	wait 76:2 171:13	38:15 39:1,19	weigh 129:11	wording 176:16
valued 93:8	173:15 182:18	42:19 47:11,14	weighed 187:19	words 50:17 61:8
variety 111:22	waited 138:1	49:14 56:13,23	weight 79:13	work 9:23 19:14
various 46:23	waiting 149:10	65:6 73:24 74:25	weird 51:17	27:5 135:5 202:9
verbal 6:8	waived 205:23	80:1 86:9,12 87:3	welcome 117:6	worked 8:20 11:16
verbally 53:17 63:4	waiver 154:1	90:4,21 93:8 98:1	well-being 30:20	12:15 119:22
verbatim 150:2	Walcher 3:15	103:11 105:19	149:6	154:5 173:7
verbiage 35:22	walk 115:18 130:7	107:24 110:20	went 7:1,5,16 8:25	working 10:25 82:3
verified 39:14	131:5 168:15	112:7,21 116:24	9:11 10:4 11:7	85:24 96:23
verify 115:2,5	199:15	120:24 125:22	13:10 15:6 16:7	110:19 152:21
152:19 Violai 22:22 22:20	walked 20:3 149:14	127:9 138:3	18:13 32:8 57:10	179:2 200:3
Vicki 82:23 83:20	180:21 181:8	140:11 154:7	58:22 73:10,13	201:14
171:10 172:14	182:4 198:18	175:1 184:15	79:4 86:1,21	world 79:10,17
178:1 179:16 183:13 191:19,22	walkie-talkie 194:3 194:16	186:12 193:6	96:19 120:3 121:5	187:11,11
191:23 192:4	walking 20:3 77:10	194:12 195:19	137:9 145:5	worried 66:7
victim 152:6	174:17	196:24	149:23 157:16	124:18 164:25
vicum 132.0	1/4.1/	watch 138:24 186:2	167:6 171:22	165:2
			•	•

wouldn't 33:24	27:12 44:22,22,23	1120 2:8	92:12 173:13,25	28th 205:16
46:4 66:6 74:16	44:24 78:11,14,22	117 3:21	2013 3:14 5:1 7:22	
96:2 104:20 110:5	79:24 82:10 85:24	11th 188:3	13:17 17:25 24:9	3
118:18,22 133:7	91:19 92:7,8,15	12 3:13,18 89:17	24:12 26:2,15	3 47:13 48:20 50:1
141:23 165:21	92:17 93:3 160:2	191:19,22	27:24,25 28:5	54:9
167:14 180:5	160:2,5 188:21	12:09 115:12	29:19 30:21 32:5	3/15/13 3:20
187:23 198:8	199:23	12:33 193:13	32:14 37:7 39:25	3:41 201:1
wound 9:14	years 6:23 7:2,3,7	12th 7:14 13:4,5	45:22 46:15 47:7	3:51 201:1
Wow 75:8	7:17,17,19 11:19	193:4	48:11 49:18 62:7	3:56 203:10
wrecked 135:4,6	11:24 12:5 13:11	13 5:1 21:14 30:21	67:7 71:3 74:24	30 3:10,14 127:11
write 62:24 64:8	77:3 78:20 110:20	113:20 193:6,10	77:1,21 78:17	179:8
writing 50:11,15	115:25 128:8	193:13	79:3 80:19,23	32 3:17,19 37:18
77:5 102:13	179:2	13-'14 7:23,25	81:22 83:25 86:5	41:25 42:1 52:14
133:15 192:24	yelled 38:13 194:5	1308 2:9	87:11 91:9 93:22	53:14
written 30:7,16	Yep 141:17 186:5	13th 113:16 159:19	98:24 100:13	3429 24:22
36:17 46:17 50:18	Younger 9:19	188:2 191:16,24	104:8 112:7	3435 98:12,13
wrong 10:20 177:7		14 1:2,13 3:2,15	118:16,20 119:6	99:21 115:19
194:24	Z	176:18	119:16 136:16	35 3:21 117:8 118:4
wrote 40:7 51:15	zero 113:20	14th 203:11	137:21 142:14	122:2 130:9 139:9
51:18 113:15		15 31:24 32:9 39:25	144:15 158:12	145:8 161:1
31.10 113.13	0	43:22 57:14 63:15	168:25 173:18	37 3:18,19
X		15th 35:21	198:7	
X 3:1 205:21	1	17 3:6	2013-2014 3:13	4
	1 100:21 201:9	176 3:15 167:7	13:13 91:19	4 3:3,6 17:2,2 54:14
Y	1:01 115:12	17th 1:12 2:4	199:22	54:23 56:9,18
yeah 9:1 10:13	1:45 184:17	18 115:24	2014 160:3	63:7 64:14 77:12
11:23 14:23 41:25	10 57:14 123:15	19 3:17 32:18,22,25	2015 1:2,13 3:2	80:1 110:8
44:19 45:5 55:3	160:12 161:14	36:24 45:16	203:11 204:16	47 113:20
55:23 56:12 67:9	10/14/15 204:25	194 117:20	205:16	4th 39:13,14
72:6 80:15,17	10:22 54:3	198 32:23	2015-0665A 1:1	
89:10 94:25 96:8	10:38 54:3	170 32.23	2016 205:18	5
105:20 114:8	100 25:8	2	2010 203.18 2052 89:21	5 3:8 24:1 72:18,20
123:3 124:18	11 3:10 30:6,7	2 31:21 100:21	2032 89.21 22 201:9	98:5 114:1 115:18
134:5 136:8 141:2	36:16 44:25 46:6	101:19 117:14	24 3:8,18 37:5 40:4	115:20 120:5
142:17 145:20	82:22 83:17,19	2:36 174:10	75:24 76:4 192:2	152:7
151:23 157:9	84:20 98:13 99:20	2:53 174:10	205:18	59 79:9,16 187:12
161:24 163:15	100:2,4,12,16,17	2000 13:19 173:20	2400 1:12 2:4	
165:8,16 167:19	101:3 110:7	2002 10:7	26 71:7,16 80:25	6
168:1 172:2	115:14 120:5	2003 76:5	83:13 87:1 163:2	6 116:8,9,22 137:9
181:18 182:21	124:21 161:23	2007 12:6	164:19 166:10,24	146:20 147:22
185:6 188:2,20	162:18,22 163:12	20084033353 205:4	167:18 168:12,25	6030 178:12,18
189:11 191:4	164:15 172:7,18	2011 3:7 7:21 14:18	,	6th 184:19
196:8 197:13	172:25 177:23,25	17:2 31:4 35:17	169:12 170:4,7,11	
200:14	178:15 179:17,19	36:6,8 78:1 92:12	170:20 171:8	7
year 7:5,24 12:4	185:17 186:9	128:5 173:9,20	172:7,18,24	7 26:22 98:17 117:4
13:13,19 14:9	188:25	2011-2012 13:20	174:14 176:1,25	7th 7:14 13:4,5
15:12 17:13,15	11:55 107:1	17:15 25:6	177:15,23	8
23:10 25:6 27:11	11:56 107:1	2012 14:18 17:17	26th 172:3,3	
		#U1# 17.10 1/.1/	27 174:21	8 120:6,6 162:22,23
			•	•

Page 231

•		Page 231
176:24 80202 1:12 2:5 80203 2:9 89 3:13		
963:10 69:2 76:20 78:5 83:12 89:19 90:19 120:14 127:15 128:11 134:24 135:25 136:23 148:15 149:17 150:5 153:4,22 156:22 156:25 162:3,24 168:9 177:17		
9:06 1:13 950 1:12 2:4 9th 63:18		